

PRINTERS-STATIONERS-
LITHOGRAPHERS-
A. CARLISLE & CO.
UPHAM & RUTLEDGE, INC.
135 POST STREET
SAN FRANCISCO
TO DUPLICATE THIS ORDER REFER TO
No 13864

A
B

C
D

E
F

G
H

I
J

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q


R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

See Russell & Meacham
duplicates for St. Lawrence Museum
Arthurian Manuscript
H. 100. 100. 100.



A
B

C
D

E
F

G
H

I
J

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

Arthur v. Noble + Tompkins

156

Anderson v. B. C. Thomas	6.
Buchanan v. Wilson	24.
Bagaw. v. Mathews	19
Broederman - vs. Smith	43
Automobile Club of So. Calif. vs. Bregg ^{et}	72
Brown vs. Keith St. John	80
Bushy. vs. Barrera	87
Baker vs. Green	90
Bell vs. Sinclair	93
Appling v. s. Gashin	96
Appling v. s. Cassaniang	97
Appling v. s. Gashin	96
Bell - vs. - Williams	101
Appling v. Ray	page 105.
Adams v. Wright	104
Baugh v. Witcher	145
Binnendyk v. Hill	146
Bell v. Phillips	165
Bettencourt v. Sutnam et al	169
Balsamo v. Henson	187
Giffin vs. Gerard	205
Baron Chesney vs. Huckle	229
Bonanza of Oakhurst	233
Bradley & Assoc	237
Bank of America	240
Brannon Dalling	261
Bank of America	282
Dennis Anderson	283
James Best	271

A
BC
DE
FG
HI
JK
LM
McN
OP
QR
ST
UV
WY
Z

C
D

Dupuyck vs Gates
Delaney vs Silva

214

211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000

Crooks v. John & Marnie Thibet.	4
" " Winklemann	38
" " Sandlett	34
Crooks - vs - Greenfield	66
- Crooks - vs - Greenfield	67
Chrismen. vs. Montgomery	86
+ Collard vs. Fank	91
Collard vs Fank	94
Culver vs. Susco	135
Cavin vs Van Wagonen	143
Chubb v. Dye	144
California v. Marshall	148
Cavin v. Medders	170
Gallins Johnny, vs, Bill Smith	174
C. of Medina vs Salenberg	177
Cal. Desert vs Lettle	199
Creditors Serv vs. Hill	217
Creditors Serv vs. Cox	221
Capital Credit vs. M. Salain	246
Crass, Thomas & Alta	252
Cornwell, Alonzo	257
California State Auto	286

Duncan v. Smith	150
Dale v. Crump	196
De Vaney, Nan	231
Delaney, Hugh	249
Denis, Timothy	304

C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

E
F

Eastbrook L

15. Fireman's Fund vs. Floyd, etc.

209

Eyeman - vs - Jones

123

Freedman v. Redelback & Hunter

109

E. G. C. Inc dba Com. Service Collectors v Graham

123

Freeland vs Dennis

304a

E
F

G
H

I
J

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

Gordon	vs.	Wolf	220
Goodwin	vs.	Davis	224
Goferth,	Jack &	Lansa	244

G
H

Whishfeet v. Adams,	27.
Hawson " Spahr	25.
Herman - vs - Price	65
Higgins - vs - Jones	41
Gowen - vs - Mc Farland	83
Gracie, S.B. & Whitehead	100
Hospital Central Committee of the Counties	109
Slonick, Madia, + Mered	
- vs - Glenda Smith	
Hansen & Clark	133
Gibson vs House	207
Giffen vs Gerard	205

G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

I
J

Johnson v. Lindblum 20.
Jorgensen Tolladay vs. Solar
Suerce & Williams 222
Jorgensen & Tolladay vs Sports. 227

I
J

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

K
L

Lang and Lang.	17	Lindley v. Prosper et al.	160
Knowles v. Sunsten.	36.	Larsen vs Merkle	225
Ruth. v. Sparks	35.	Liberty Loan Corp.	251
Landow. v. Graham	26.	Love, Jess A	255
Knowles - vs - Trumppower	44	Lockwood, Jan	262
Krohn vs Hassell	49		
Krohn - vs - Primrose	53		
Krohn - vs - Lewis	54		
Knowles - vs - Saling	60		
Knowles - vs - Smith	64		
Lube - vs - Morris	66		
Knowles - vs - Graves	73		
Knowles - vs - Gaskin	74		
Rupp - re Berryhell	108		
Krohn vs Strunk	129		
Krikawa vs Baum	219		
Larson vs Merkle	225		
Keplinger vs Johnson	245		

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

M
Mc

Mecchi. A. v. Graham J.H.	3.	Mecchi v J. Collard	158
Mecchi A. v. B.C. Thomas	6.	Morgan v Oswald	164
M ^r Farland b.L. v. Allen P.		McMahan v Banks	166
M ^r Farland b. J. v. Allen P.		MIDWAY GROCERIES v AYALA	180
Mammaduke. v. Jones	38.37	MERCHANTS ASSOC. - v. ROHRIG	185
" " Coroland	33	Madera Co. Coll. Agg. - Caldwell	193
" " Harless	37.	" " " " v Harlow	194
" " Espe	31.	" " " " v Ward	195
" " Chrisman	30	Muller vs Williams	198
" " Paine	28	Merchants Assoc. vs Henry Hackerman	208
Mecchi - vs - Jim Jones	41	M ^c Kight vs Rosen	218
Mecchi - vs - Bradley	49	Marco Tank vs Davanzo	223
Mecchi - vs - Espe	57	M ^c Nally, James	232
Mecchi - vs - Crawshaw	58	Merchants Assoc.	234
Mecchi - vs - Mc Gilveray	69	Mukley, Margaret	236
Mecchi - vs - Riley	78		
Mecchi - vs - Milton	79		
Mecchi vs - St. John	81		
Mecchi - vs - Riley	82		
Mecchi - vs - Gaunt	84		
Mecchi - vs - Wass	85		
Mecchi vs - Alcorn	92		
Merchants Assoc. vs. Mc Gilveray	95		
Mecchi - vs - M ^r Farland	100		
Merchants Assoc. vs. Duncan & Ore	102		
Merchants Assoc. Fuller	103.		
Muller - vs - Arthur	111		
Mecchi - vs - Phelps	115		
Madera County v Loy M. Brown	131		
Mecchi v Elam	136		
v Woolwine	139		
v D.L. Jordan	140		
v. A.C. Jordan	141		
v. W. Kiff	151		

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

NO

Noble	vs.	Upcraft	29
"	"	Leo. Martin	21
Noble	- vs -	Huntington	48
Noble	- vs -	Phelps	59
Noble	- vs -	Koski	61
Nichols	- vs -	Whitehead	68
Norberg	vs.	Clark	88
"	"	Dow	105
"	"	"	106
"	"	Jordan	152
"	"	W. H. Arthur	153
NORBERG	vs.	JOHN L. LEWIS	179
Martin	vs	Moorehouse	230
Nicholson,		George Elmer	254

Oakhurst Dr vs Bigley	190
Ordway, Larm vs Crakater	226

N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Puckett vs. Huber et al	116
Parker vs. Walter Taylor	176
Palmberg vs Owens	200
Papenhauer vs Smith	210
Payne vs. Curtis	215
Parchi vs Davanzo	223
Pargao	248

P
Q

Quinlan vs Wood

189

P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Roberts vs. Corp	177
Roberts vs. Oakhurst Bldg. Materials	178
Roberts vs. Fridley	181
Roberts vs. Hepner & Bass	183
Roberts vs. Bong	184
Russo vs. Anderson	197
Ray's Electric vs. Thomas Nelson	212
Russo vs. Corey	228
Rosenberg vs. Lovejoy	235

R
S

Stephens Agnes & Bradley.	1.
Sturrock v. Maguire.	5.
Stephens v. Lang.	10
Stephens v. Wilson.	11
Sphar P. L.	16
Rost -vs- Young	40
Serpa -vs- Neise	45
Stiegler vs. Neilmier	89
De Saron. v. S. Cox page.	106
Appling & Day	105
Stephenson -vs- Ballard	110
Deason & Co. Corporation -vs- Hill	119
State of California -vs- House	123
Scroggins v. Williams	142
Stewart v. Castleberry	147
State of California vs. Marshall	148
Smith v. Pike	155
Sierra Telephone Co v. Loy Brown	130
Smith & Yearout Inc v. Curtis & Jacobs	171
Smith, Bill vs. Johnny Collin	174
SIERRA SUPPLY vs SIERRA BUILDERS	186
Smith, Wm vs. Hilltop Lanes	188
Shollenbarger vs Johnston	203
Southwick vs Wms. Resorts	213
Surra & Williams	222
Mathan Sweet	250
Sanders H E	259
Surra Builders	263
Surra Auto	264

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Am. R. & A. Co.

T
U

Terragno - vs - Massimo	18.
Terragno - vs - Leo Martin	46
Terragno - vs - S.S. Jones	50
Terragno - vs - Elam	62
Thomas - vs - Kendall	118
Talbot - vs - Mobley	120
Thomas (orgie)	247
Tull, Lloyd	252
Thompson, Johnnie	258
Trainer vs Fulton	260

United Lumber vs Bong	#192
U.S. Keesing Co. vs White	201

T
U
V
W
Y
Z

V
W


Nelson v. Stephens	11.	Valentine v. Wilson	137
Norris v. Williams	14		
Wyatt vs. Veater et al	42		
White vs. Smith	45		
Wright vs. Read	79		
Wolcott vs. Porter	99		
Van Buren v. D. Miller	103		
West vs. Bremer	112		
West - vs - Robertson	113		
West - vs - Robertson	114		
West - vs - Swope	116		
West - vs - Jackson	117		
West - vs - Burkett	121		
West - vs - Burke	124		
Walton v. Adams	132		
Van Buren v. Taylor	134		
West v. Smith	149		
West v. Noble	151		
" v. Hill	154		
" v. Sullivan	159		
Wenzinger v. Weyant	162		
West v. Fraser	161		
v. Taylor	167		
v. Breeding	168		
v. J.L. West	172		
John West v. George Ward	173		
George Ward, John West vs.	173		
JOHN WEST vs. DOYER LOGGING	175		
" " " WILLIAMS	202		
" " vs CORBIN	204		
Walker vs. Roe	216		
Westfall vs. Walsh	285		

Yank vs Bradford

191

Y
Z

Y
Z



NO. 1

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Agnes Stephens

Business mens Assoc

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Bradley

Defendant

Action Civil

Demand, \$ 12700

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1936

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

July

19

Complaint filed and Summons issued

450 ✓

29

Writ of attachment issued and given to
Constable Beasly for service

Affidavit and undertaking filed

30

Summons served by constable

31

Writ of attachment served on Mrs. L. A. Beasly

Aug

3

Defendant appears in Court confesses judgment
agrees to pay Plaintiff \$10 per month and costs

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Madera, State of California

L. J. Allen

Action Civil

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 52.64

William B. Nelson

J. R. Lazarus

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. B. Nelson and Just Doe

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 36

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Sept 1. 28

Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Bossert for
service

4.00

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Andrew Micchi
Plaintiff
vs.
Tom H. Graham
Defendant

Action Civil
Demand, \$ 123.40 Cost. 11.25
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1936			
Oct. 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued to H. Bossert for service		
	Attachment issued		
26	Settled out of Court.	✓ 4.00	✓

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madras, State of California

George Brooks

Plaintiff

vs.

John and Marnie Weber

Defendant

Action Breach of contract

Demand, \$ 100.00

Geo. Mordecai

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Oct. 24	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. B. Overst for service		
25	Return on summons made by W. B. Overst.		4.00
Nov. 6	Case dismissed		

No. 5

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Miss M. K. Schrock</u>	}	Action <u>Recovery of Hotel Bill &c</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand, \$ <u>98.42</u>
<u>M. A. Wagner</u>	}	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant
Plaintiff		
Defendant		

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>36</u>			
<u>Nov.</u> 25.	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
25.	Writ of attachment issued and given to W. K. Schrock deputy sheriff for service	✓	4.00 ✓
26	Returns of Attachment and summons received as served by M. K. Schrock		

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Andrew Meachi

Plaintiff

vs.

B. C. Thomas and
Jack Muringby

Defendant

Action for RecoveryDemand, \$ 73.15

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.DATE
19 36

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Dec 2

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Writ of attachment issued and given to W. B. Bant
for service3 Attachment served at 11.50 A.M. caretaker placed
in charge5 Complaint and summons served
Settled out of Court.

✓ 4.00

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Mrs. Anderson

Plaintiff

vs.

B.C. Thomas and
Jack Manning

Defendant

Action Ad recovery

Demand, \$ 300.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Dec 19 36	1. Complaint filed and Summons issued Writ of attachment issued and given to W. Boert for service 3. Attachment served at 11:50 A.M. caretaker placed in charge 5. Complaint and summons served Filed out of Court.	✓	4.00 ✓

In the Justice's Court of Foothill Township,
County of Maricopa, State of California

<u>Mrs. W. R. Stumock.</u>		Action <u>for recovery</u>
Plaintiff		Demand, \$ <u>98.42</u>
vs.		
<u>A. A. Wagner.</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	19 <u>26</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov.	23	Complaint filed and Summons issued	✓	4.00
Nov.	23	Wachment served and given to W. R. Stumock		

Cancelled duplicate

In the Justice's Court of Sanuel Township,
County of Co. Modoc, State of California

Agnes Stephens

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. D. Lang and
Norma Lang

Defendant

Action for recovery

Demand, \$ 59.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 37

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

April

4. Complaint filed and Summons issued

5. Also writ of attachment given to Wm. D. Lang constable, 4.50
for service

Ernie Cunningham and G. W. Pickford accepters
on bond for \$50 jointly and severally.

7. Summons served by W. D. Lang and return made.

21. Defendant appear. Judgment given by default.

Execution issued for sum of 59.50 and 14.81 Costs. and
given to W. D. Lang returned April 25th 1937.

27. Third party claim paid Pacific Finance Corp Fresno
623.77.

Costs of entering suit

4.50

Constable fees

10.31

Storage

5.00

Constable's percentage $1\frac{1}{2}$ p.c.

9.88

Third party claim

628.77

Judgment principal.

59.50

Notary seal

50

\$ 712.96

28. Sale of car

625.00

Deficiency judgment \$ 87.98

28. Certificate of sale made by W. D. Lang constable

Nov.

11 Abstract of Judgment given to Agnes Stephens

In the Justice's Court of Sanibel Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Agnes Stephens

Plaintiff

vs.

Ellie Nelson

Rosemond Wilson

Defendant

Action for Recovery of assignment of
1/2 int. Andrew Meechi

Demand, \$ 59.36

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1937.	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 21.	Complaint filed and Summons issued	4.50	✓
April 21.	Writ of attachment issued and sent to Constable of Stanislaus Co. for service		
21.	Undertaking and Affidavit of attachment given by Craig Cunningham and Geo W. Pickford for sum of 50\$		
21.	Summons issued.		
29.	" returned served by J. Giovannetti Stanislaus Co.		
May 15.	Judgment given by default for \$59.36 and costs \$11.00		
June 1.	Return of Sale of Personal Property under execution issued on May 29 th 1937.		
	One (1) 1936 Model Pontiac Coupe.		
	Lic No. 4 L 26. 18		
	Engine No. 6-133256.		

In the Justice's Court of San Jose ^{4th} Township,
County of Madison, State of California

M. Hannagan.

Plaintiff

vs.

S. R. Myler et al.

Defendant

Action for DamagesDemand, \$ 121.93

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 37

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

June 28 Complaint filed and Summons issued4.00

Aug 16 Stipulated by and between Plaintiff and Defendant
that S. R. Myler that judgment be entered in favor
of said Plaintiff against defendant for the sum of
35 and without cost to either party.

Full payment and satisfaction of that certain
judgment heretofore entered in favor of the Plaintiff
and against the Defendant for the sum of 35\$ is
is hereby acknowledged.

Done in open Court this day 23rd August 1937

W. H. NicholasJustice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Sanuel Township,
County of Madere, State of California

Samuel S. Voris

Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Williams

Defendant

Action for recovery of money dueDemand, \$ 440.34

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 37

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July17.Complaint filed and Summons issued given to W. Bassett for serviceWrit of attachment issuedUndertaking of attachment executed Katherine Lake
and Herman Rost qualify on Bond for \$250

4.00 ✓

31.

Defendant failed to appear in Court

Judgment given by Default accordingly.

In the Justice's Court of Faulk Township,
County of Calaveras, State of California

Goodrich Silverton Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

Leona Casbrook

Defendant

Action for recovery of book &c. Gen and Common.

Demand, \$ 46.66.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1937	Not. 18. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to H. B. Bessert for service		
	24. writ of attachment issued		400 ⁿ
	Return made Summons and attachment served.		
Dec 10	Defendant failed to appear to answer complaint		
	Judgment given Plaintiff by default with costs.		
1938	20. Abstract of Judgment given to Plaintiff		100 ⁿ
Jan 31	Execution issued		

In the Justice's Court of Joulet Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Gordon B. Mammaluke,

Plaintiff

vs.

P. L. Sphar

Defendant

Action recorded on open book &c

Demand, \$ 78.40

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1937

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Not

23

Complaint filed and Summons issued given to H. B. B. court for service

4 00

24

Writ of attachment issued

Returns of Summons and attachment served

26

Defendant appears in Court confesses judgment and agrees to deposit \$10 per month to credit of Plaintiff.

Initial payment becomes due 5th of December.

Judgment given Plaintiff accordingly

Payment 10 \$ made in due course Dec 5th 1937.

In the Justice's Court of Yonkers Township,
County of Madison, State of California

J. H. Renter
Plaintiff
vs.
Wm D. Karol and
Norma A. Lang
Defendant

Action for recovery of open book account
149.26 and 17.20 interest to date
Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1937			
Dec. 6	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
	Writ of attachment issued and given W. Bassett for service	4.00	
	Return of Summons and writ of attachment duly served		
	Defendants failed to appear to answer complaint		
	Judgment given by default to Plaintiff with costs.		
April 11	Execution issued for		
	Judgment \$ 149.00		
	Interest 17.20		
	Costs 11.00		

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera, State of California

J. A. Yragueno

Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Marino

Defendant

Action Recovery of loaned money
and open store account.

Demand, \$ 53.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 38

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Feb 4. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given W. Bassett for services
6. Settled out of Court.

4.00.00

In the Justice's Court of Maricopa Township,
County of Maricopa, State of California

Alex Bagan and
Anna Bagan
vs.
Thomas Maximo
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action for recovery of money due

Demand, \$ 165.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 38	Complaint filed and Summons issued Writ of Attachment issued Return of same made by W. B. B. B. Settled out of court case removed	400.9	

In the Justice's Court of Sanuel Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Linell Johnson

Plaintiff

vs.

August Linablow and
Mrs August Linablow

Defendant

Action to Recover damages in
Automobile collision

Demand, \$ 137.49

W W Miles (Jr)
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 38

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

March 13 Complaint filed and Summons issued sent to W. W. Miles for service ✓ 4.00.00

In the Justice's Court of Toulk Township,
County of Madison, State of California

H. Blay Daulton

Plaintiff

vs.

Denny Winder

Defendant

Action Recovery of open book
account

Demand, \$ 168.67

Shirwood Green

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1938	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 15	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. B. Boesert for service	1.40	
26	Return of summons		
30	Defendant appeared in court. returned and complaint Hearing set for Monday May 8th May 31 st .		
May 6	Answer to Complaint and Counter Claim filed by Defendant.		
26	Hearing set for June 10 th 1938. Defendant notified. 2 P.M.		
31	Trial continued to June 30 th Defendant notified. continued to July 20		
July 20	Answer to Counter Claim filed		
July 20	1938. This being the time set for the above trial, all parties being present, the following witnesses being duly sworn, testified H. Blay Daulton, Roger Amiel, Geo. Berford, Denny Winder, Clarence Whipkey.		
21	Judgment given Plaintiff for \$139.55		
Aug 2	Undertaking of appeal filed Sureties H. A. Buchanan, Lillian J. Whitfield Bond \$400 Notice of Appeal filed.		

In the Justice's Court of San Luis Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Clark Adams

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm D. Lang and
Norma Lang

Defendant

Action for recovery of wages

Demand, \$ 299.00 Two hundred & ninety nine
dollars

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1936			
April 15	Complaint filed and Summons issued given to N. B. Boush for service	✓ 4.00	
May 25	Summons served		

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madura, State of California

A. E. Buchanan

Plaintiff

vs.

Cyril Wilton

Defendant

Action for damages and recovery
of pasture land

Demand, \$ 2990.00

Barcroft & Barcroft
Attorney for Plaintiff

Shenwood Green
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1938

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

- April 29. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. B. West Constable. ✓ 400. ✓
Return made by Constable. Summons served
Trial set for Thursday May 12th. Defendant appeared.
- May 9. Answer to Complaint filed by Shenwood Green Atty for Defendant.
- May 24. Trial set for June 9th at 2.30 o'clock P.M.
31. Trial continued to June 3 at 2 P.M.
- June 9. Trial set for June 15. by stipulation of both Counsel.
- June 15. Both parties at the time set. Following sworn and gave testimony - H. E. Buchanan, J. A. Keith, Bill Turk, J. B. Vignoli, C. Wilton, Wm. Sam, A. G. Philp, John Dosselmore
Brief to be submitted by Counsel.
- July 14. After due consideration by H. V. Eastman sitting on case by request of J. M. Nicholas, it is decided and stipulated by Attorneys Shenwood Green and Dave Barcroft that a judgment of \$150 and costs \$7.50 be given Plaintiff and that Defendant is hereby directed to surrender said premises and vacate same.
25. Judgment for \$150 and 7.50 satisfied.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>Manda Hanscome</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>Everett L. Sphar et al.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Defendant</p>	}	<p>Action <u>Recovery of Open book &c.</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>36.63</u> and <u>8.94</u> interest.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Attorney for Defendant</p>
---	---	---

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

June	6.	Complaint filed and Summons issued to M. B. Dent for service			
		Writ of Attachment issued			
		Underpinning of attachment paid.			
July	1.	Defendant appears in Court confesses judgment.			
		Judgment given accordingly			
	9	Abstract of judgment given Plaintiff			
		Demand \$6.63.			
		Interest 8.94.			
		Costs 8.00			
		Total \$47.57			

4.00

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 36.63 principal, \$ 8.94 interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 8.00 Total judgment \$ 47.57.

W. A. Nichols
Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of Sanuel Township,
County of Madera, State of California

CB Landow Co.

Plaintiff

vs.

Jefferson D. Graham

Defendant

Action for recovery of Book &c.

Demand, \$ 258.22

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1938

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

June 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued to W. Bassett for service	4.00	
Aug 8	Amended Complaint filed		
Oct 8	Return of Summons served by Ross Graham Constable of Tipton.		
Nov. 10	Defendant failed to answer. Judgment given Plaintiff by default.		
	\$ 258.22		
	Costs 5.00		
	<u>263.22</u>		

In the Justice's Court of Donk Township,
County of Madera, State of California

William Anschpelt

Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Adam

Defendant

Action for recovery of Open book &c

Demand, \$ 80.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
19 38

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July 13 Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service.

400.

Trial set for August 3rd at 2 P.M.

28 Answer to complaint filed by J. Baucroft. attorney for Defendant.

Aug 9 Both Parties at the time set. Sworn and gave testimony. Witnesses examined M. Hushfeldt. Peter Adam. Herman Rist. Case was heard in open Court. and Judgment given Plaintiff for sum of \$80.25 and 75 Cents. Cost. Abstract of Judgment.

75.

100

5. Peter Adam appears in Court and pays \$80.00 in full satisfaction of above judgment. Wm Anschpelt, Plaintiff agrees to pay cost of action.

Judgment \$ 80.00.
Costs \$ 6.00
\$ 86.00.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Gordon Marmaduke

Plaintiff

vs.

R. E. Lane

Defendant

Action for recovery of open book
account.

Demand, \$ 70.21

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1938	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 27.	Complaint filed and Summons issued to Gordon Marmaduke for service	14.00.	
Oct. 1.	Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges demand and agrees to pay same together with costs at rate of \$10 per month first instalment due Nov. 1 st Judgment given accordingly \$70.21 5.00. Court Costs: \$75.21		
1939.			
April 29.	Abstract of Judgment issued on Demand of Plaintiff		

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madura, State of California

<u>J. Elaine Noble.</u>	} Action for recovery of Book 4.
Plaintiff	
vs.	} Demand, \$ 74.91
<u>Frank Upcraft.</u>	
Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 30.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given W. B. Deet Constable for service Writ of Attachment issued and given to Constable for service	4.00	
Aug. 11.	Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges that he owes \$74.91 Judgment given Plaintiff for full amount 74.91. 4.00 <u>\$78.91</u>		
	Defendant further agrees to pay above amount at rate of \$10.00 per month. 1st Instalment of \$10.00 was paid to Plaintiff on Aug 30 th .		

In the Justice's Court of Lamh Township,
County of Yadera, State of California

Gordon Mammaduke

Plaintiff

vs.

Ray Chrisman

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$ 150.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 38

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept. 13 Complaint filed and Summons issued Given to Craig Cunningham
for service

4.50 4.00

Nov. 14 Service and return made by Craig Cunningham.
Action dismissed

Judgment satisfied signed by Gordon Mammaduke

In the Justice's Court of Gould Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Gordon Marmaduke

Plaintiff

vs.

William E. Lee Jane Doe
and John Doe

Defendant

Action For recovery of Book &
Madera Sanitarium

Demand, \$ 57.05

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1936			
Sept. 21	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Bassett for service. Attachment on wages served by W. Bassett.	4.00	
	Return of Summons made by W. Bassett paid fee =		(600.)
Sept. 24	Wm. E. Lee Defendant appears in Court entered general denial.		
	Trial set for (Sept. 24) October 5 th at 10 A.M.		
Oct. 4	Defendant appears in Court and case being called for hearing. agrees to pay the sum of \$30.00 including all costs. Judgment given accordingly to Plaintiff.		
Oct. 25	Judgment satisfied in full		

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of _____, State of California

Gordon Marmaduke.

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. W. Harless.

Defendant

Action for recovery of note and
interest.

Demand, \$ 101.55 Principal
28.66 Interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1939

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Jan'y. 31. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Baxent for
service.

4.00

Feb. 18. Return of Summons made by Constable W. Baxent.

March 16. Defendant failed to answer complaint hence
Judgment given by Default to Plaintiff for.

Principal. \$101.55

Interest. 28.66.

Cost of Court. 13.00

\$ 143.21

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons
that the true copy thereof together with the copy of the
complaint was served on the Defendant. Geo. W. Harless personally
at and in the County of Madras State of California on
the 18th Day of February, 1939. and that the said
Defendant has not appeared herein to answer, or demur,
nor in any manner and that the legal time thereof to
wit 10 days has fully expired now upon the motion
of the Plaintiff, it is ordered that ~~judgment is ordered~~
the Default of the said George W. Harless and the same
is hereby entered. Upon further motion of the Plaintiff
Gordon Marmaduke it is ordered that judgment is
entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court
ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Gordon Marmaduke do have
and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. W. Harless the sum of
\$101.55 Principal.
28.66 Interest
13.00 Cost.

Done in open court this 16th Day of March, 1939
J. M. Nicholas
Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Gordon Marmaduke

Plaintiff

vs.

Fred Rowland

Defendant

Action for recovery of Open Book Co.

Demand, \$ 52.07

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1939

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Jan'y 24. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. B. Bessert
Constable for service.

400. ¹

Feb'y. 27. Return of Summons made by Constable.
6 Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges
indebtedness of above Book account.

Therefore Judgment is given to Plaintiff
for \$52.07 Principal.
4.00
6.25 and costs.

62.32

Whereas Judgment is here rendered and entered, and it is by
this Court ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Gordon Marmaduke
do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Rowland the sum of

Principal \$52.07.

Costs 10 25

Done in open Court this day of
February 6th 1939.

J. M. Rowland

Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Donk Township,
County of Madita, State of California

George H. Crooks

Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Sanders

Defendant

Action for recovery of open book 70.

Demand, \$ 51.18 Principal and Interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 3 9

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July

18

Complaint filed and Summons issued

given to M. Baxer Constable for

400.

Service

18 Writ of Attachment issued

do.

Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment filed

Samuel L. and R. L. Knowles guarantors for \$50.00

Nov.

1.

Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges indebtedness
Judgment given Plaintiff for

Claim 51.18.

Costs 4.00.

Constable for

new papers 6.50.

\$ 61.68

In the Justice's Court of Madera Township,JUDGMENT
1939

Clinton A. Keith -V- J.L.Sparks

March 15th-- 2 o'clock P.M.

Pursuant to notice given, this action came on regularly for trial before the court, sitting without a jury, a jury having been waived. Plaintiff was represented by Jos. Barcroft, and G.W.Raburn represented the defendant. Both sides having announced themselves ready to proceed, the defendant was called as a witness by plaintiff under Sec. 2055 of the code of civil procedure. E.E.Schlotthauer and Mrs E.E.Schlotthauer his wife, Louis De Private, John McDonald and D. McMann were called as witnesses for the plaintiff, and the plaintiff testified in his own behalf. The defendant J.L.Sparks testified in his own behalf and _____ Pearce, testified as a witness for defendant. A bill of sale and a letter written by defendant were admitted in evidence, the case was argued by respective counsel and submitted. Thereafter, G.W.Raburn, counsel for defendant, submitted to the court certain authorities, and a memorandum of the same were forwarded to Jos. Barcroft, attorney for plaintiff. Said Jos. Barcroft thereupon filed a memorandum of authorities and a short brief, which showed that defendant's attorney had been served with a copy thereof.

April 5
1939

The court having fully considered the facts in this matter and being informed as to the law, finds for the plaintiff and enters judgment in favor of said plaintiff, as follows:-

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that judgment is given in favor of C.A.Keith, plaintiff, and against the defendant, J.L.Sparks for the possession of a galvanized iron tank described in the complaint, the same, according to proof, being the smaller of two tanks in possession of defendant, or for the sum of \$_____, in event that said tank cannot be had, together with costs in this action, which are taxed herein at \$_____.

W.M. Nicholas
Justice of Peace.

Receipt
for Plaintiff

for Defendant

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

400.1

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of San Diego, California

George & ...

Joe ...

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 3 9

July

18

Complaint filed at

Service

18

Writ of A

Affidavit at

Man

Mich.

1

Defendant

Indigment

Claim

Cost

Constable for

Returning

JUDGMENT
1939
March 15th -- 3 o'clock P.M.
Plaintiff to notice given, this action came on regularly
for trial before the court, sitting without a jury, a jury
having been waived. Plaintiff was represented by Jos.
Barcroft, and G.W. Raburn represented the defendant. Both
sides having announced themselves ready to proceed, the
defendant was called as a witness by plaintiff under Sec.
3055 of the code of civil procedure. E.E. Schlottbauer and
Mrs. E.E. Schlottbauer his wife, Louis De Private, John Mc
Donald and D. McMan were called as witnesses for the plain-
tiff, and the plaintiff testified in his own behalf. The de-
fendant J.L. Sparks testified in his own behalf and
Pearce, testified as a witness for defendant. A bill of sale
and a letter written by defendant were admitted in evi-
dence, the case was argued by respective counsel and admit-
ted. Thereafter, G.W. Raburn, counsel for defendant, submitted
to the court certain authorities, and a memorandum of the same
were forwarded to Jos. Barcroft, attorney for plaintiff. Said
Jos. Barcroft thereupon filed a memorandum of authorities
and a short brief, which showed that defendant's attorney had
been served with a copy thereof.
The court having fully considered the facts in this
matter and being informed as to the law, finds for the plain-
tiff and enters judgment in favor of said plaintiff, as fol-
lows:-
IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that judgment be
given in favor of U.A. Keith, plaintiff, and against the defen-
dant, J.L. Sparks for the possession of a galvanized iron tank
described in the complaint, the same, according to proof, being
the smaller of two tanks in possession of defendant, or for
the sum of \$_____, in event that said tank cannot be had,
together with costs in this action, which are taxed herein at

Justice of Peace.

April 2
1939

In the Justice's Court of Madera Township,
County of South. Isl., State of California

<u>L. J. Keith.</u>	}	Action <u>for Return of a Tank and</u> <u>damages.</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$
<u>J. L. Sparks</u>	}	<u>Bancroft & Bancroft</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		<u>Geo. W. Raburn.</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1909	9. Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to Constable for service	400.	
	11 Return of above made by Constable W. Bassett.		
	14 Answer to Complaint made by defendant.		
	Trial set for March 15 th 1909 at 2 P.M.		
March	8. Subpoena issued for John W. Donald a resident of Herman.		
	15. Same called for trial. at time set for hearing Jo Bancroft appears for Plaintiff Geo. W. Raburn " " Defendant		
	The above entitled action having come on regularly for hearing sitting without a jury, the Court heard testimony of witnesses for both parties and took into consideration evidence by respective counsel. The case was then submitted to the Court for consideration, and then the case taken under advisement. After due consideration of the law and the facts, the Court finds for the Plaintiff and orders judgment as follows.		
	1. Whereas judgment is here rendered and entered, and is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of \$20.00 Principal together with the Cost of Court taxed herein at \$. . . It is further ordered that no damages or Attorney fees shall be allowed		
April	5. Alternative judgment is hereby entered in lieu of money judgment entered above		
	26. Execution issued and given to W. Bassett Constable for service		

In the Justice's Court of Donuth Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Ralph L. Knowles

Plaintiff

vs.

Clarence W. Junston

Defendant

Action to recover book &c.

Demand, \$ 22.31

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1939

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

July

20

Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Bassett for service

4.00

20

Writ of attachment issued

Return of Complaint, Summons & attachment made by Constable W. Bassett.

Defendant Clarence W. Junston appears in Court and confesses judgment.

Judgment given Plaintiff for full amount as claimed together with costs of action

Judgment \$ 22.31

Costs 7.00.

29.31.

21. Judgment satisfied in full.

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Gordon Monmaduke

Plaintiff

vs.

E. L. Jones, John Doe Jane Doe.
and John Roe.

Defendant

Action Recovery of Book & on assignment.

Demand, \$ 110.00.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1939			
Apr. 26	Complaint filed and Summons issued given to W. Robert Crustall for service.		4.00
May 8	Return made by W. Robert Crustall summons. Date of trial set for 17th of May 1939.		
May 17	Defendant appears in Court and asks for a continuance which was granted by court. Date of trial set for June 12th. Date of trial reset for June 19.		
June 19	Cause called for trial. Defendant and Plaintiff duly appeared and testified for against no witnesses were called.		
June 26	Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ <u>110.00.</u> principal & interest, \$ <u>5.00</u> attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ <u>5.00</u> Total judgment \$ <u>115.00</u> together with interest of 7% per annum on this judgment from this date until paid.		
	Done in open Court this day of June 26 th 1939		
	<u>W. R. Nicholas</u>		
	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE RAYMOND MADERA COUNTY		
	4 th Township		

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Wm H. Brooks

Plaintiff

vs.

Fredrick V. Winkelman

Defendant

Action for Recovering of value of note
and Interest

Demand, \$ 275.00.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1939.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Apr. 28. Complaint filed and Summons issued given to Geo Woodson for service

4.00. ✓

28. Summons returned served by Geo Woodson

May 1. Defendant appears in Court and confesses judgment.

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered,
and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the
Plaintiff Wm H. Brooks do have and recover of and from
the Defendant Fredrick V. Winkelman the sum of \$275.00 Principal
and \$12.84 Interest together with Costs taxed therein
at \$6.00

Principal. 275.00

Interest. 12.84

Costs 6.00

Total. \$293.84

Done in Open Court this day of May 1st 1939

J. W. Dickson
Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madra, State of California

Gordon Mamaduke.

Plaintiff

vs.

G. L. Jones

Defendant

Action for recovery of Bond to assigned
to Plaintiff for collection by E. B. Allen.
Demand, \$ 110.00 Principal.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

May 3 Complaint filed and Summons issued given to Constable W. Bassett.
for service
8. Return of summons made by Constable.
Cause set for trial May 17th 1939.
17. Cause called for trial.
Continuance to June 12th 1939. granted

~~Duplicate from
page 37~~

~~Cancelled~~

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of _____, State of California

Joe A. Terragno.

Plaintiff

vs.

Leo Martin.

Defendant

Action to recover book account.

Demand, \$ 19.83.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 39

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May

- 6 Complaint filed and Summons issued given to Constable W. B. Dent.
 7 Writ of attachment issued and given to constable for service
 8 Return of Summons and attachment made by Constable.
 12 Case set for trial May 23rd 1939.
 Continued to May 16th 1939.
 16 Plaintiff and Defendant both appeared in Court
 and testified as follows

4.00⁰⁰

Plaintiff produces books and takes oath that
 each and every item therein is correct and that
 no payment has been made of account whatever by
 Defendant.
 Judgment given to Plaintiff

for Amount of Claim 19.83.
 Court Costs 4.00.
 Constable fees. 2.50

\$ 26.33

Total.

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of _____, State of California

Andrea Micchi

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim Jones

Defendant

Action for recovery on open Book 96

Demand, \$ 170.52

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1939.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May 20. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to J. B. Barcof
for service

400.

20. Attachment issued and sent to Constable Marjda.

4th J. B. Madala Co.

Dec. 17. Return of summons served by J. L. Greenfield.
on August 25th 1941

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together
with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Jim Jones

personally, at and in the _____, County of
Madala, State of California, on the 25th day of August, 1941 and that
said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever,
and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 30 days has fully expired now upon motion of said
plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Jim Jones defendant
be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that
judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 170.52 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 10.00. Total judgment
\$ 180.52.

1941
Dec. 26. Execution issued and given to Plaintiff A. Micchi.
Jan. 9. Return of execution served by G. Van Curen Constable
Paid on Judgment \$39.21 balance due \$141.31

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Mike Y. Wyatte

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. B. Veader, John Doe, Richard Roe
Jane Doe and Black White
Company, a Corporation Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARPISLE, S. F.

Action for damages \$40.00

and Exemplary damages \$50.00

Demand, \$

J. D. Boyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1939

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

June

6

Complaint filed and Summons issued

sent to J. D. Boyle for service

4.00

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of _____, State of California

Mrs A. J. Brockman
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Leona Smith
Defendant

Action Recovery of Board bill

Demand, \$ 65.00 (Sixty five)

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1939

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Complaint filed and Summons issued

July 5. " Sent to E. Van Curen Constable
of Madras for Service
7. Return of Summons by Constable of Madras

4.00

24

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Mrs Leona Smith personally, at and in the 4 Township, County of Madras, State of California, on the 7th day of July, 1939 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 10 days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that the default of said Mrs Leona Smith defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff— do have and recover of and from the defendant— the sum of \$ 65.00 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 6.00. Total judgment \$ 71.00.

Done in open Court this day of July 22nd 1939.

W. H. Nicholas J. P.
PAID

August 24 Execution issued

Mrs. A. J. Brockman

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madrid, State of California

Ralph L. Knowles

Plaintiff

vs.

Rob. Thompson
Pine Logging Co.

Defendant

Action for recovery of open
Book 96

Demand, \$ 71.26

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1939

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept. 5th Complaint filed and Summons issued to R. L. Knowles.
Attachment issued and given to R. L. Knowles for service
26 Return of attachment and Summons made by
R. W. Spurgeon Constable of 10th Township of Fresno
Co. who served said Attachment and Summons on
Defendant on 22nd Day of Sept. 1939.
Oct. 27 Plaintiff R. L. Knowles appeared in Court and demands
Judgment which was granted

4.00

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Robert Thompson

personally, at and in the County of
State of California, on the 26th day of April, 1939 and that
said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever,
and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 30 days has fully expired now upon motion of said
plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Robert Thompson defendant
be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that
judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 71.26 principal, \$ 4.00 interest, \$ 75.26 Total judgment
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 4.00

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of _____, State of California

Harold W. White
Plaintiff
vs.
C. B. Smith
Defendant

Action To recover damages
Demand, \$ 64.30
Lerrigo and Gousser
Attorney for Plaintiff
Sandford and Hammett
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1939	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to Lerrigo and Gousser.	4.00	
Nov. 25.	for service		
March 14	Answer filed by Attorneys for Defendant.		

No.

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madison, State of California

FOURTH

Action

Order designating
Lias Innot

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$..

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 40.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff	Defendant
<p>1. <i>State of New York</i></p> <p>2. <i>County of New York</i></p> <p>3. <i>City of New York</i></p> <p>4. <i>County of Albany</i></p> <p>5. <i>County of Westchester</i></p> <p>6. <i>County of Dutchess</i></p> <p>7. <i>County of Sullivan</i></p> <p>8. <i>County of Ulster</i></p> <p>9. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>10. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>11. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>12. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>13. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>14. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>15. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>16. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>17. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>18. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>19. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>20. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>21. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>22. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>23. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>24. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>25. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>26. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>27. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>28. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>29. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>30. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>31. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>32. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>33. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>34. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>35. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>36. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>37. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>38. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>39. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>40. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>41. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>42. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>43. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>44. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>45. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>46. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>47. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>48. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>49. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>50. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>51. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>52. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>53. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>54. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>55. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>56. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>57. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>58. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>59. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>60. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>61. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>62. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>63. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>64. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>65. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>66. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>67. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>68. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>69. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>70. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>71. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>72. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>73. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>74. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>75. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>76. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>77. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>78. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>79. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>80. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>81. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>82. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>83. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>84. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>85. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>86. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>87. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>88. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>89. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>90. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>91. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>92. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>93. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>94. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>95. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>96. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>97. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>98. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>99. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>100. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>101. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>102. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>103. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>104. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>105. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>106. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>107. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>108. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>109. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>110. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>111. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>112. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>113. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>114. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>115. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>116. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>117. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>118. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>119. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>120. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>121. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>122. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>123. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>124. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>125. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>126. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>127. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>128. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>129. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>130. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>131. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>132. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>133. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>134. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>135. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>136. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>137. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>138. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>139. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>140. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>141. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>142. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>143. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>144. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>145. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>146. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>147. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>148. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>149. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>150. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>151. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>152. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>153. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>154. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>155. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>156. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>157. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>158. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>159. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>160. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>161. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>162. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>163. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>164. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>165. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>166. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>167. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>168. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>169. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>170. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>171. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>172. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>173. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>174. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>175. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>176. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>177. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>178. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>179. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>180. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>181. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>182. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>183. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>184. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>185. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>186. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>187. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>188. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>189. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>190. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>191. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>192. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>193. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>194. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>195. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>196. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>197. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>198. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>199. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>200. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>201. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>202. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>203. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>204. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>205. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>206. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>207. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>208. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>209. <i>County of Warren</i></p> <p>210. <i>County of Rensselaer</i></p> <p>211. <i>County of Schoharie</i></p> <p>212. <i>County of Hamilton</i></p> <p>213. <i>County of Fulton</i></p> <p>214. <i>County of Seneca</i></p> <p>215. <i>County of Yates</i></p> <p>216. <i>County of Oneida</i></p> <p>217. <i>County of Madison</i></p> <p>218. <i>County of Herkimer</i></p> <p>219. <i>County of Warren</i></p>	

Jan 3.

It is Ordered that this Court does designate that 30. Jurors is the estimated number of Trial Jurors that will in the opinion of the Court be required for the transaction of the business of the Court, and the trial of causes therein during the ensuing year.

Dated: January 23 / , 1940

Van Nicholas

Justice of the Peace of Fourth
Township, County of Madera

In the Justice's Court of FOURTH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

G. H. Krohn

Plaintiff

vs.

John A. Hassell and
J. B. Sell

Defendant

Action for recovery of value of a
promissory note and interest.

Demand, \$ 219.00 interest & costs.

Mason A. Bailey (Madera)
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. A. Chase (Visalia)
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1940	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov. 16.	Complaint filed and Summons issued for Defendants to answer within ten days.	400.	
Jan. 15	Demurrer filed by J. A. Chase atty, for defendant.		
Feb. 19	Answer to complaint filed by "		
Mar. 19.	Trial set for hearing Mar. 27 th 1941.		
Mar. 24	Trial reset and continued for April 15 at 1:30 PM		
Apr. 15.	Case duly came before the Court on Apr. 15 th 1941. Mason A. Bailey appeared for Plaintiff J. A. Chase " " Defendant. G. H. Krohn plaintiff testified on his own behalf. J. A. Hassell and J. B. Sell defendants testified for themselves. Testimony was given pro and con. and the Court took case under advisement. Attorneys for both parties submitted briefs and asked for a later date for giving judgment, which time was set for May 3 rd 1941.		
June 24.	The above action having been settled out of Court, the same is dismissed with prejudice at request of Mason Bailey Attorney for Plaintiff		
Done in open Court this day of June 24 th 1941			
J. W. Nicholas Justice of the Peace 4 th Sup. Madera Co.			

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madura, State of California

J. Blaine Noble

Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Huntington

Defendant

Action to recover store bill

Demand, \$ 51.60.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 41

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

- Jan 9. Complaint filed and Summons issued to M. B. Bessie for service
10. Attachment issued
10. Return of Summons and attachment made by M. B. Bessie
15. Defendant comes into Court and admits demand and files affidavit of 50% of judgment for support of his family. Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 51.60 principal, \$ 4.00 interest, \$ 55.60 attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 55.60. Total judgment \$ 111.20.

400

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. A. Huntington personally, at and in the town of Raymond, County of Madura, State of California, on the 10th day of January, 1941, and that said defendant has ~~not~~ appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 10 days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff ~~it is ordered that the default of said~~ defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 51.60 principal, \$ 4.00 interest, \$ 55.60 attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 55.60. Total judgment \$ 111.20.

Execution was issued and served on the Knickerbocker Inn, for \$35.53 money in their hands due Defendant, \$17.71 was paid to Plaintiff. \$17.71 was allowed by the Court to Defendant for support of his family.

A deficiency judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff of \$20.07. Done in open Court this day of Jan 1941. J. A. Huntington, J. P.

Received \$17.71. J. Blaine Noble

In the Justice's Court of San Luis Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Andrew Mechi

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Bradley

Defendant

Action to collect book No.

Demand, \$ 50.11

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1941

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

27 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Writ of attachment issued and given to Constable
Return for service returns made July 7th 1941.

4.00. ¹¹

Defendant appears in Court. States that the sum of
has been paid since the Complaint was issued, he
further admits owing sum claimed less \$5.00.
Constable's fees of \$50.00 under execution in hands of Grant Co.

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 48.11 principal, \$ 4.00 interest, \$ 4.00

attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 52.11 Total judgment

\$ 52.11 Constable's charges \$ 2.50 Total \$ 54.61

\$27.30 of which was paid under execution

Defendant by affidavit claims exemption of half
of judgment for support of his family

Deficiency judgment \$27.31

Done in open court, this day of July 7th 1941
L. H. Nicholas
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madura, State of California

J. L. Imagno

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Fletcher Jones

Defendant

Action to recover back %

Demand, \$ 24.66

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1941

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Self 3. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable
this of Attachment issued

4.00

20 Alias attachment issued.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant

personally, at and in the _____, County of _____, State of California, on the _____ day of _____, 19____ and that said defendant ha not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: _____ days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that the default of said _____ defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of _____ principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ _____ Total judgment _____

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant G. L. Jones

personally, at and in the 4th Township, County of Madura, State of California, on the 3 day of Self, 1941 and that said defendant ha not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: _____ days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that the default of said G. L. Jones defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 24.66 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 4.00 Total judgment \$ 28.66

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

		Action <u>Marriage Ceremony</u>
Plaintiff		Demand, \$ _____
vs.		
Defendant		Attorney for Plaintiff _____
		Attorney for Defendant _____

DATE

19 41

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Elch

Complaint filed and Summons issued

A marriage ceremony was this day performed
between
Earl J. Nicoll and
Marie L. Hansen before me

J. W. Nicholas
Justice of the Peace
4 Township of Madras Co.

N. B.

The Judge made a fine job of the
Ceremony and we all agree that he is O.K.

Mr. Krahn

Sigrid Hansen

Mrs. C. L. Culbertson

Mrs. Tammie Powers

Mrs. A. Olander

Hans G. Hansen

Mrs. Paul Rudick

Dixie Krohn

Carl Hansen

Kimmie Powers

Mr. & Mrs. John Hansen

Mrs. Preston Emerick

Ms Olander

Betty Pennington

Mrs. Will Nicoll

Erna C. Watts

Marie Sorensen

Wm. Nicoll

Grace Olney
Mrs. L. Culbertson

No.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Action

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19

PROCEEDINGS

[illegible]

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Order of the day
Continued — from last page
C. A. Pennington
Mildred Clander

In the Justice's Court of Sanuch Township,
County of Madura, State of California

G. H. Archer

Plaintiff

vs.

John Primrose

Defendant

Action recovery of book &c.

Demand, \$ 83.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	1941	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
July	16	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Aug.	4	Return of summons served by J. M. Hinch (Inolumelo)	400.	
Sept.	4			
<p>It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant <u>John Primrose</u> personally, at and in the <u>County of Yolumne</u>, State of California, on the <u>4th</u> day of <u>August</u>, 1941 and that said defendant <u>has</u> not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: <u>30</u> days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that the default of said <u>John Primrose</u> defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.</p> <p>Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff <u>do</u> have and recover of and from the defendant— the sum of \$ <u>83.60</u> principal, \$ <u> </u> interest, \$ <u> </u> attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ <u>6.00</u>. Total judgment \$ <u>89.60</u>.</p> <p><u>W. H. Hinch</u> J.P.</p>				

In the Justice's Court of Sanuel Township,
County of Madera, State of California

G. A. Kuhn.

Plaintiff

vs.

Shuey Lewis
Oakhurst.

Defendant

Action for recovery of Book etc.

Demand, \$ 52.89

Mason A. Bailey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 41

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Oct. 27. Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to Mason A. Bailey,
29. Defendant appears in answer to summons,
and admit indebtedness

400 ¹¹

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 52.89 principal, \$ interest, \$
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 5.00. Total judgment
\$ 57.89.

Nov. Defendant pay. \$10.00 into court which was remitted
Dec. " " \$ 5.00 " to Mason Bailey

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Geo. Brooks

Plaintiff

vs.

Ray Greenfield
Greenfield

Defendant

Action *to recover Book 40*

Demand, \$ *35.00*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <i>41</i>	<i>Dec 23</i> Complaint filed and Summons issued		
	<i>Writ of attachment issued</i>		
	<i>Cancelled</i>		

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Marina, State of California

Andreu Micchi

Plaintiff

vs.

Walter Ball

Defendant

Action to recover a Book &c

Demand, \$ 36. 16

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 41

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

- Dec. 12. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for
15. service
Writ of Attachment issued
15. Return of service of summons and Writ of Attachment
made by Constable who served attachment on
The Alhambra Sanitarium for the sum of \$37.50.
16. Defendant appeared in Court and acknowledges
the said indebtedness of \$36.16.

400. 4

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby read and entered and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 36. 16. principal, \$ 1. 75 interest, \$
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 8. 00. Total judgment
\$ 45. 91. with accrued costs making total
judgment \$ 49.16

Dec 20. Execution issued and served by Constable who
collected \$37.50.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>August Mechi</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>William Espe Junr.</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>to recover book &c</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>14.05</u></p> <p>Attorney for Plaintiff _____</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant _____</p>
--	-----------------------------------	--

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

Dec 17	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service		4.00
15	Writ of Attachment issued		
15	Return of summons made by Constable attachment served on Ahwahnee Sanibam for \$17.33.		
16	Defendant appeared in Court and acknowledged indebtedness.		

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 14.05 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 8.00. Total judgment \$ 22.05 with accrued costs of \$5.00 making total of \$ 27.05

Dec 22 Execution issued and served by Constable. \$17.33 was collected on execution leaving an unsatisfied amount of \$10.02 for which a deficiency judgment is now entered.

Received \$5.00 on 1/2 of above

Jan 2

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Andrew Misch

Plaintiff

vs.

Carl Crawshaw

Defendant

Action to recover book 40 and ex. 10.

Demand, \$ 295.04 and costs est.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1947

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

- Jan 8. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service
8. Writ of attachment issued
13. Writ of attachment served by Constable on Parcels of land described as follows
 Lots 4, 5, 6 - 11 - 12 in Section 29 Township 6 Range 21. M D M containing 207.01 acres.
 Lots 7, 8, 9. Sec. 30 Twp 6. Range 21. E. M D M. Containing 118.42 acres. All in the County of Madera, State of California.
13. Return of Summons made by Constable
- April 2. At the request of David P. Bancroft and Mason Baulz attorneys for defendant and plaintiff respectively. The above cause is dismissed with prejudice.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

J. B. Lane Noble

Plaintiff

vs.
R. R. Phelps

Defendant

Action to recover book &c

Demand, \$ 63. 17. and costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 47

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

19

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Summons served in court.

12. Defendant appears in court and acknowledges indebtedness.

400

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 63. 17. principal, \$ 6.00 interest, \$ 5.00 attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 5.00. Total judgment \$ 79.17.

In the Justice's Court of Sanuck Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Ralph L. Knowles

Plaintiff

vs.

Carl Salmer

Defendant

Action for recovery of book &c

Demand, \$ 64.72

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 40

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

- Feb 13 Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to long table for service
14 Return of service made by constable.
Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges debt

4.00

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do hereby recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 64.72 principal, \$ 12.00 interest, attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$11.00. Total judgment
\$ 87.72.

- 14 Execution issued and return on the Alameda County
for the sum of \$40, \$20 of which is exempt. The Defendant
further agrees to pay plaintiff \$5.00 per month for
3 months from date, then to pay \$10.00 per month
until judgment is satisfied

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

J. Clane Noble

Plaintiff

vs.

Harold Hooker

Defendant

Action to recover open book

Demand, \$ 43.59

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

March 31. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Bassett for service

400 ✓

March 31. Writ of attachment served

April 7. Returns made by constable.

Defendant appears in court and admits owing this sum of \$43.59.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 43.59 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ 1.60.

attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 11.00. Total judgment \$ _____.

Apr. 2 \$40.00 was paid on by constable

leaving a deficiency judgment of \$16.19.

May 7. Paid \$7.00 balance to be paid May 15th 1912.

In the Justice's Court of Yount Township,
County of Maricopa, State of California

J. A. Terragno.

Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. Elam

Defendant

Action to recover work &c

Demand, \$ 26.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 47

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

- Nov. 31. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable.
 Attachment issued
 Apr. 2. Returns made on summons and attachment
 by Constable
 4. Defendant appeared in Court and acknowledges
 indebtedness.

400.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
 adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
 \$ 26.00 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____
 attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 6.00 Total judgment
 \$ 32.00.

Done in open Court this
 day of April 5th 1947
 J. A. Terragno

4. Execution was issued on attachment and was
 satisfied in full.

Received from Court in full judgment \$26.00.

J. A. Terragno.

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Chas. Eymann

Plaintiff

vs.

G. L. Jones

Defendant

Action to recover book &c

Demand, \$ 84.32 and costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 4 11

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Apr 10. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for
service
14. Return of summons made by Constable.

4.00

Apr 30. It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together
with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant G. L. Jones
personally, at and in the Madison, County of
Madison, State of California, on the 14 day of Apr, 1911 and that
said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever,
and that the legal time therefor to wit: days has fully expired now upon motion of said
plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said G. L. Jones defendant
be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that
judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 84.32 principal, \$ 26.56 interest, \$
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 4.75. Total judgment
\$ 115.63.

Apr 30. Execution issued and sent to J. D. Boyle
June 5 Copy of Judgment sent to Chas Eymann Plaintiff

In the Justice's Court of Southern Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Ralph L. Knowles

Plaintiff

vs.

A. A. Smith

Defendant

Action to recover Book &c

Demand, \$ 41.66
Interest and Costs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 47

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Apr. 20 Complaint filed and Summons issued

20 Writ of attachment issued and sent to Constable
Ethan Langbein Campbell, Santa Clara Co.

24 Return of Summons made by Ethan Langbein.

26 Defendant A. A. Smith appears in Court and
acknowledges debt.

400 M

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 41.66 principal, \$ 3.88 interest, \$ 5.15 attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 5.15. Total judgment
\$ 50.79.

May 26 Judgment satisfied in full.

In the Justice's Court of _____
County of _____

Township, _____
State of California

Katherine Luke

Plaintiff

vs.

Opal Morris

Defendant

Action

To recover open book fee

Demand, \$ *51.69*

Geo. Raburn

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1947

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July 20 Complaint filed and Summons issued

July 20 Writ of Attachment issued
Cash bond deposited for \$50.00

✓ 400

Case dismissed by request of Attorney Geo Raburn.
Bond refunded to Plaintiff Mrs Katherine Luke.

Received \$50.00 for cash bond
Katherine Luke

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>Geo. Brooks.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>Rosal Greenfield</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>to recover book &c</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>25.82</u> <u>Principal</u> <u>4.30</u> <u>Interest.</u></p> <p><u>Car.</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant</p>
--	-----------------------------------	--

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>47</u>			
Sept. 14.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Basant for service		4.00
14.	Attachment issued to be served on Alvarado Sanitarium. Cash bond deposited by G. H. Brooks		

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madison, State of California

James Stuart Nichols an
incompetent person, through
his General Guardian,
Vernae Beecher Plaintiff
vs.

Earl Whitehead and Edith
Whitehead Defendant \$

Action for restitution of premises described
in Complaint and damages for unlawful
detention
Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1942

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept. 14. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. Bassett for service
Oct. 21. Return of Summons made by Constable. Summons
served on Defendants. Oct. 20th 1942.
Settled out of court.

4.00

In the Justice's Court of Gough Township,
County of Madoka, State of California

Andrew Micchi

Plaintiff

vs.

Richard W. Gilstrap

Defendant

Action to recover book fee

Demand, \$ 185.66

David Baicraft

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 + 7	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov.	3	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable N.B. Basch	4.00	"
	4	for service		
	5	attachment given on Jora Pick up.		
	6	Complaint & Summons returned by constable who stated that Defendant was now a resident of Texas.		
	7	Complaint & Summons and attachment given to Constable in Texas Co.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Alman Post
Plaintiff
vs.
Becie A. Young
Defendant

Action Action to recover book &c
Demand, \$ 199.69 and material comp cost.
David Baerhaft
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1943			
May. 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued	4.00	
	Attachment issued		
	Undertaking for attachment filed A. E. Phelps and Jud Benton securities		
1945.			
Apr 22	Affidavit and Application for the issuance of Alias Summons		
	Alias Summons issued and junior Constable Bover for service		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Chas Higgins

Plaintiff

vs.

B. L. Jones

Defendant

Action for Claim and Delivery

Demand, \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Inter Insurance Co. of
Automobile Club of Calif.
vs. Plaintiff

Leslie O. Gregg, Melba Gregg
Defendant

Action to recover damages property

Demand, \$ 336.98

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 43

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug. 13 Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to H. B. Bosen
constable of 4th Precinct Madril for service

20. Return of summons not served Defendants unknown 4.00⁰⁰

Sept. 16 First amended complaint filed stating plaintiff's
demand for the sum of \$300.00.

Nov. 16 Dismissed with prejudice

In the Justice's Court of San Juan Township,
County of _____, State of California

Ralph L. Knowles
Plaintiff
vs.
Myrl Evans
Defendant

Action to recover back account
Demand, \$ 46.50 together with interest and costs.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

Sept 7. Complaint filed and Summons issued
Writ of attachment issued and given to
Geo. Van Curen for service 4.00 v
9. Return of Summons and writ of attachment
made by George Van Curen Constable of 3rd Dist
Maxima Co. Writ of attachment wholly unsatisfied
Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges
deb. 2.25

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 46.50 principal, \$ 14.88 interest, \$ _____
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 6.25. Total judgment
\$ 67.63.

Sept 9. Defendant pays into Court \$1000 P. L. N.
pay 10 " " " \$1000 P. L. N.
Defendant appears in Court and satisfies judgment
in full. \$47.63.

PAID Ralph L. Knowles
Mich 1946.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Ralph L. Knowles

Plaintiff

vs.

J. R. Gaskin

Defendant

Action *to recover book account.*

Demand, \$ *106.90.*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 *43*

PROCEEDINGS

FEES
Plaintiff Defendant

*Sept. 20. Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to constable at
North Fork for service
Attachment issued*

4 00

*29 Defendant appears in Court and acknowledges
this indebtedness and agrees to pay \$50.00 on 1c*

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ *106.90.* principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ *37.00.*
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ *13.50*. Total judgment
\$ *153.40*.

*Attachment and execution was duly served and
\$106.00 wages due defendant was levied on and
remitted to this Court. Defendant appeared and
claimed 50 % of same for support of family which
was allowed by the Court.*

*Received \$58.00 James J. Gaskin
" \$53.00 Ralph L. Knowles*

*Defendant further agrees to pay balance of Judgment
to Plaintiff in equal payments of \$5.00 each and every
two weeks commencing Nov 4th 1943. and continuing
until judgment is satisfied.*

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madison, State of California

<u>M. F. Serpa</u>		Action <u>to recover account.</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>410.00</u>
<u>Walter D. Neuse</u>		<u>Thomas Lopez</u>
Defendant		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug. 27, 1945	Complaint filed and Summons issued also attachment given to Constable for service. Attachment served by constable. Affidavit and undertaking filed for \$810.00. Frank A. Games and Fred Games co-signers.	4.00	
27.	Return of Summons & attachment. Defendant appears in Court and enters a general denial. Hearing set for Sept 5 th 10 A.M.		
Sept 5, 1945	This being the time set for the above hearing both plaintiff and defendant being present, the following witnesses were duly sworn and testified, Witness D. Neuse, M. F. Serpa, Mike Smith, Mrs. Julia Neuse.		
Sept 5 th 1945	After considering all the evidence it was the judgment of the court that the plaintiff was the owner and entitled to the possession of the two horses and that defendant pay plaintiff \$35.00 for the use of the two horses for one year and it was further ordered that the plaintiff do have and recover \$50.00 for the rental of the land from the defendant and that plaintiff recover costs in the action.		
	Le Roy E. Bailey Done in open court the 5 th day of September, 1945 Each party waived notice of the entry of judgment.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Ed Puckett

Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Frank B. Hubert
and John Doe & Jane Doe
Defendant

Action *By endorsement against*
drawer of check

Demand, \$ *185.31 and costs*

Clyde E. Hale *Fresno*
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 45.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept. 29. Complaint filed and Summons issued

Attachment issued

Undertaking of attachment filed G. A. Krohn and
R. S. Armburg sureties for \$100.00 each.

Nov. 5. Above action was dismissed with prejudice at
request of Attorney for Plaintiff.

4.00.5

In the Justice's Court of Gourish Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Lindsay Wright
and Chas Peate

Plaintiff

vs.

Robert J Reed and
Chas Peate

Defendant

Action to recover 76 of \$76.00
and cost

Demand, \$ 76.00 and cost.

Mason Raul
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 46			
Jan 16.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Sheriff of Mariposa Co. Attachment issued.	4.00	
	Affidavit of attachment signed by Plaintiff and \$5000 Cash bond deposited		
	Demurrer filed by Robert J. Reed by his attorney S. Galvin		
Apr. 12	Defendant R. J. Reed enters answer of general denial		
27.	Action action here fully satisfied, is dismissed with prejudice at request of Plaintiff's Attorney.		

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Maricopa, State of California

Andrus Mecher

Plaintiff

vs.

M. Riley, also known as and
called M. Remme

Defendant

Action for recovery of a merchandise
account.

Demand, \$275.00 Interest and costs
of suit David Bancroft,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 46

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Jan 30

Complaint filed and Summons issued

400.

Writ of attachment issued.

Affidavit of attachment signed by Plaintiff and \$50.00
cash bond is deposited by him.

July 18

Settled out of court and case dismissed at request of
Plaintiff.

July 18th 1946

Done in open Court this day of
JULY Nicholas
Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Andrew Meechi

Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Milton

Defendant

Action to recover book etc.

Demand, \$ 187.07 Interest and costs

David Bucroft
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

1946

Jan 30. Complaint filed and Summons issued
Feb 5 Return of Summons served made by Sheriff of Mariposa.
Apr. 22 Abstract of Judgment given to Plaintiff

4.00

50

1.00

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Sam Milton personally, at and in the City and County of Mariposa, State of California, on the 5th day of Feb, 1946 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 30 days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Sam Milton defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 187.07 principal, \$ interest, \$ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 5.50. Total judgment \$ 198.27.

Done in open Court this day Apr 22 1946
W. T. Nicholas
Justice of the Peace.

May Paid on Judgment. \$40.00.

Apr. " " " \$40.00.

May 16. Execution issued for 117.27 + 4.00. Cost balance due on Judgment. 1.00

30 Return from Execution check 117.27

Paid by Andrew Meechi Plaintiff the sum of \$13.27.

Andrew Meechi

In the Justice's Court of Santa Township,
County of Madura, State of California

J. Marvin Brown.

Plaintiff

vs.

Keith M. S. John.

Defendant

Action

to recover back &c

Demand, \$

363.46. Pledge for v'n

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 4 7.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Complaint filed and Summons issued

against K. M. S. John constable

for service

4.00.

Served out of Court

J. Marvin Brown

No. 78

813

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Clem Meschi

Plaintiff

vs.

Keth. M. Jr. Schu

Defendant

Action to recover back of

Demand, \$ 103.89

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 47

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

July 17

Complaint filed and Summons issued given to N. B. sent for service

400.

Oct. 4 Settled out of Court.
Clem Meschi

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of _____, State of California

Andrew. Mechi.

Plaintiff

vs.

M. Riley, also known as and called.
M. Rennie and wife Leannette
Riley his wife

Defendant

Action to recover open book &c for
goods and necessities of life

Demand, \$199.42 177.12

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 47.

PROCEEDINGS

FEEs

Plaintiff Defendant

Oct. 18 Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service

4 00.

Writ of attachment issued no assets.

Nov. 14 Plaintiff & Defendant appear in Court.

Testimony was taken pro. & con.

It appearing to the Court that the Plaintiff is the
aggrieved party therefore it is hereby ordered & decreed
that he the said Plaintiff do recover from Defendant
the sum of \$177.12 together with court costs \$6.50
making a total of \$183.62.

Done in open Court this day of Nov. 14th 1947
Nov. 14 \$50.00 was paid to Mechi

Wm. B. Chalaco, J.P.

1949

Jan. 18. Abstract of Judgment issued
Execution issued for.

1 00

Affidavit deposited with Auditor pro. & claim

Feb. 25. Received from Court collected by attachment \$53.73
Received payment. Andrew Mechi

1 00.

Balance due Plaintiff, after deducting payment
of \$50.00 and \$53.73 less same being one half
of proceeds of execution

Mar. 5. Return of Attachment. returns \$5.44 & \$5.50 Defendant
comes into Court and claims half of Execution for maintenance
of family by Affidavit. \$41.97. Max paid to Defendant
\$41.97 to Plaintiff.

Affidavit issued and deposited with Auditor

1.00

1 00

Abstract of Judgment filed

1 00

Still due \$37.92 on Judgment.

Received \$41.97 A. Mechi

In the Justice's Court of Font. Township,
County of Madura, State of California

George M. Goven

Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. McFarland

Defendant

Action to recover on unlawful detainer

Demand, \$ 170.00

Mason Bailey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1948	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Jan 9	Complaint filed and Summons issued and returned to Mason A. Bailey for service	400.	
Feb 17	Defendant appeared in Court and verbally entered a general denial Hearing set for March 20, 1948 at 2 O'clock P.M.		
Mar 20	Request for default filed		
Mar 20	Default filed		
Mar 20	Affidavit of non military service filed		
Mar 20	Memorandum of costs filed		
Mar 20	Judgment filed		
July 5	Writ of restitution filed and given to H. B. Brown complaint for service Execution return was also filed		

In the Justice's Court of San Luis Township,
County of Madrid, State of California

Andrew Meach

Plaintiff

vs.

C. Gamm

Defendant

Action to recover lost also

Demand, \$ 102.59.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 48

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Mch.

11 ^{ca} Complaint filed and Summons issued

400.

Writ of attachment issued
Affidavit of value filed Bond \$50.00 Cash rec'd.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

~~Mrs Fred Weiss~~
J. Mecchi.

Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Fred Weiss

Defendant

Action *de rector boat &c*

Demand, \$ 110.22 & Cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1948			
Nov. 11.	Complaint filed and Summons issued		400
13	Defendant appeared in Court and paid the sum of \$30.00 on fee		

In the Justice's Court of
County of _____

Township,
State of California

W. L. Christman

Plaintiff

vs.

Louie Montgomery

Defendant

Action to recover book &c.

Demand, \$ 168.57.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 48.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept. 7 Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to the Constable for service
7 Writ of Attachment issued
Oct. 21 Return of Summons and attachment made by H. B. Doerflinger
Nov. 21 Affidavit of non military service filed by Plaintiff.
Defendant appeared in court and demands judgment.
22 Undertaking of attachment \$50.00 bond reported

4.00

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Louie Montgomery personally, at and in the 1st Township, County of Madison, State of California, on the 12th day of Sept, 1948 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 10 days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that the default of said Louie Montgomery defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff— it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff— do have and recover of and from the defendant— the sum of \$ 168.57 principal, \$ 10.00 interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 27.25 Total judgment \$ 182.57.

Done in open Court this 22nd day of
Nov. 22 1948

W. L. Christman

Justice of the Peace

Nov. 22 Execution issued and given to Constable
1948/15 Return of Execution of Constable a Plymouth Car was
attached and sold \$50.00 to W. L. Christman
\$50.00 bond on undertaking refunded
W. L. Christman

In the Justice's Court of
County of

Township,
State of California

Harlow S. Busby

Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Barrera, Black White Co. Corp.
J. and R. Roe co-partners: Doe & Roe
Co. a co-partnership,
first Doe and second Roe

Defendant

Action to recover damages

Demand, \$ 241.83, plus

Jamberson Thomas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Ray M. Hays (Jury)
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 48.

PROCEEDINGS

FEEs

Plaintiff

Defendant

- Sept. 20. Complaint filed and Summons issued and returned to Jamberson Thomas 400
said complaint is verified by the Notary at
Oakbrook.
- Oct. 23. Answer by Attorney for defendant filed
26. Time set for hearing Dec 4th 1948 at 10. A.M.
- Nov. 27. Amended complaint filed by Attorney for Plaintiff
with request for all papers to be filed in
the Superior Court of Nevada for reason of out-
of Jurisdiction of Justice Court.

In the Justice's Court of Four Township,
County of Madrid, State of California

B. C. Norberg.

Plaintiff

vs.

R. Clark.

Defendant

Action to recover book 70.

Demand, \$ 128.04

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 49.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Jan. 15. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable at
Madrid for service

4.00.

20. Return of service of Summons and Complaint

1.00.

Jan 30, Defendant appears in court and acknowledges that he
owes the above sum of money to the plaintiff.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$ 128.04 principal, \$ interest, \$

attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 7.00 Total judgment

Court costs. \$135.04

Jan 31st	Filing Plaintiff's affidavit of claim	\$ 1.00
	Issuing abstract of judgment	1.00
Jan 15th	Filing complaint	4.00
31	Return of summons, constable's fee	1.00

July 3.

Execution served on Com. and Lot. who
return a Execution together with sum of \$ 20.06
same being money in hands due R. Clark. \$ 7.00.
R. Clark appears in Court and claims exemption
of half his wages under D. F. Civil Code of California
for support of his family, he is credited on
Judgment for \$ 60.03.

5.

In the Justice's Court of Frank Township,
County of Madra, State of California

<u>Chas. G. Siegler.</u>	}	Action <u>to recover sum of \$125.00</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>125.00</u>
<u>Geo. Neilmier</u>	}	<u>C. R. Robinson</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		<u>Frank</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 49.			
Arch 12.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to C. R. Robinson.	400.	
May 4.	Demurrer filed by Frank Lerrigo attorney for Defendant.		
4	Notice of Motion for change of venue filed		
20	Order transferring cause to 11 Township of Fresno Co.		
	Stipulation agreed on by both attorneys		
28	Necessary documents duly forwarded to above named court.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

William Baker.

Plaintiff

vs.

Mary Alice Green.

Defendant

Action for recovery of & any stock & value

Demand, \$

Lindsay South.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1949.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

- Apr. 5. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to W. B. Boush
constable for service at any 1st 49. 4.00
7. Return of Summons served this day
Undertaking for \$400.00 signed by Emil Whitehead
and William Baker deposited in Court copy served
on Mary A. Green
4. Undertaking of Claim and delivery
7. Affidavit of Undertaking
Answer to Cross Complaint
- Mich 16 Affidavit of Cross Complaint signed by Mary Alice Green

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Geo. M. Holland
Plaintiff
vs.
Joseph H. Frank
Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer
Demand, \$ _____
Lindsay Parth
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1949

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

10 Sept Unlawful detainer signed
10 Sept Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Plaintiff for
service

4.00

Col. 7 Return of service made by A. J. Bankhead.

11 Affidavit of non military service filed

Date

Case dismissed on motion of the court as no
court of jurisdiction was designated

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

A. Mechi

Plaintiff

vs.

R. J. Alcorn and

Gladys Lenore Alcorn

Defendant

Action to recover book account

Demand, \$ 150.57 and court cost.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1949

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Oct.

6. Complaint filed and Summons issued

Writ of attachment issued and given to Constable

4.00

W. B. Bessert for service

29. Summons served on Gladys Lenore Alcorn Oct. 29 and R. J. Alcorn Oct 29. Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

\$50.00 cash bond deposited by Plaintiff.

Dec.

30.

12. Affidavit of non military service filed by defendant R. J. Alcorn & Gladys Lenore Alcorn.

defendants in above action having failed to appear and answer complaint of the Plaintiff within the time set for answering according to Law. Judgment is therefore entered in favor of A. Mechi defendant, and it is truly ordered and decreed that the Plaintiff do have and recover from the said defendants the sum of \$150.70 with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from the date hereof until paid together with plaintiff's costs and disbursements in the amount of \$7.25.

Judgment rendered the 30th of December 1949.

Jan.

31. Execution for above amount issued. Settled out of court.

J. H. Richals

Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of Bonick Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Norton BellAction to recover book account

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 163.18 & CostsArthur L. Sinclair

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1949

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Oct 25,

Complaint filed and Summons issued & given to Plaintiff for service

4.00

29.

Return of Summons made by N. B. Stancout, constable
Mendocino Co.

Dec 8

Certificate of Non Military Service filed

-

Request for Default Judgment

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together
with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Arthur L. Sinclair

personally, at and in the _____, County of

Mendocino, State of California, on the _____ day of _____, 19____ and that

said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever,

and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 30 days has fully expired now upon motion of saidplaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Arthur L. Sinclair defendantbe and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that
judgment be entered herein as prayed for.Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of\$ 163.00 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 7.50. Total judgment\$ 170.50Done in open Court. This day of Dec 8th 1949

J. M. Nicholas

Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Go. M. Holland

Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph H. Frank

Defendant

Action for unlawful detainer

Demand, \$

Lindsay South
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. D. Boyle
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1949

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Oct. 29 Complaint filed and Summons issued

4.00

Complaint of unlawful detainer filed.

Aug. 16. 3 days notice to vacate premises served
by N. B. South.

Nov. 1. Demurrer filed by Atty for defendant

Set for hearing Dec 10th at 11 O'clock by request of
N. Lindsay South attorney for Plaintiff.

J. D. Boyle attorney for defendant notified

11. Case called and as no appearance was made by Plaintiff
or his attorney the Court decided to sustain demurrer
of Defendant and deny any amendments to original
complaint by Plaintiff or his attorney.

Dec. 29. Allocated copy of above sent to Plaintiff's attorney by
attorney for Defendant.

Done in open Court this day of Dec^r 1949

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Modoc, State of California

Thuchant's Cession of Modoc
vs. Richard L. Mc Gilray
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action to recover back \$

Demand, \$ 50.00 plus

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1949.			
Dec 30.	Complaint filed and Summons issued the Constable for service	4.00	
1950.			
Jan 7.	Defendant R. L. Mc Gilray appeared and answered summons with a general denial.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<u>D. B. Appenig</u>		Action <u>to recover book &c.</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>141.36</u>
<u>John Gaskin</u>		
Defendant		Attorney for Plaintiff _____
		Attorney for Defendant _____

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 50.

PROCEEDINGS

FEEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Dy	11.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service	4 00.
	17.	Return of Summons made by H. B. Baset constable.	

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

E. B. Appleby

Plaintiff

vs.

Donald Basamang

Defendant

Action to recover book etc

Demand, \$ 105.30

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 50			
July 11.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to constable for service	4.00	
17.	Return of Summons made by H. B. Boser constable. Served out of Court at request of Plaintiff		
	Done in open Court this day / July 19 1950		

In the Justice's Court of Franklin Township,
County of Madura, State of California

California Collection Agency

Plaintiff

vs.

Naren Stook James Doe and
John Doe

Defendant

Action to recover to and

Demand, \$ 145.00

James O. Jamnigian
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 50

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July 15. Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to Plaintiff California Collection Agency in Fresno

400

27. Return of summons made by W. Boxer constable.

March 17. Request by Attorney for Plaintiff for the filing of a judgment by default.

Affidavit of non military service of Defendant filed

March 21

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant

personally, at and in the County of Madura, State of California, on the 27th day of July, 19 50 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, disclaimer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 10 days has fully expired upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Naren Stook defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 145.00 principal, \$ 2.55 interest, \$ - attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 7.50. Total judgment \$ 155.05.

Execution issued and sent to defendant's attorneys.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<u>B. B. Malcott.</u>		Action <u>to recover hotel bill</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>12 30</u> <u>plus</u>
<u>Delmas Porter.</u>		
Defendant		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 50			
Sept 23	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service. Attachment issued.	4.00	
	Indemnifying of attachment filed.		
	\$25.00 Cash bond deposited by Plaintiff.		
Mich 10	Return of summons not served.		
	Return of attachment. check attached in hand of Superintendent of Inquiry and deposited in Court for \$37.63.		
16	Plaintiff appears in court and ask for dismissal of suit.		
	Settled out of Court		
	Clam 12.30.		
	Indig fee. 400.		
	Return of bond 25.00		
	<u>\$ 41.30</u>		

BC Wolcott

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Andrew Neesh

Plaintiff

vs.

Theodore M. Garland

Defendant

Action to recover back accountDemand, \$ 250.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 50.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

June 12 Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to M. B. Boser for service
21 Return of Summons by M. B. Boser. charges \$6.50.

4.00

July 2 Copy is all of non military service filed

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Theodore M. Garland personally, at and in the said County of Madera, State of California, on the 21st day of June, 19 50 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 10 days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that the default of said Theodore M. Garland defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 250.00 principal, \$ interest, \$ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 11.50. Total judgment \$ 261.50.

Dec 28 Plaintiff

Done in open Court this day of
June 30th 1950.

J. M. Nicholas J.P.

Apr. 16 1951.

Execution issued for original judgment of 261.50.
and accrued costs of 15.78
making total \$ 277.28

Execution returned not satisfied

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madura, State of California

<p><u>Yorson Bell</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>Wuey Williams</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>to recover book &</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>152.10</u> & <u>7.75</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Attorney for Defendant</p>
--	--

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant

June 15. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to the Constable for service

Not of attachment issued
Affidavit and undertaking filed
Bond of \$50.00 cash received.

24 Return of attachment made by Constable a check was deposited in Court for him for 107.25. and being for wages of defendant from Honolulu Rapson Granite Co. Defendant appeared in Court and stated that he owes this bill of \$152.10 and confessed judgment accordingly and claims exemption of 50% for maintenance of family of 3 persons.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Wuey Williams personally, at and in the above named County of Madura, State of California, on the 22 day of June, 1950 and that said defendant has ~~not~~ appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: _____ days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Wuey Williams defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 152.10, principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 7.75. Total judgment \$ 159.85.

\$52.62 was excused on judgment in favor of Plaintiff.
Total judgment settled out of Court.

Done in open Court this day of June 30th 1950
J. M. Hecksler

In the Justice's Court of
County of _____

Township,
State of California

Merchants Association

Plaintiff

vs.

Ned Dunwoon & John Doe.

Defendant

Action for recovery of book

Demand, \$ 235.00.

Saffer and Malfer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 50

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

June 15. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable W. B. Brown for service.

July 4. Return of Summons made by constable service was made on July 4th.

31. Affidavit of non military service filed
Request for judgment made by Plaintiff

4 00

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Ned Dunwoon.

Madrat personally, at and in the Town of Oakhurst, County of Madrat, State of California, on the 4 day of July, 19 50, and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: _____ days has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Ned Dunwoon defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 235.00. principal, \$ 33.20. interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 4. Total judgment \$ 272.20.

Decree issued and sent to Plaintiff's. \$231.03
Execution returned arrangements made by Defendant to pay at rate of \$25.00 per month.

Done in open Court this day July 31st 1950.

No.

99

In the Justice's Court of Bout Township,
County of Madira, State of California

Merchants Association of Madira
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Clarence B. Fuller

Defendant

Action to recover bookDemand, \$ 98.03

Coffey & Noffs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE
19 50

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

August 29

Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to H. B. Bontfoss

4.00

Writ of attachment issued

Indemnity of Attachment filed with John Gordon and George Pearson, sureties for the sum of \$50.00

Sept 6

Return of Attachment made by Constable. Check of \$153.56 was paid on.

Defendant appears in Court and admits this indebtedness and files affidavit of exemption of half his wages due to him for indebtedness for the maintenance of his family w. Sec. C.C.C. 690-11

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ 98.03 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 19.00. Total judgment \$ 117.03.

Oct 5

Judgment satisfied this day of Oct. 5. 1950

P. M. Nicholas, J.P.

Not credited 4.00 credited Aug. 1950.

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madison, State of California

S. B. Gracie

Plaintiff

vs.

James Whitehead Mrs James Whitehead
James Dad and Richard Row.

Defendant

Action To recover money due on contract.

Demand, \$ 250.00 and other costs.

Boyle & Crocker

Attorney for Plaintiff

Lindsay South Fresno

Attorney for Defendant


FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 51.	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Apr. 16.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to M. B. Bosert for service	4.00.	
20	Return of Summons made by M. B. Bosert.		
23	Answers filed by Co-defendants individually. Denying a general denial of every allegation contained in paragraphs 11 & 12 in the complaint.		
	Also a denial of all and every allegation contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.		
July 29.	Trial set for Sept. 15 th 1952 at request of Boyle & Crocker Attorneys for Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of San. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Burton D. Affling</u>	}	Action <u>to recover book account</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		
<u>John Ray</u>	}	Demand, \$ <u>205.44</u> and interest
Defendant		
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 5/			
June 8	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable B. B. B. for service.		4.00
28	Defendant appeared in Court and accepted summons in person. Defendant admits owing the sum of \$205.44 and judgment is given accordingly in favor of Burton D. Affling.		
	It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant <u>John Ray</u> personally, at and in the <u>County of Madera,</u> State of California, on the <u>28th</u> day of <u>June</u> , 19 <u>57</u> and that said defendant <u>has</u> not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: <u>days</u> has fully expired now upon motion of said plaintiff <u>it is ordered that the default of said defendant</u> be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff <u>it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.</u>		
	Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff <u>do have and recover of and from the defendant</u> the sum of \$ <u>205.44</u> principal, \$ <u>—</u> interest, \$ <u>—</u> attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ <u>4.00</u> Total judgment \$ <u>209.44</u> .		
	Defendant further agrees to pay <u>\$25.00</u> to Plaintiff until this judgment is satisfied.		
	<u>Wm B. B. B.</u> <u>Clarence B. B.</u>		
July 15	Execution issued and given to Constable B. B. B. for execution for Principal \$209.44 and interest \$10.00 making total of \$219.44 due.		
15	Return of Execution by Constable \$29.00 called paid to Plaintiff \$24.70 and \$4.30 cash.		
July 18	Execution issued and resumed paid on same \$2.00 and net \$124.74.		
July 18	Paid in full.		



In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madras, State of California

John Lenson Co
San Francisco

Plaintiff

vs.

Lou J Cox, Dist Dir
and Sanborn Dir

Defendant

Action To recover book accountDemand, \$ 278.29 with interest

Albert S. Hawkins Mered
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 51

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

July 17

Complaint filed and Summons issued

and sent to F. Hawkins attorney for

400.

Plaintiff.

Oct. 29

Affidavit for Public of Summons together with order filed.

Feb 21

Affidavit of Publication

"

21

Return of orig. Summons.

"

21

Certified statement and supporting bills

"

21

Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

"

21

Judgment vs Lou J. Cox and Birdella K. G. Cox \$ 278.29 # 53.60
costs

"

21

Execution Issued

Sept. 16

Release and satisfaction of Judgment filed at request of
Attorney Albert Hawkins of Mered

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madera, State of California

William D. Van Buren.

Plaintiff

vs.

Donald Miller

Defendant

Action to recover Book account.

Demand, \$ 300.00

Sells and McElanahan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Sellers
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 <u>52</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Nov.</u>	<u>25</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Plaintiff's Attorney		
<u>Dec.</u>	<u>10</u>	Return of Summons made by Sheriff of Madera.		
<u>Dec.</u>	<u>10</u>	Defendant appeared in Court and in answer to the summons		
<u>Dec.</u>	<u>10</u>	admits indebtedness of \$300.00		
<u>Feb.</u>	<u>25</u>	Plaintiff appeared with counsel. Requested that a date be set for hearing. Hearing set for March 12, '52 at 10:30 a.m. Notices of time for hearing prepared and sent to parties. Defendant appeared and admitted indebtedness and agrees to pay William Van Buren \$25.00 per month commencing May 1, 1952.		
<u>Apr.</u>	<u>10</u>	Release and satisfaction of Judgment		

In the Justice's Court of Judicial District of Grand Gulch Township,
County of Nadera, State of California

Clark Adams
Leona Gold

Plaintiff

vs.

Lindsay Wright and Denny Jacobs
et al.

Defendant

Action breach damagesDemand, \$ 400.45 plus cost of suitJ. R. Caporale

Attorney for Plaintiff

Leona J. Sharkey

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 52

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Oct.

07.

Complaint filed and Summons issued.

Filing fee paid by County Clerk

400.

The following document filed in the Superior Court and
transferred to Justice Court, Grand Gulch Judicial Dist.
~~trial set for date of 11th 1952 at 2 p.m.~~

Transfer of case since 8-28-54.

Notice of trial

Skip addition as to setting date for trial May 24th 1952

Notice of Trial

Filed May 7th 1952

Memorandum re setting case on Civil Docket list filed May 12

Complaint Property damages

Answer.

Filed April 3, 1952.

Affidavit of answer signed by Denny Jacobs

Denial.

Filed Mar. 14, 1952

12 Subpoena issued for Moley Morris, Ballard Sharpe, Jola Taylor
and sent to J. R. Caporale attorney for Plaintiff

Trial set for Nov. 14th 1952. Atty for Plaintiff and Atty for Defendant
notified

Oct

14,

1952 This being the time set for the above
trial, both plaintiff and defendants with their
respective attorneys were present and the following
witnesses were duly sworn and testified.

Clark Adams, Lindsay Wright, and Denny Jacobs.

After preliminary statement of each attorney
the court took the case under advisement, with
attorneys to submit briefs.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Bernard G. Naberq.
Plaintiff
vs.
Clara Dow and Mrs. Bluma Dow
Defendant

Action *to recover book & note*
Demand, \$ *78.61 with interest*
Cooper and Rolfe
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 52			
Oct 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued Writ of Attachment Affidavit and undertaking for attachment Receipt of money in lieu of undertaking Complaint not drawn	4.00	

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Demand G. Weber.

Action *to recover book account*

Plaintiff

vs.

A.B. Dow and Emma Dow

Demand, \$ *284.76* together with interest

Edgar M. Miller

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 *52*

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Oct.

14

Complaint filed and Summons issued

4.00

Not of Attachment

Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment

Receipt for deposit of money in lieu of Undertaking of Attachment

\$50.00. deposited by Plaintiff

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

David Freedman & Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

Ronald L. Redberger, Dorothy Plummer,
Blair C. et al.

Defendant

Action To recover damages in automobile accident
at Berkeley

Demand, \$ 280.99 & costs

900 Fulton Street, S. H. Harrington
San Francisco, Calif. Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert E. Maloney
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1955 19 55			
Feb 30	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent by mail to Robert H. Harrington for service	4.00	
Aug 23	Cross Complaint filed by Robert E. Maloney Attorney for Plaintiff Summons issued and sent to Robert E. Maloney attorney for Defendant for service		
Sept 10	Answer to Cross Complaint filed		
November 1	Trial set for November 23, 1954 at 2:00 clock P.M.		
Nov 18	Re-set for trial by the Court 2:00 clock P.M. December 13, 1954		
Dec 13, 1954	Dorothy Plummer, under section 40.000 of the California Code of Civil Procedure		

(3) That each party shall bear his own cost
Wated this 4th of January 1955
(Signed)

Le Roy E. Bailey

Acting Judge of
Above named Court

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Demand G. Norbury.

Action *to recover book account*

Plaintiff

vs.

A.B. Dorr and Emma Dorr

Demand, \$ *284.76* together with interest

Edgar Moller

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 *57*

PROCEEDINGS

FEEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Oct

14

Complaint filed and Summons issued

4.00

Ans of Attachment

*Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment
Receipt for Deposit of money in lieu of Undertaking of Attachment
\$50.00. deposited by Plaintiff*

(Faint handwritten notes on a yellow sticky note, mostly illegible)

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

David Greenman & Sons

Plaintiff

vs.

Donald J. Redberger, Dorothy Plummer,
Blair C. et al.

Defendant

Action To recover damages in automobile accident
at Oakhurst

Demand, \$ 280.99 & costs.

700 Fulton Street, S. H. Harrington
Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert E. Maloney
Attorney for Defendant

1952
DATE
19 80 53

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Mar 30

Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent by mail to Robert H. Harrington
for service.

4.00

Aug 23

Cross Complaint filed By Robert E. Maloney
Attorney for Plaintiff
Summons issued and sent to
Robert E. Maloney attorney for Defendant
for service

Sept 10

Answer to Cross Complaint filed

November 1

Trial set for November 23, 1954 at 2:00 clock
P.M.

Nov 18

Re-set for trial by this Court 2:00 clock P.M.
December 13, 1954

Dec 13, 1954

Dorothy Plummer, under section
2055, Civ. Code, Halmarino

Jan 4 1955

This was the date set for trial of
the above case W. R. Harrington Attorney
for Plaintiff and Robert E. Maloney
appeared as Attorney for defendants,
and the Court having heard the testimony
and having examined the proofs
offered by respected parties it is here
by ordered, and decreed
(1) That a Plaintiff and Defendants
take nothing by reason of their
Complaint.
(2) That Defendant and Cross Complainant
take nothing by reason of their Cross-
Complaint.

In the Justice's Court of Emeryville Dist Township,
County of Marin, State of California

<p><u>Said Hipp</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>Gertrude Benzhill</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>to recover value of a promissory note</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>405.00 and costs</u></p> <p><u>Malvin J. Chandler</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant</p>
--	-----------------------------------	--

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>53</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>May</u> 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued and returned to M. J. Chandler.	400	
22	Return of Summons made by N. B. Bessett constable.		
24	Defendant Gertrude Benzhill appeared in court and entered a general denial to complaint.		
<u>January 6, 1955</u>	Day set for hearing above case 31st day of January at 10:00 clock A.M. Plaintiff and Defendant were both notified.		
<u>January 25, 1955</u>	at the request of the Plaintiff's attorney this case was dismissed with prejudice. Done in this open court this 25th day of January, 1955 <u>J. M. Nicholas</u>		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madrid, State of California

Hospital Central Committee of the Council
of Stanislaus, Madrid and Merced
authority of Dec. 33 or Health Dept. Plaintiff
vs.
Gladys Smith

Action To recover book

Demand, \$500.00 and cost of suit

District Atty. H. J. Chandler
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1953			
June 5	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to H. J. Chandler for service		
June 29	Writ of Attachment		
June 29	Applicant of attachment filed		
July 14	Return of writ of Attachment		
July 14	Applicant for publication of Summons dated July 14, 1953		
July 20	Order for Publication of Summons		
June 30	Summons Return & filed		
June 7	Applicant of non-vinculatus filed		
April 1, 1955	Dismissed by request of the District Attorney		

Done in this open Court this
2nd day of April 1955.

H. J. Chandler
Jury

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Lawrence Stephenson
Martha Stephenson

Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Ballard
Betty Ballard

Defendant

Action to recover damages \$204.59Demand, \$ 204.59 State

Sharon & Barton
Hal Johnson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.

DATE 19 53.	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 7	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable Boser for service	4.00	
23	Defendant Edward Ballard appeared in Court and entered a general denial of all allegations in answer to summons which was returned on		
28	Return of summons made on Mrs. Betty Ballard by Constable		
29	Mrs. Betty appeared and enters a general denial to all allegations		
Aug 14 1954	Attorneys for both Plaintiff notified.		
Aug 3 1954	Defendants notified that case was set for July 11, 1954 at 10 A.M. 12. at request of Attorney for Plaintiff date of hearing was reset for June 15 th 10 A.M.		
June 16, 1954	This being the time set for the above trial, the following witnesses were duly sworn: <u>Martha Stephenson, Betty Ballard, Edward Ballard, Ned Duncan</u>		
June 16, 1954	After hearing all the evidence, the court rendered a decision that the plaintiffs take nothing by reason of their complaint, and that each party pay their own costs.		

Le Roy E. Dickey
Done in open court this 16 day of June, 1954

In the Justice's Court of GRUB CULSH Township,
County of _____, State of California

Richard H. Muller
Plaintiff
vs.
William Arthur & Mrs
William Arthur (managing)
Defendant

Action to recover both account
Demand, \$ 144.65 & costs.
J. D. Beale
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1953			
July 25	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to H. B. Bost Constable for service	4.00	
July 29	Return of Summons made by H. B. Bost Constable on H. Arthur & wife Defendant William Arthur appeared in Court and enters verbally a general denial Settled out of Court Done in open court this day of July 29 W. B. Nichols Justice of Peace		

In the Justice's Court of
County of _____

Township,
State of California

John M. ...

Plaintiff

vs.

Albert S. Briner.

Defendant

Action Complaint for money.

\$207.91 registered with interest from July 15, 1953

Demand, \$ 207.91

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 53.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug.

4

Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to Alfred Thomas Atty. General for service

4.00

Sept.

11.

Application made by Plaintiff's attorney for dismissal of reason of full payment being made. This was granted by the Court.

Docket in open Court this 4th of Sept 11 1953

J. H. ... Judge.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>John M. West</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>L. B. Robinson</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>for recovery of open book 2nd</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>350.14</u></p> <p>Attorney for Plaintiff _____</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant _____</p>
--	-----------------------------------	---

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 53				
Aug. 4		Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Leander J. Anderson</u> Undertaking for attachment \$50.00 cash deposit made by J.M. West Plaintiff Bond of \$50.00 deposited with County Auditor	400 ^	
1954		19 Writ of attachment issued for sum of \$498.76		
Jan 3		Summons returned - Writ of Attachment not served by J.M. West to answer Affidavit of Non Military Service and Order to Enter Default Filed		
		Judgment requested by Attorney for plaintiff		
<p>Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff, _____ do have and recover of and from the defendant, _____ the sum of <u>350.14</u> principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____ attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ <u>10.50</u> Total judgment <u>\$360.64</u></p>				
<p><u>J. D. Nichols</u></p>				

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

John M. Nest		Action	to recover lost 40
vs.		Demand, \$	498.76
J. L. Robinson		Lester Henderson	
Plaintiff		Attorney for Plaintiff	
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant	

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 4		Complaint filed and Summons issued and returned to L. J. Henderson Affidavit and undertaking filed \$50.00 cost bond deposited by J. M. Nest Plaintiff, bond deposited with Court/Clerk	400	
Aug 19		Writ of Attachment issued for four hundred and ninety eight and 76/100 (\$498.76)		
Aug 19		Summons returned - Writ of Attachment served not served Defendant failed to appear		
Jan 3		Affidavit of Non Military Service and Order to Enter Default Filed Judgment requested by attorney for plaintiff		

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____. Total judgment
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ _____. Total judgment

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
498.76 principal, \$ _____ interest, \$ _____. Total judgment
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ 11.00. Total judgment
509.76

W. B. Bishop

1955

December 16th 1955 Writ of Execution issued and mailed to Lester Henderson
March 20 " " " returned wholly unsatisfied.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Andrew Mechi and Frank Mechi
Attorneys at Law
Plaintiff
vs.
William Phelps Jr. John Doe
and Jane Doe
Defendant

Action to collect open book acct.
Demand, \$ 392 and 98/100
John D. Boyle
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 53.			
Aug 13.	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to J.D. Boyle Affidavit of Attachment and undertaking. Bond cash of \$50.00 was deposited and sent to County Auditor Warrant of attachment issued Bond \$50.00 deposited and sent to Auditor for undertaking.	400.	50 00
Oct 8.	At the request of Defendant's Plaintiff's attorney J.D. Boyle the above action is dismissed without prejudice Bond of \$50.00 is hereby released and returned to J.D. Boyle		
Done in open Court this day of Oct 8 1953 J.M. Cochran. Judge			

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>John West</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>David S. Soper</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>to recover money</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>132.46</u></p> <p><u>Alfred Thomas</u> <u>Pleno</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant</p>
---	-----------------------------------	---

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 53

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug.	20	Complaint filed and Summons issued	400
		Writ of attachment issued together with 2 copies	
		Undertaking for attachment	
	24.	Bond for \$50.00 Cash deposited with the Court	
Oct	16.	Request for dismissal made by J. West and his attorney without prejudice.	
		Bond refunded and sent by Auditor to J. West by mail	
		Done in open Court. G. M. Buchanan (Judge)	

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

John M. West

Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Jackson

Defendant

Action *the better part of*

Demand, \$ 64.82

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1953	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Constable for service Indentation of \$100 attachment filed Writ of Execution issued and given to the Constable	4.00	

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

George E. Thomas

Plaintiff

vs.

D. A. Kendall

Defendant

Action *to recover debt of \$100.00 & costs*

Demand, \$ *100.00.*

John J. Gunderson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1954

PROCEEDINGS

FEEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept.

13

Complaint filed and Summons issued

4.00

Attachment issued together with Undertaking with \$50.00 to cover all damages -

\$50 bail bond returned to Thomas, July 6, 1954.

Settled out of court

Done in open Court
J. M. Bickelmeier

Nov 18 54

Dismissal filed

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

John Saxon Co a Corporation
Plaintiff
vs.
Maudie Miller Skill
Defendant

Action *To recover money*
Demand, \$ *427.15 and costs*
Mason A. Bailey
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 54			
April 1	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent by mail to Mason & Bailey, attorney for Plaintiff - Summons served by E. Pickett May 21, 1954	4.00.	
June 14	Default & Judgement entered June 18 th .		
	Done in open Court. J. W. Baker Judge		
9/15/60	Satisfaction of Judgment filed.		

In the Justice's Court of
County of _____

Township,

, State of California

E. Talbot

Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Mobley and Angel Mobley

Defendant

Action

To recover money.

Demand, \$

56.68

Clyde E. Cates

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 54

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May 28

Complaint filed and Summons issued

giving for Service to Constable

4.00

30

Service made by Constable

June 7

Defendant Sam Mobley appears and enters a general denial to all allegations

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>John M. West</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>John B. Binkman</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>to recover money</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>58.00 + 3.31</u> together with interest and cost of suit <u>Alfred Thomas</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant</p>
---	-----------------------------------	---

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 54			
June 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to Attorney for Plaintiff Writ of attachment issued.	4.00	
Aug 9	Fresno County Sheriff reports demand + costs paid in full.		

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madera, State of California

State of California.
Department of Fish and Game
Plaintiff

vs.

C. L. House
Defendant

Action forfeit of license

Demand, \$

Resnick Attorney
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

Filing fee of \$2.00 was paid

DATE
19 55

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Plaintiff	Defendant
March 2	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to District Atty for service		2.00
March 19	Defendant answers by mail a general denial of all allegations set forth in the complaint. Filing fee of \$2.00 was paid by defendant		
April 8	Above entitled case set for trial April 14 th 1955 at 10:00 A.M. Witnesses called by Dist. Atty. Office James B. McPike Richard Shay.		
April 14	Trial of above case called for hearing Witnesses J. B. McPike and Richard Shay, were called to testify for the State C. L. House defendant testified in his own behalf. Case dismissed for lack of sufficient testimony		

Done in open Court this day of April 11th 1955
A. M. Nicholas, Judge

In the Justice's Court of Grass Gulch. Township,
County of _____, State of California

John Nest
Plaintiff
vs.
James Ballin
Defendant

Action to recover money.
Demand, \$ 120.65. together with cost & interest.
Sandlow & Thomas.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 55.			
00			
May 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to atty for Plaintiff for service.	400.	
28	Writ of Attachment issued. Affidavit of Attachment. Mortgage Affidavit.		
July 21	Return of attachment made by Fresno County Sheriff By leaving with Mr R. M. Tude authorized agent of the Produce Truckways Inc By delivering to and leaving a copy of the writ attachment was indorsed there on with That such property was attached by virtue of said writ and not to pay any one but the Sheriff of Fresno County or by some one authorized to receive the same. I also demand a statement in writing in amount of the same. To which I received the following answer ("Mr Calvin Oues the Produce Truckways Inc.") Dated Fresno July 20, 1955 Melvin A. Wellmuth Ernest Jayne		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>John M. West</u> <u>Cathurst</u> Plaintiff</p> <p>vs.</p> <p><u>Charles Burke</u> Defendant</p>	<p>Action to recover book ap</p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>179.00</u> plus</p> <p><u>Alfred Thomas</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant</p>
---	--

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 19 55	<p>7. Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Plaintiff.</p> <p>7. Affidavit for attachment Deposited \$50.00 Rec. #5744</p> <p>9. Return of summons served this day. Defendant wants all Statutory time</p>	4.00	
July 9	Plaintiff John M. West applies for Judgment by default of defendant. Which was granted by the court.		
July 19 55	<p>Return of attachment showing part collection of.</p> <p>\$ 14.64</p> <p>Less cost 6.70</p> <p>Check sent to Thomas \$7.94</p>		
Aug 31, 1955	1955 Plaintiff requests entry of default judgment for \$171.77 and costs of \$4.00 affidavit re Military Service filed.		

August 31, 1955 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons that a true copy thereof, together with a copy of the complaint, was served upon the defendant, Charles Burke, personally at and in the Sierra Judicial District, County of Madera, State of California, on the 8th day of July, 1955, and the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor, to-wit: 10 days, has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Charles Burke, defendant, be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

August 31, 1955 WHEREFORE, Judgment is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$171.77, principal, together with costs taxed herein at \$4.00. Total Judgment: \$175.77

T. M. NICHOLAS, Judge

Aug 31 1955 1955 Execution issued Madera County --- \$0.00 pd.
Sept 6 1955 Bond deposited returned to Mr. West \$50.00
Sept 17 1955 Payment Received in full \$175.77 John M. West

In the Justice's Court of **GRUB GUENH** Township,
County of **IMPERIAL**, State of California

<u>G. A. Krohn</u>	}	Action
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ 140 rent
<u>Robert Strunk</u>	}	<u>Mason A Bailey</u>
<u>Coarsegold</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
1955				
Nov	29	Complaint filed and Summons issued	4 00	
	30	Summons served by Constable W. B. Bossert.		
Dec	5	Defendant appeared in Court and entered a general denial. Defendant notified trial set for December 17 th at 10 AM.		
Dec	6	Plaintiff and his attorney notified by mail of date of trial.		
	17	After hearing the evidence of Mr G. A. Krohn Mrs Mildred Krohn and Robert Strunk duly sworn this case was Settled on recommendation of the Court on the basis that on or before February 1 st 1956 the Defendant will pay the Plaintiff the sum of \$70 without costs; and it is so ordered. Plaintiff paid the additional fee for filing complaint.	1 00	
		Done in open court this 17 th day of December 1955		
		William Bayne Judge		
1956				
JAN	10	Notice of Judgment mailed.		

Received check for \$70 dated 2-1-56
in full settlement.
G. A. Krohn

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Judicial District Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Sierra Telephone Co.

Plaintiff

vs.

Loy Brown individually and
dba Loy Brown Lumber Co

Defendant

Action To recover on a book account

Demand, \$ 369.11

Harold A. Varichan

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 56

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

March 1st Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to plaintiffs attorney
1st Port of Attachment issued " "

4 00 ✓

1 00 ✓

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA COUNTY, State of California

Ralph E. Walton

Plaintiff

vs.

Clark Adams

Defendant

Action To recover book &Demand, \$ 192.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1956		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
June	4	Complaint filed and Summons issued		5.00
"	19	Summons copy of complaint served by Sheriff Santa Clara County (#380)		
"	25	Mr Adams appeared and stated that he is trying to refinance. If not, he will make a monthly payment on account.		
July	12	Mr Adams advises he can make no monthly payments. Plaintiff requests judgment in his favor and issue of garnishment.		

Wherefore, Judgment is hereby
adjudged that the plaintiff is entitled to the sum of
\$ 192.50 principal, \$ 20 interest, the sum of
attorney's fees, together with costs herein accrued, \$ 88.50
Total judgment \$ 221.30
Total judgment

William Payne

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH ^{Judicial District} Township,
County of MADERA COUNTY, State of California

<u>Frank M. Hansen</u>		} Action <u>Claim and delivery of refrigerator</u>
<u>vs.</u>	Plaintiff	
<u>Virgil Clark</u>		} Demand, \$ <u>150⁰⁰/₁₀₀</u>
	Defendant	
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>56</u>				
<u>June</u>	<u>4</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued	<u>5⁰⁰</u>	
"	<u>7</u>	Defendant appeared by his wife. Plaintiff's box will be returned as soon as deft's box, which is being repaired, is available for use.		
"	<u>20</u>	Mrs Clark advises she has mailed lt. to deft. that their box is available.		
"	<u>23rd</u>	Case dismissed		
		<u>William Payne</u>		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Frieda M. Van Buren

Plaintiff

vs.

Melvyn Taylor

Defendant

Action on book account

Demand, \$ 189⁴¹

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1956		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
July	19	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 5'859 + 5'874	5'00
Aug	6	Summons served by Sheriff Santa Cruz County.		
Sept	18	Plaintiff requests judgment by default which is granted by the Court. Affidavit non-military filed.		
"	"	Abstract of Judgment issued and sent to County Recorder for		
"	"	Writ of Execution issued and sent to Sheriff Santa Cruz County		1 00
<p>Wherefore it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that Frieda M. Van Buren plaintiff, do have and recover of and from defendant Melvyn Taylor the sum of \$189.41 principal \$10.60 interest and \$5 costs. Total judgment \$212.31. service \$7.30 Done in open court this 18th day of September 1956 William Payne Justice of the Peace</p>				
1957				
June	10	Writ of Execution issued at plaintiff's request and mailed to Sheriff Santa Cruz County.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB CULCH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>H. D. Culver</u>	}	Action <u>on book account</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>31³⁹</u>
<u>Sherman Lisco</u>	}	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1956	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 16	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 5885	5 00
" "	Writ of Attachment issued and given to Mr Culver		1 00
" 28	Defendant appeared and admitted the debt.		
	Judgment for the plaintiff for \$31 ³⁹ plus costs \$6 ⁰⁰ total \$37 ³⁹		
	This 28 th day of July 1956 William Payne J.C.		
July 28	Attachment released. Judgment paid in full.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Andrew Mecchi

Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Elam

Defendant

Action on book account.

Demand, \$ 201.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1956	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
August 1	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 59 08	5 00
" 13	Defendant appeared in person and admitted the debt. He will pay it at the rate of \$20 per week beginning Friday August 17 th .		
	<p>Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover from the Defendant the sum of \$201.60 together with costs ^{16.15} a total of ^{217.75} \$201.60 payable in installments of \$20 per week beginning Friday August 17th 1956.</p> <p>Done in open Court this 13th day of August 1956</p> <p>William Payne Justice of the Peace</p>		
1957	M M advised 8/13/56		
Jan 4 1958	8 Let the execution ride. per a/m.		
Mar 7	Plaintiff advises defendant still owes \$57.75 since Sept 21 st '57 and asks for writ of execution.		
Sept 23	Writ of execution issued for balance \$37.75	R 66 21	1 00
" 26	" " " returned paid in full.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Frieda M. Van Buren

Plaintiff

vs.

Clark Adams

Defendant

Action on book account

Demand, \$ 162.67

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
19 56

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept. 18th

Complaint filed and Summons issued

R 5966

5 00

Oct 4th

Summons served by Sheriff Santa Clara County. To appear by 11/4

Nov 10th

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Clark Adams personally at and in the County of Santa Clara State of California on the 4th day of October 1956 and the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time therefor to wit: 30 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Nov 10th

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$162.67 principal \$9.76 interest and costs taxed herein at \$6 total judgment: \$178.43

Done in open Court this 10th day of November 1956
William Payne Judge

Nov

10

Abstract of Judgment issued

R 6005

1 00

"

16

" " "

recorded Book 681 Page 113 Official Records Madera Co.

No 23802.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Andrew Mecchi

Plaintiff

vs.

David Lee Jordan

Defendant

Action on book accountDemand, \$ 125.92

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
19 56

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Dec 7 Complaint filed and Summons issued
1958
Sept 10 Complaint + Summons served by Constable Bossert
" 12 Def. appeared. He will pay \$50 9/17 then \$25
" 22 - advises he paid \$50 9/17 Stff confirms this.

R 5996

5 00

Costs

1 70

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

<u>Andrew Mecchi</u>	}	Action <u>on book account.</u>
vs.	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <u>247.40</u>
<u>Robert C. Jordan</u>	}	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

Defendant	DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
				Plaintiff	Defendant
	19	57			
	Jan	8	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
	"	22	Summons and copy of complaint served by Sheriff Stanislaus County. appearance on or before Feb 4 22	5.00	

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GILCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Joe Scroggins

Plaintiff

vs.

Arthur Bud Williams

Defendant

Action Money due for room and board

Demand, \$ 29.98

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1957

PROCEEDINGS

FEEES

Plaintiff Defendant

March	11 th	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 6035	6 00
"	"	Undertaking and Affidavit for Attachment filed		
"	"	Writ of Attachment issued. Returned fully satisfied Mar 25 th		
"	29	Check for \$33.08 handed to Mr Scroggins who gave check for \$3.10 payable to Constable E. H. Mason.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

C. J. Chubb, Virgil Anderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Action Complaint for damage to property.

Demand, \$ 175.00

Charles A. Rummel George A. Schroeder
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Charles A. Rummel
George E. Couper

(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

5 00

3 00

LAW OFFICES OF
MATT GOLDSTEIN
GEORGE A. SCHROEDER
400 T. W. PATTERSON BUILDING
FRESNO 21, CALIFORNIA
TELEPHONE AMHERST 6-0654

FILED

October 31st 1957

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants

IN THE JUSTICE COURT FOR THE GRUB GULCH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

C. J. CHUBB, VIRGIL ANDERSON,

Plaintiffs and
Cross-defendants

-VS-

KENNETH J. DYE, ET AL,

Defendants and
Cross-complainants

No. 31926

J U D G M E N T

The above-entitled cause having come on regularly for trial before the above-entitled court sitting without a jury, on the 21st day of October, 1957, and the plaintiffs and cross-defendants having appeared in person and by and through their attorneys, Charles A. Rummel, George E. Couper and George A. Schroeder (George A. Schroeder of counsel), and the defendants and cross-complainants having appeared in person and by and through their attorney, James R. Hanhart, and the court having heard the testimony and having examined the proofs offered by the plaintiffs and cross-defendants and the defendants and cross-complainants, and the court having been fully advised in the premises, and the cause having been submitted to the court for consideration and decision, and after due deliberation thereof;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be and hereby is entered herein in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendants as hereinafter set forth:

1. That the plaintiffs have judgment against the

In the Justice's Court of GRUBB CULCH Judicial District Township,
County of MADRA, State of California

<p><u>James M. Baugh and</u> <u>Fern L. Baugh</u> Plaintiff</p> <p>vs.</p> <p><u>Ann Witcher</u> Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>Claim and delivery</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>150 plus damages + costs</u></p> <p><u>J. D. Boyle</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p><u>Herbert Barlow</u> Attorney for Defendant</p>
--	--

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 57				
July	3 rd	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
		Bond. Cashier's Check No 2689 d 7-1-57 State Center Bank Fresno.		
"	13	Summons + copy of complaint served		
"	16	Answer filed.		
Aug	23	Hearing set for Aug. 27 th 3 p.m. Plff notified. Def. advised orally.		
"	26	Hearing re-set for December 31 st 2 p.m. by agreement with plaintiff's attorney. Defendant meantime to make an offer in compromise.		
Dec	16	Notice of trial mailed to attorneys of record.		
Dec	17	Disclaimer filed by Atty. Barlow. Drew answer but advised def. to represent herself.		
Dec	31	Action came on regularly for trial. Both plaintiffs in court in person and by attorney J. D. Boyle. Defendant in court but not represented by attorney.		
		The following were duly sworn and examined James M. Baugh and Fern L. Baugh for plaintiffs and Ann Witcher for defendant. Documentary evidence was introduced by the respective parties including a bill of sale dated April 15 th 1957 from Magee to plaintiffs of a one-half interest in Mel's Kitchen at Coarsegold "and all personal property therein located". Said bill of sale was duly recorded and published as required by Civil Code Sec. 3440.1.		
		The court having heard the testimony and considered the proofs offered, grants judgment in favor of plaintiffs.		
		Defendant stated that she would appeal.		
Jan	16	Judgment entered as attached. Filed with appeal papers 2/14/59		
"	22	Notice of entry of judgment given.		
Feb	12	Notice of Appeal filed by Don C. Mayes of Mayes + Courtney.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

C. J. Chubb, Virgil Anderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Action Complaint for damage to property.

Demand, \$ 175.00

Charles A. Rummel George A. Schroeder
Attorney for Plaintiff

James R. Hanhart
Attorney for Defendant

Kenneth J. Dye et al.

Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

1 defendants in the sum of \$175.00, together with interest
2 thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 23rd day of October,
3 1957, until paid. Kenneth J. Dye
4 That the plaintiffs have judgment herein against the
5 defendants for their costs incurred in this action in the sum
6 of \$ 9.00, as taxed. R 6137
7 That the cross-complainants take nothing by their
8 cross-complaint on file herein. of counsel for plaintiffs.
9 DATED: October 31st, 1957. and the parties
10 being represented by George A. Schroeder for the plaintiff and James
11 R. Hanhart for the defendant the William Payne were sworn
12 and testified:
13 For the plaintiff Mrs Viola B. Chubb, Mrs Peggy Kaiser, Mr Clarence J
14 Chubb
15 For the defendant Kenneth John Dye
16 The Court adjourned to take a view of the scene of the accident.
17 Judgment for the plaintiff for the stipulated value of the
18 Hereford cow \$175 and costs.
19 Notice of entry of judgment deposited in mail.
20 Satisfaction of judgment filed.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

5 00

3 00

In the Justice's Court of GRUB CULCH Judicial District Township,
County of MADRA, State of California

James M. Baugh and
Fern L. Baugh

Plaintiff

vs.

Ann Witcher

Defendant

Action Claim and delivery

Demand, \$ 150 plus damages + costs

J. D. Boyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Herbert Barlow

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE
19 57

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July	3 rd	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 6120	5 00
		Bond. Cashier's Check No 2689 d 7-1-57 State Center Bank Fresno.		
"	13	Summons + copy of complaint served		
"	16	Answer filed.	R 6188	2 00
Aug	23	Hearing set for Aug. 27 th 3 p.m. P'tff notified. Def't. advised orally.		
"	26	Hearing re-set for December 31 st 2 p.m. by agreement with plaintiff's attorney. Defendant meantime to make an offer in compromise.		
Dec	16	Notice of trial mailed to attorneys of record.		
Dec	17	Disclaimer filed by Atty. Barlow. Drew answer but advised def't. to represent herself.		
Dec	31	Action came on regularly for trial. Both plaintiffs in court in person and by attorney J. D. Boyle. Defendant in court but not represented by attorney. The following were duly sworn and examined James M. Baugh and Fern L. Baugh for plaintiffs and Ann Witcher for defendant. Documentary evidence was introduced by the respective parties including a bill of sale dated April 15 th 1957 from Magee to plaintiffs of a one-half interest in Mel's Kitchen at Coarsegold "and all personal property therein located". Said bill of sale was duly recorded and published as required by Civil Code Sec. 3440.1. The court having heard the testimony and considered the proofs offered, grants judgment in favor of plaintiffs. Defendant stated that she would appeal.		
Jan ^y	16	Judgment entered as attached. Filed with appeal papers 2/14/59		
"	22	Notice of entry of judgment given.		
Feb ^y	13	Notice of Appeal filed by Don C. Mayes of Mayes + Courtney.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Judicial District Township,
County of WADERA, State of California

C. J. Chubb, Virgil Andersson

Plaintiff

vs.

Kenneth J. Dye et al.

Defendant

Action Complaint for damage to property.

Demand, \$ 175.00

Charles A. Rummel George A. Schroeder
Attorney for PlaintiffJames R. Hanhart
Attorney for DefendantM 334
TED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

2 Complaint filed and Summons issued May 8th + mailed to att^y.

R 6058

5 00

3 Summons served on Kenneth J. Dye

4 Defendant's attorney files demurrer.

5 Demurrer overruled.

6 Answer and cross-complaint filed.

R 6137

3 00

7 Answer to cross-complaint filed

8 George A. Schroeder associated as one of counsel for plaintiffs.

9 This case coming on for hearing this day at 2 p.m. and the parties
10 being represented by George A. Schroeder for the plaintiff and James
11 R. Hanhart for the defendant the following witnesses were sworn
12 and testified:13 For the plaintiff Mrs Viola B. Chubb, Mrs Peggy Kaiser, Mr Clarence J
14 Chubb

15 For the defendant Kenneth John Dye

16 The Court adjourned to take a view of the scene of the accident.

17 Judgment for the plaintiff for the stipulated value of the
18 Hereford cow \$175 and costs.

19 Notice of entry of judgment deposited in mail.

20 Satisfaction of judgment filed.

In the Justice's Court of GRUBB CULCH Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

James M. Baugh and
Fern L. Baugh

Plaintiff

vs.

Ann Witcher

Defendant

Action Claim and deliveryDemand, \$ 150 plus damages + costsJ. D. Boyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Herbert Barlow

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 57				
July	3 rd	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
		Bond. Cashier's Check No 2689 d 7-1-57 State Center Bank Fresno.		
"	13	Summons + copy of complaint served		
"	16	Answer filed.		
Aug	23	Hearing set for Aug. 27 th 3 p.m. Ptf notified. Deft. advised orally.		
"	26	Hearing re-set for December 31 st 2 p.m. by agreement with plaintiff's attorney. Defendant meantime to make an offer in compromise.		
Dec	16	Notice of trial mailed to attorneys of record.		
Dec	17	Disclaimer filed by Atty. Barlow. Drew answer but advised deft. to represent herself.		
Dec	31	Action came on regularly for trial. Both plaintiffs in court in person and by attorney J. D. Boyle. Defendant in court but not represented by attorney.		
		The following were duly sworn and examined James M. Baugh and Fern L. Baugh for plaintiffs and Ann Witcher for defendant. Documentary evidence was introduced by the respective parties including a bill of sale dated April 15 th 1957 from Magee to plaintiffs of a one-half interest in Mel's Kitchen at Coarsegold and all personal property therein located. Said bill of sale was duly recorded and published as required by Civil Code Sec. 3440.1.		
		The court having heard the testimony and considered the proofs offered, grants judgment in favor of plaintiffs.		
		Defendant stated that she would appeal.		
Jan ^y	16	Judgment entered as attached. Filed with appeal papers 2/14/59		
"	22	Notice of entry of judgment given.		
Feb ^y	13	Notice of Appeal filed by Don C. Mayes of Mayes + Courtney.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRIS. BUTCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Frank Brinnendyk

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim D. Hill

Defendant

Action for money due on book account

Demand, \$ 64.55

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 57

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July
Aug

12

Complaint filed and Summons issued

R 6132

6 00

13

Plaintiff advises that summons + complaint were never served but he contacted defendant and obtained payment in installments from October to May 20th 1958 when the demand was paid in full.

Aug

13

Dismissed for lack of prosecution

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

State of California on the relation of its
~~Fish~~ Department of Fish and Game
Plaintiff

vs.

Patrick Marshall

Defendant

Action To revoke hunting license etc.Fish & Game Code Sec 12150

Demand, \$

Lester J. Gendron

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
1957

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Nov 29 Complaint filed and Summons issued Dec 2
Dec 4 Affidavit filed showing Summons + copy of Complaint served Dec 2nd/57
" 6 Defendant accompanied by his mother appeared to answer the summons.
Plaintiff was represented by Game Warden Jim M. Hicks.
Defendant waived his rights and elected to have the matter tried by
the Court forthwith.
Warden Hicks and defendant were sworn and testified.
The Court having heard the testimony gave judgment in favor
of the plaintiff.

Dec 6 Wherefore it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed
that the defendant's hunting license, ^{covering the license year July 1 1957 to June 30 1958} be and the same is hereby
revoked in accordance with the provisions of Section 12150
of the Fish and Game Code of the State of California and no hunting
license shall ever be issued to the said defendant by the plaintiff.

Done in open court this 6th day of December 1957

William Payne Judge

Dec 9 Report required by Section 12152 F & G Code mailed to F & G Comⁿ Sacramento.
" 11 Notice of Entry of Judgment mailed to T/A and Defendant.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John M. West

Plaintiff

vs.

R. G. Smith

Defendant

Action Money due on book account

Demand, \$ 346 70

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 58				
Jan. 10		Complaint filed and Summons issued Served 1/19	R 6333	5 00
June 1		Plff advises the amt of this demand has been paid.		
June 19		Summons returned marked Paid in full.		
" "		Dismissed		

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Judicial District Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Lewis K. Duncan
dba Raymond Garage

Plaintiff

vs.

Action Money due on open accountDemand, \$ 239.45

Robert G. Smith

Defendant

J. D. Boyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
19 58

April

14

1

J. D. BOYLE

Attorney at Law

123-D North D Street

Madera, California.

Telephone: ORchard 4-4094

2

Attorney for Plaintiff

24

May

3

3

Writable reports paid in full

15

June

6

6

Mr Duncan says no part of the judgment has been paid

18

July

18

8

IN THE GRUB-GULCH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF MADERA, 441

9

STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 441

10

Abstract of judgment certified for CCP 710. 441

11

Execution shows total \$239.45 and costs \$8.10 as above

12

Lewis K. Duncan, dba Raymond Garage, No. 150 ended back to him.

13

Plaintiff,

14

vs.

15

ROBERT G. SMITH,

16

Defendant.

17

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT BY COURT

18

The defendant ROBERT G. SMITH having been regularly served

19

with process, having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's

20

complaint filed herein, and the default of said defendant ROBERT G.

21

SMITH having been duly entered, now, therefore, upon application

22

of plaintiff LEWIS K. DUNCAN, by and through his attorney, J. D.

23

Boyle, and after proof of service of summons,

24

It is adjudged that plaintiff do have and recover from said

25

defendant ROBERT G. SMITH the sum of \$239.45, with interest thereon

26

at the rate of seven per cent per annum from the date hereof till

27

paid, together with plaintiff's costs and disbursements amounting

28

to the sum of \$8.10.

29

Judgment rendered the 6th day of June, 1958.

30

31

32

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GUICH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

<u>John M. West</u>		Action <u>Money due on open account</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>220.66</u>
<u>Ernest Noble</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 58	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued + writ of Attachment	R 6425	6 00
June 1	Def. advises the amt. of this demand has been paid.		
June 7	Plaintiff's ch. # 3096 \$50 security for above attachment handed back to him.		
" 19	Summons returned. Attachment returned paid in full.		
" "	Dismissed.		

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch, Jud.
County of Madera, State of Calif.

Lewis K. Duncan
dba Raymond Garage

Plaintiff

vs.

Robert G. Smith

Defendant

Action Money due onDemand, \$ 239.45

Attorney

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
19 58

PROCEEDINGS

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	RECEIPTS	DEBIT
April 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 6409	5 00
" 24	Summons returned served April 17 th . (Constable's fee \$3 ¹⁰)		
May 3	Constable reports paid in full.		
" 15	Mr Duncan says no part of the judgt. has been paid.		
June 6	Atty for plaintiff requests judgment by default which is granted by the Court.		
" 6	Writ of Execution handed to Constable Bossert. (In dup.)	R 6441	1 00
July 18	Abstract of judgment certified and handed to plaintiff for CC P 10.	R 6508	75
" 31	Execution shows total \$255.52 incl costs \$7.97 + \$8.10 as above		
	Auditors Warrant 331 \$144.00 endorsed to Plaintiff + handed back to him.		
	<u>\$111.52</u>		

Office of said Judicial District
William J. Carter

June, 1928.

deft and disbursements amounting
sum from the date hereof till
of \$530.42, with interest thereon
self do have and recover from said
of summons,
and through his attorney, J. D.
now, therefore, upon application
defendant of said defendant ROBERT G.
appear and answer the plaintiff's
which having been regularly served

COURT
JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT BY

No. 120

OF CALIFORNIA
DISTRICT, COUNTY OF MADERA

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

FEES	
Plaintiff	Defendant

May	12	Complaint filed and Summons issued + writ of Attachment	R 6425	6 00
June	1	Self advises the amt. of this demand has been paid.		
June	7	Plaintiff's ch. # 3096 \$50 security for above attachment handed back to him.		
"	19	Summons returned. Attachment returned paid in full.		
"	"	Dismissed.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

B.O.
Jeanne Norberg dba Norberg's Store

Plaintiff

vs.

Lucky Jordan

Defendant

Action for money due on open account

Demand, \$230.09

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1958		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
July 23		Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 6521	5 00
Aug 9		Def't. appeared. He produced a receipt for \$30.09 and stated that he had arranged to pay the balance at the rate of \$25.00 per week.		
Aug 12		Summons + complaint served Aug 8 th 1958. Constables' fees \$9.40		
1959				
June 11		Dismissed for lack of prosecution.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

B.O. Norberg
Plaintiff
vs.
W. H. Arthur
Defendant

Action Money due on account stated
Demand, \$ 513.65
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1958		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug	4	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 6528	5 00
"	12	Summons + complaint served Aug 7 th 1958. Constable's fees \$10.40. Deft. appeared.		
Sept	5	Notice of judgment mailed to defendant.		
<p>The court having heard the testimony and examined the proofs it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant for the principal sum of \$463.65 together with costs \$15.40 as above and interest from Nov 26th 1957 ^{20.87} \$27.82 a total of \$499.92.</p> <p>Done in open court this 10th day of September 1958</p> <p>William Payne Judge</p>				
Sept	10	Writ of Execution issued.	R 6601	1 00
Oct	3	" " " " "	R 6628	1 00
"	24	" " " " "	R 6658	1 00
Nov	12	Defendant appeared in court and asked to be informed of the balance remaining on this account. Upon advice from plaintiff that the amount was \$124.28 deft. deposited this with the court to be released on a signed waiver of all claims arising hereunder and with drawal of execution.		
Nov	13	Money paid to plaintiff and release (4 signatures) mailed to deft. 11-28-58		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GUICH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John M. West

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim D. Hill

Defendant

Action Money due on open account + note.

Demand, \$ 338.73

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.DATE
19 58

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug 4 Complaint filed and Summons issued. Served Aug. 9th 1958 Const⁴⁶ Fees 11⁵⁰ R 6524 5 00" 10 Def^t appeared. He agrees to pay \$12.50 per week on this debt." 14 Off refuses this offer and asks for Writ of Attachment. Off^t + Underlying filed.

" " Writ of Attachment issued. R 6648 1 00

" 28 Inasmuch as the debt is admitted and no suitable offer of installment payment has been made, plaintiff asks for judgment and a writ of execution which is granted by the court.

Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that
John M. West plaintiff do have and recover from defendant Jim D.
Hill the sum of \$338.73 principal and costs \$7 total judgment
\$345.73

Done in open court this 28th day of August 1958William Payne
Judge

Aug 28 Writ of Execution issued.

Collected 8/14 46.56
8/21 38.32
84.88

Add^d costs of service 13 85

338.73
7.00
25.35
371.08
84.88
296.20

Due as of 9/12

1959

Jan 29 Further Writ of Execution for \$38.84 + mileage \$25.35 = \$64.19 R 6726 1 00

Feb 17 Writ returned fully satisfied.

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township, MADERA County of MADERA, State of California

Robert G. Smith

action balance due on written contract

1 J. THOMAS LINDSEY *Plaintiff*
2 ATTORNEY AT LAW
3 ROBERTSON BUILDING
4 YOSEMITE & D STREETS
5 MADERA, CALIFORNIA
6 TELEPHONE: ORchard 4-8514
7
8 Attorney for Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 472.48

J. Thomas Lindsey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
19 58

PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug

12

12 *Complaint filed and Summons issued Served 8/17/58 R 6347*
13 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
14 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
15 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
16 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
17 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
18 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
19 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
20 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
21 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
22 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
23 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
24 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*
25 *Summons returned Mileage 8- Served 8/17/58*

5.00

9.75

Sept

18

11 ROBERT G. SMITH,
12 Plaintiff,
13 vs.
14 WILLIAM PIKE,
15 Defendant.
16
17 *Defendant has failed to answer. Bill of costs \$15.75 filed.*
18 *Default judgment entered.*
19 *Abstract of Judgment issued.*
20 *Bill of Execution issued.*

NO. 155
DEFAULT JUDGMENT

00

Oct

6

17 In this action, the defendant, WILLIAM PIKE, having been reg-
18 ularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer
19 the Plaintiff's complaint filed herein, the legal time for answering
20 having expired, and no Answer or Demurrer having been filed, the
21 Default of the said Defendant, WILLIAM PIKE, in the premises, having
22 been duly entered according to law in the State of California, upon
23 application of said Plaintiff to the Clerk, and after proof of service
24 os Summons in pursuance of the prayer of said Complaint,

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the said Plaintiff do
26 have and recover from the said defendant judgment for the sum of
27 \$472.48 with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the
28 date hereof, till paid, together with plaintiff's costs and disburse-
29 ments incurred in this action, amounting to the further sum of \$15.75.

30 Judgment entered the 18th day of September, 1958.

William Payne

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GUICH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John M. West

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim D. Hill

Defendant

Action Money due on open account + note.

Demand, \$ 338.73

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 58				
Aug 4		Complaint filed and Summons issued. Served Aug. 9 th 1958 Const ⁴⁶ Fees 11 ⁵⁰ R 6524	5 00	
" 10		Def ^t appeared. He agrees to pay \$12.50 per week on this debt.		
" 14		Def ^t refuses this offer and asks for Writ of Attachment. Aff ^t + Underwriting filed.		
" "		Writ of Attachment issued. R 6548	1 00	
" 28		Inasmuch as the debt is admitted and no suitable offer of installment payment has been made, plaintiff asks for judgment and a writ of execution which is granted by the court.		
<p>Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that John M. West plaintiff do have and recover from defendant Jim D. Hill the sum of \$338.73 principal and costs \$7 total judgment \$345.73</p> <p>Done in open court this 28th day of August 1958</p> <p>William Payne Judge</p>				
Aug 28		Writ of Execution issued.	1 00	
		Collected 8/14 46.56 8/21 38.32 84.88		
		338.73 7.00 25.35 371.08 84.88 296.20		
		Due as of 9/12		
1959				
Jan 29		Further Writ of Execution for \$38.84 + mileage \$25.35 = \$64.19 R 6726	1 00	
Feb 17		Writ returned fully satisfied.		

Add^d costs of service

13 85

Robert G. Smith

Plaintiff

vs.

William Pike

Defendant

Action Balance due on written contract

Demand, \$ 472.48

J. Thomas Lindsey

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 58	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug	12	Complaint filed and Summons issued Served 8/17/58 R 6547	5 00	
"	19	Summons returned Mileage 87 ⁵ Service \$1 ⁰⁰	9 75	
"	25	Def't appeared and explained that the lot was intended as an alternate method of payment in the event he was not able to pay in cash. Def't will try to arrange a settlement with plaintiff's attorney.		
Sept:	18	Affidavit re Military Service filed. Plaintiff's attorney applies for judgment by default on the ground that defendant has failed to answer. Bill of costs \$15 ⁷⁵ filed. Default judgment filed entered. Abstract of Judgment issued. R 6620	1 00	
Oct	6	Writ of Execution issued. Standed to Constable. Recalled after conf'l def't + Lindsey		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

W. H. Arthur

Plaintiff

vs.

Ernest Noble +
Tommy Tompkins

Defendant

Action for money due

Demand, \$ 410

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 58	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 18	Complaint filed and Summons issued 8/19		
" 19	Summons + 2 copies with copy of complaint attached del'd to Constable.		
" 21	Summons served on both depts. Constable's mileage \$9.45 + service fees #2		
" 28	Defendants appeared and answered the complaint orally.		
Sept 15	This case coming on at 8 p.m. the following were sworn and gave evidence: For the plaintiff W. H. Arthur and his wife " " defendants E. Noble and J. Tompkins		

The court stated that it would dismiss the case against Ernest Noble but would enter judgment against Tommy Tompkins for the principal sum of \$175.00 that being the presently agreed purchase price of the tractor.

Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that this case be dismissed as to the defendant Ernest Noble; that the defendant Tommy Tompkins is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$175.00 cash this being the agreed value of the tractor.

Done in open court this 19th day of
September 1958

William Payne
Judge

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF CALIF. CIVIL Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

<u>Andrew Meschi</u>		} Action <u>Complaint for money due</u>
	Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>250.10</u>
<u>Walter Kipp</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 58	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept.	22	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 66 21	5 00
"	26	Summons served. Constable's fees \$11.85 paid		
Oct.	4	Mr Kipp appeared. He disputes the amount but is paying \$40 a month on it.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Andrew Meechi

Plaintiff

vs.

George Collard

Defendant

Action Complaint for money due

Demand, \$ 190.45

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 58

PROCEEDINGS

FEES
Plaintiff Defendant

Sept 22 Complaint filed and Summons issued

R 6621

5 00

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

<p><u>John West</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><u>John Gullian</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>Complaint for money due</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>116.96</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Barlow & Thomas</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Attorney for Defendant</p>
---	-----------------------------------	---

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept 11	11	Complaint filed and Summons issued	5 00	
"	11	Affidavit for attachment and aff. re military service filed. Security \$50.00		
"	"	Writ of Attachment issued.	1 00	
Dec 10	10	Atty Alfred Thomas came in to explain delay in executing attachment arises from the fact that deft. has been evading service.		
1959	15	Summons served. Costs. Deft. failed to appear.	4 15	
April 9	9	Request for default judgment including costs \$14.90 and interest \$4.00 filed. Plaintiff's check for \$50.00 returned to him. Judgment by default and writ of execution granted as requested.		

Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that plaintiff do have and recover from said defendant John Gullian the sum of \$116.96 with interest thereon from the 1st day of September 1958 (\$4.00) and costs of suit \$14.90 a total of \$135.56

Judgment rendered this 9th day of April 1959

William Payne
Judge

April 9 Writ of Execution issued and handed to plaintiff's attorney R 6785 1 00

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

A. L. Lindley +
Mabel C. Lindley

Plaintiff

vs.

Mathew D. Prosper, Stewart + Huss Inc
Doc I II + III

Defendant

Action Damages for tort

Demand, \$ 500.00

John F. Cobb

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mason A. Bailey

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 58		PROCEEDINGS		FEES	
				Plaintiff	Defendant
Dec 1958	22	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 6704	5 00	
Jan 1959	30	Answer filed for defendant Prosper	R 6728		2 00
Feb	11	Attorney for Plaintiff requests dismissal with prejudice against all defendants which is granted by the court.			
"	"	Dismissed with prejudice.			

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Dale Wenzinger

Plaintiff

vs.

Elmer A. Weyant

Defendant

Action for money due on contract.

Demand, \$ 310⁰⁰

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1959	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Feb. 9	Complaint filed and Summons issued to B	R 6735	5 ⁰⁰
" 17	Summons served.	Constable's fee	4 ⁵⁰
" 19	Weyant will settle this with plff.		
" 27	Defendant deposits \$319.50 (ok) to be released to plff. as directed upon fulfillment of two conditions.		
Mar 5	Check returned to def. who will deliver it to plff in settlement.		
" 13	Plaintiff's wife advises claim has been paid in full.		
" 13	Dismissed.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

E. G. C. Inc., dba
Commercial Service Collectors
Plaintiff

vs.

Ralph D. Graham individually + dba
Finegold Cabinet Shop John Doe Jane Doe + Ac Co
Defendant

Action Money due on open book account

Demand, \$ 138.08

Nathan Guthertz
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1959	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Feb ^y 18	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
" 25	Summons + complaint served		
Mar 9	Defendants failed to appear		
" 10	Affidavit re Military Service filed. Plaintiff asks for judgment by default which is granted by the court.		
<p>Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover from the defendants Ralph D. Graham individually and dba Finegold Cabinet Shop the sum of \$138.08 principal interest \$14.30 and costs \$12.50 total judgment: \$164.88</p> <p>Judgment rendered this 11th day of March 1959</p> <p><u>William Payne</u> Judge</p>			
Mar 11	Abstract of Judgment issued		
June 12	Order for Appearance of Judgment Debtor 6-19-59 3 p.m. issued filed.		
" 30	Satisfaction of Judgment filed.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GRULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Charles D. Morgan

Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Samuel Oswald + Does live to Ten

Defendant

Action for Property Damage

Demand, \$ 331.26

Truman J Campbell James R. Wallon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 59				
May	4	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 5255	8 00
"	8	Summons served.		
"	11	Defendant appeared and will file answer this week.		
"	11	Answer filed	R 5261	2 00
"	22	Set for trial 6-8-59 2 p.m. Both parties notified.		
June	8	This case coming on for hearing at 2 p.m. this day the following were sworn and testified:- Charles D. Morgan plaintiff Joseph Samuel Oswald defendant.		
		Judgment will be entered for the plaintiff in the amount of \$216.00 and costs. Mr Wallon requested to prepare and submit same.		
July	7	The court having heard the testimony and examined the proofs offered by the respective parties, grants judgment in favor of the plaintiff. Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant Joseph Samuel Oswald the sum of \$216.00 principal together with his costs herein.		
		Done in open court this 7 th day of July 1959 William Payne Judge		
July	7	Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant Oswald and to James R. Wallon, attorney for the plaintiff.		
"	10	Memo of costs filed.	\$20.55	
1960 April	8	Certificate of Facts re Unsatisfied Judgment signed + ret'd to Plff's attorney. (C.V.C 16373)		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRAY GUICH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Lou Bell

Plaintiff

vs.

Clint Phillips

Defendant

Action for money due

Demand, \$ 290.68

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 59	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 4 th	Complaint filed and Summons issued + Writ of Attachment. Security deposited \$50.00 Returned via Constable 8-27-59	R 5257	6 00
June 3 rd	Summons + copy of complaint served.		2 00
" 15	Defendant failed to appear.		
Aug 3	Plaintiff asks for judgment by default which is granted by the court.		
" 5	Affidavit re Military Service filed.		
<p>Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant Clint Phillips the sum of \$290.68 principal together with interest thereon until paid and costs of suit.</p> <p>Done in open court this 7th day of August 1959</p> <p>William Payne Judge.</p>			
Aug 7	Notice of entry of judgment mailed to plaintiff and defendant.		
Sept 11	Writ of Execution issued. mailed 9/15	R 0017	1 00

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Mc Mahen Furniture Co

Plaintiff

vs.

Gerald Panks individually and
dba Jerry's Chevron Service Doe 1, 2 & 3
Defendant

Action Complaint for damages

Demand, \$ 443.20

Rowell Lamberson + Thomas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1959

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May 19 Complaint filed and Summons issued

R 5272

5 00

1961
1/7 9/61 Request for Dismissal, signed by plaintiff's plaintiff's
attorneys, filed, action being fully settled.
1/9/61 Dismissed.

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John West
Plaintiff
vs.
Merlin Taylor, Sara Taylor, Merlin Taylor
+ Sara Taylor d.b.a. Taylor Saw Mill et al
Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 987.32

Om J Bartlow + Alfred Thomas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 59			
August 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued	R 0002	5 00
	Affidavits re military service and for attachment filed.		
	Writ of Attachment issued.	R 0002	1 00

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John West

Plaintiff

vs.

Ralph Breeding

Defendant

Action for money due

Demand, \$ 141.99

Barlow + Thomas

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
19 59

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept 8th Complaint filed and Summons issued and Writ of Attachment

R 0012

6 00

$$\begin{array}{r}
 141.99 \\
 3.10 \\
 6 \\
 \hline
 151.09
 \end{array}$$

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUBB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Clarence W. Bettencourt
Plaintiff
vs.
Larry Lyle Putnam; Floyd Stewart
Doe 1+2 individually + dba Direct Transportation
Doe 3 4 5+6
Defendant

Action Damages for negligence
Demand, \$
Stutsman + Nagel
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1959			
Nov 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
" 19	Documents returned to plaintiff's attorney as the amount claimed — \$1,037.00 — is beyond the jurisdiction of this court.		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

J. B. Carvin + Antoinette Carvin

Plaintiff

vs.

A. P. Medders + Jane Doe Medders

Defendants

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand, \$ Possession + Damages \$250.50

Blaine Pettitt

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1960

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

March 7 Complaint filed and Summons issued

R 0171

5 00

" 7 Summons served

1 35

W.C.

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Action *Money due for labor & materials supplied*

(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

ARTHUR C. WAHLBERG
ATTORNEY AT LAW
2904 NORTH BLACKSTONE
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
TELEPHONE BALDWIN 2-5465

FILED

October 18th 1960

DATE
1960

FEE

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff.

80208

5.00

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE GRUB GULCH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA

SMITH AND YEAROUT, INC, a corporation,) No. 171

Plaintiff,)

vs.

) JUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFF

E. S. CURTIS,

Defendant.)

2.00

The above-entitled cause came on regularly to be heard before the above-entitled court on October 14, 1960, both of said parties appearing, and the parties appearing through their respective counsel, Arthur C. Wahlberg, for the plaintiff, and Lawrence W. Clawson, for the defendant, E.S. Curtis, and evidence having been received and the cause having been submitted to the court for decision;

NOW THEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the plaintiff herein have judgment against the defendant, E.S. Curtis in the sum of \$375.00 and in the further amount of \$8.35, for plaintiff's costs.

DATED: October 18 1960

JUDGE OF SAID JUSTICE COURT

William Payne

April
May
June
July

August

Sept.
Oct.

Oct.

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

J. B. Carvin & Lucille Carvin

Action Unlawful Detainer

(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

Plaintiff

Demands Damages \$250.50

A. P. Medders & Jane P. Medders

Claine Pettitt

Attorney for Plaintiff

ARTHUR C. WAHLBERG
ATTORNEY AT LAW
2804 NORTH BLACKSTONE
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
TELEPHONE BALDWIN 2-8488

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

DATE
1960

March

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Summons served

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE GRUB GULCH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA

SMITH AND YEABOUT, INC., a corporation, No. 171

Plaintiff,

JUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. S. CURTIS,

Defendant.

The above-entitled cause came on regularly to be heard before the above-entitled court on October 14, 1960, both of said parties appearing, and the parties appearing through their respective counsel, Arthur C. Wahlberg, for the plaintiff, and Lawrence W. Clawson, for the defendant, E. S. Curtis, and evidence having been received and the cause having been submitted to the court for decision;

NOW THEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the plaintiff herein have judgment against the defendant, E. S. Curtis in the sum of \$375.00 and in the further amount of \$8.35, for plaintiff's costs.

JUDGE OF SAID JUSTICE COURT

DATED: October 18 1960

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

5 00

1 35

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Smith and Yearout, Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

E. S. Curtis and M. D. Jacobs

Defendant

Action Money due for labor & materials supplied

Demand, \$ 375.00

Arthur C. Wahlberg

Attorney for Plaintiff

Lawrence W. Clawson

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1960	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
May 16	First Amended Complaint filed.		
June 28	Original summons returned showing service on Curtis 5-11-60		
July 11	Summons on First Amended Complaint mailed to Mr Wahlberg in duplicate.		
August 4	Demand for Bill of Particulars and Answer to First Amended Complaint filed.		
" 9	Bill of Particulars filed.		
Sept 12	Notice of Trial set for October 14 th 1960 at 2.30 p.m.		
Oct 14	This case coming on regularly for trial Plaintiff appeared in person and by attorney Arthur C. Wahlberg. Defendant Curtis appeared in person and by attorney Lawrence W. Clawson. Defendant Jacobs is in bankruptcy. He did not appear and was not served. Attorney for Plaintiff requested dismissal of the action as to Jacobs and attorney Clawson agreed.		
	The following were sworn and testified: Smith President of Smith & Yearout Stefanich who works for the firm. Defendant Curtis. The court, having heard the testimony and examined the proofs offered, grants judgment for the Plaintiff in the amount of \$375.		
October 27	Satisfaction of Judgment dated October 24, 1960 filed (Full Satisfaction)		

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John West

Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. West

Defendant

Action Money due

Demand, \$ 291.44

Alfred Thomas

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1960	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept. 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued and Writ of Attachment.		
" "	Summons served in Madera Co.		
Oct. 6	Defendant appears and denies claim. Trial set for 10 AM Monday October 10th. Plaintiff agrees		
" 10	This case coming on regularly for trial Plaintiff appeared in person and by his attorney Alfred Thomas. Defendant appeared in person a counterclaim of \$120.00 for Rock + Sand was allowed by agreement of the parties and judgment was granted in favor of the plaintiff for the difference between this sum and his claim of \$291.44.		
November 22	Further writ of Execution issued for \$75.79 including Cost of Issuance Writ		

Recd 10/13
Balance due \$74.79

R0375

6 00

2 75

Receipt No 411

1 00

In the Justice's Court of JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRUB GUICH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

<p><i>John West</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p><i>George Ward</i></p>	<p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Defendant</p>	<p>Action <i>money due</i></p> <p>Demand, \$ <i>82¹¹</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Wm. J. Partlow and Alfred Thomas</i> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Attorney for Defendant</p>
--	-----------------------------------	---

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 60				
Nov	22	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
	22	Declaration and Undertaking for attachment Filed		
	22	writ of attachment Issued upon depositing Check for \$50 ⁰⁰ Receipt No. 408		
AUG. 21	61	writ of attachment ret'd unserved. Defendant requests return of bond.		
8/29		Warrant - audit's # 1251 dated 8/24/61 mailed to Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Bill SmithAction Civil

Plaintiff

vs.

Johnny W. CollinsDemand, \$ 300-J. D. Boyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 60

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Dec7

Complaint filed and Summons issued

#418

8-

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

<u>JOHN M. WEST</u>		Action <u>CIVIL</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>476.27</u>
<u>WES BOYER + AL BOYER CO-PARTNERS</u> <u>doing business as</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
<u>BOYER LOGGING CO.</u>		Attorney for Defendant
Defendant		

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>61</u>			
10/10	Complaint filed and Summons issued		REC 0697 6.00
10/10	Declaration for Attachment filed		
10/10	Cash bond of \$50 deposited		REC 0698
10/10	Writ of Attachment issued.		
7/3/62	Madera Co. Auditor's Warrant #3		
	Return of Cash Bond to Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

RAYNOR L. PARKES

Action CIVIL

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

WALTER TAYLOR doing business as

Attorney for Plaintiff

WALTER TAYLOR, GENERAL CONTRACTOR

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 61

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

11/21 Complaint filed and Summons issued

REC. 0753

6⁰⁰

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

N. D. ROBERTS, individually & doing business

as SIERRA BLDG. SUPPLY

Plaintiff

vs.

CAKHURST BUILDING MATERIALS

Defendant

Action

CIVIL

Demand, \$

364⁰⁸

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 61

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

12/5 Complaint filed and Summons issued

REC. 0769

6⁰⁰

12/22 Def. Mrs. Jung appeared and entered an
Oath Denial.

Date of trial fixed for 1/29/62.

12/28 Plaintiff & Def. notified of trial date.

1/29/62 Plaintiff & Defendant both appeared personally
in Court. The Court having heard the
testimony of Plaintiff & Defendant, it is
hereby ordered that Judgment be
entered in favor of Plaintiff and
against Defendant in the sum of
\$344⁰⁰ together with interest at the rate
of

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

BERNARD NORBERG doing business as
NORBERG'S

Plaintiff

vs.

JOHN L. LEWIS

Defendant

Action CIVIL

Demand, \$ 120 84

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 62			
2/9	Complaint filed and Summons issued	RECEIPT 0823	6 ⁰⁰
2/9	Bond of Attachment	" 0824	50 ⁰⁰
5/3	Dismissal with Prejudice		

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

WHITEHEAD, KOHSE, & FORD d/b/a
MIDWAY GROCERIES

Action COMPLAINT for MONEY

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 392.40

REFUGIO AYALA

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

5/10	Complaint filed and Summons issued	REC. 0905	6.00	
5/10	Bond of Attachment	REC. 0906	50.00	
5/10	Declarative for attachment filed			
5/11	Return of Summons			
5/10	writ of attachment issued for \$392.40			
5/29	writ of attachment Return showing following			
	but as writ of attachment \$392.40			
	accrued costs 5.70			
	Total 398.10			
	Received on writ 118.34			
	Balance \$279.76			
6/8	Default of Defendant Refugio Ayala entered at request of C.R. Abbott for Plaintiffs			
6/8	Motion - Military affidavit filed -			
6/8	Judgment by default entered against Defendant as follows: Principal \$392.40			
	Costs - Filing fee 6.00			
	Constrable fees 5.70		4,041.0	
	Return on Writ of Attachment		118.34	
	Credit per payment by Defendant to Plaintiffs		\$285.76	
			15.00	
			\$270.76	
7/25	Copy of Writ of Execution returned showing payment in full, with costs.			

In the Justice's Court of GRAND GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

N. D. ROBERTS dba

SIERRA BUILDERS SUPPLY

Plaintiff

vs.

FRANK FRIDLEY

Defendant

Action

Complaint for Money

Demand, \$

292.11

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

5/18 Complaint filed and Summons issued REC. 0916

6.00

5/21 Summons issued

8/2/62 Plaintiff advises that bill has been paid in full.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB CULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

County of MADERA,

Action COMPLAINT for MONEY

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 108.00

vs.

BERT PALMBERG dba BERT
PALMBERG PLUMBING AND
HEATING SUPPLY

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

7/2 Complaint filed and Summons issued

7/2 Affidavit for Attachment filed.

7/2 Writ of Attachment issued.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

N.D. Roberts doing business as
SIERRA BUILDERS SUPPLY
Plaintiff

vs.

HEFNER & BASS
Defendant

Action Complaint for Money

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

9/11 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1030 6⁰⁰

12/4 James W. Hefner present in Court and
entered Oral Denial to all allegations of
the Complaint herein.

1/9 Plaintiff appeared; Defendants did not
appear. Judgment to Plaintiff as
prayed for, \$300.41 with interest at 7% from
February 6, 1962 in the amount of \$28.73 for
a total of \$329.14

1/18 Judgment ordered set aside, Plaintiff's notice of
trial date having been returned undelivered.

1/22 New date of trial fixed for Feb. 1, 1963 at 4 P.M. - Plaintiff
& Defendant notified.

2/1 Plaintiff & Defendant Hefner present. After argument
matter continued to March 1st, 1963 at 3 P.M. ~~Alfas~~

2/5/63 Summons issued to Jack Bass Feb. 5, 1963.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

N.D. ROBERTS doing business as
SIERRA BUILDERS SUPPLY

Plaintiff

vs.

CARL BONG

Defendant

Action

Complaint for money

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

9/11 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1031 6⁰⁰

In the Justice's Court of GRUBB CULCH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

SIERRA SUPPLYAction CIVIL

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 280.00HOWARD RENGE

Attorney for Plaintiff

SIERRA BUILDERS

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

10/2 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1064

6.00

10/9 Defendant appeared in Court. Oral denial
filed. Rec. 1081 Letter sent to Atty for Plaintiff,
date of trial fixed for October 29, 1962
at the hour of 3:30 P.M.

2.00

10/29 Plaintiff appeared and Plaintiff appeared
by Attorney. Upon motion of Plaintiff's
attorney, case taken off calendar.

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Medina, State of California

L. W. BALSANO d/b/a
VACATION TIME TRAILERS

Action Civil

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 399.36

vs.
GLEN HENSON aka GLEN HENSON, JR., and
BERNARD F. GIRA, a partnership, Doe

Attorney for Plaintiff

I, II, III, IV, V, a partnership & DOE COMPANY,
a Corporation

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 62

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

12/11 Complaint filed and Summons issued. REC. 1148.

60

10/4/63 Motion to Quash Summons set for
Tuesday, Oct. 29, 1963 at 2:00 PM

11/29/63 Motion to quash duly came on for hearing. John Burke
appearing for moving party, no appearance for Plaintiff.
Motion duly argued and submitted. Motion granted.
Moving party to give notice. W. L. Chandra Judge.

11/1 Summons in this matter is hereby quashed
upon grounds set forth in Defendants Notice of
Motion and upon all of the files, records and
papers in this action.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of NADERA, State of California

<u>WILLIAM SMITH</u>		Action <u>Civil.</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>500⁰⁰</u>
<u>HILLTOP LANES</u>		<u>None</u>
Defendant		Attorney for Plaintiff
		<u>W. S. Dawson</u>
		Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 62	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
12-14-62	Complaint filed and Summons issued.		6 ⁰⁰
1/18/63	Answer to Complaint filed.		4 ⁰⁰
3/11/63	Notice of Proposed Trial Date and Request for Demand or Waiver of Jury Trial date 4/9/63		
3/15	Request from Defendant for another trial date.		
4/23	Notice of Proposed Trial Date: 5/14/63 10 am		
5/3	Letter from Defendant requesting another date.		
7/3	Request from Atty. for Defendant for new date rather than July 9 th , 1963. Calendar conflict. New date set for Sept. 12, 1963 at 10 am. 12h. Smith notified by letter and telephone.		
9/63	Request for continuance from Plaintiff granted. Trial fixed for Nov. 19, 1963 at 10:00 am.		
11/18/63	Request for continuance by Plaintiff granted. Trial fixed for Dec. 23, 1963 at 10:00 am.		
11/19/63	Matter set for trial by mutual agreement for March 2, 1964 at 10:00 am.		
3/2/64	This being the time & hour fixed for trial, the Defendant being present with his attorney & witnesses, and Plaintiff not appearing, nor anyone appearing on his behalf, this matter is dismissed with prejudice. Howard C. Green, acting judge.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Charles Leroy Guinlan
William E. Guinlan

Plaintiff

vs.

William Wood

Defendant

Action

Civil

Demand, \$

Wm A. Guinlan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dudley Sheppard
Attorney for Defendant

DATE
19 63

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

1/11 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1189.952; REC. 1195.

5.00
1.00

3/22

Answer to Complaint filed. REC. 1252 1259

2.00
1.00

Aug 8, 63

Notice of Trial - Nov. 14, 1963 at 2:00 P.M.

11/14

This being the time and place for trial,
Plaintiffs and Defendant were in Court.
Atty. Wm A. Guinlan, Charles Leroy Guinlan
sworn & testified. Wm Wood and Mrs.
Kathleen Pelley Woods sworn and
testified. Matter taken under sub-
mission.

11/22

Judgment granted to Plaintiff in
the sum of \$4.12 together with Court costs.

12/13

Acknowledgment of Satisfaction of
Judgment filed.

Notice of Recondition & Entry of Judgment.

In the Justice's Court of Just Gulch Township,
County of Yuba, State of California

JAMES A. NABALLY, MERLE BOWENSON and CARL MULLER, dba CARHORS

Action

CIVIL.

LUMBER COMPANY, a partnership

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

348⁰⁷

VERNE BEIGHEY and FLORINE

BEIGHEY, his wife

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 63

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

9/20 Complaint filed and Summons issued

REC 1519

6⁰⁰

10/3 Proof of Service filed. Sheriff's fees: \$820

6/11 Request to Enter Default filed.

6/11 Judgment by Default by Clerk filed.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Winton Sales Co., a Corp., d/ba
United Lumber Yards
Plaintiff

vs.

Rudolph Bong, Doc I +
Doc II
Defendant

Action CivilDemand, \$ 242 264¹²

Francis W. Halley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 63

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

10/23 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1570 1573.

600

12/23 Request to Enter Default filed.

Judgment by Default entered in the
sum of \$264.12 principal; \$5.77 interest
and \$9.75 costs. Abstract of Judgment
issued

Rec. 1631

151

11/14 Fee for appearance by Rudolph Bong on
making of oral Denial Rec. 1590.

200

1965.

1/18 Rudolph Bong, by Carl Bong, appeared and moved for an
order setting default aside on the basis of the
premature entry of default judgment. Matter set
down for hearing February 12th, 1965 at 4:00 P.M.

W. H. H. H. H. H.
Judge

2/1 Appearance was made by the defendants
Rudolph Bong and Carl Bong and his wife, sued
herein as Doc I and Doc II. The matter was con-
tinued until February 15 for a formal notice of
motion.

2/15 No appearance made by any of the parties
involved. Matter placed off calendar.

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madra, State of California

Madra County Collection Agency
vs.
William Caldwell, Dor
One, Dor Two and Dor Three

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action

Civil

Demand, \$

483.98

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
19 63

PROCEEDINGS

FEES
Plaintiff Defendant

11/18 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1599. 6.00

In the Justice's Court of GRUB GULCH Township,
County of Madra, State of California

Madra County Collection Agency
Plaintiff

Action

Civil

vs.

Demand, \$ 369.58

Eugene Varlow aka Pete Varlow
Cor I, II & IV
Defendant

Lester J. Gendron,
Attorney for Plaintiff
Plaintiff in Proprietary Case

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 63

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

11/18 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1599.

60

11/22 Request to Enter Default, Memorandum
of Costs filed.

11/24 Judgment by Default entered.

\$359.58 plus Interest of \$8.28 and
costs of \$31.30.

April 19 Affidavit for examination of judgment debtor filed

19 Order for Examination of Judgment Debtor issued.

1966

July 27 Memorandum of Costs filed

27 Writ of Execution issued. Receipt #1738

1.00

Sept 15 Writ returned, partially satisfied

July 20 Satisfaction of Judgment filed

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Madura County Collection
Agency Plaintiff

vs.

George Ward, Doe I, II
III Defendant

Action Civil

Demand, \$ 211.31

Lester J. Gordon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>64</u>			
1/24	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Rec. 1659</u>	<u>6.00</u>	
3/26	Default entered.		
3/26	Judgment by default granted.		
5/1	Affidavit in support of Order for Examination of Debtor filed.		
5/1	Order issued for Examination of judgment debtor, hearing fixed for May 25, 1964 at 2 PM.		
6/29	To file Affidavit in support of order for Credit X		
6/29	Issued: Order for X on 7/10/64 at 4:30 PM.		

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Ralph A. Dale and
Rose Dale, his wife
Plaintiff

vs.

John Crump
Defendant

Action

CivilDemand, \$ 330 + Int. & C. & C.In Prop

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
1964

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

3/5 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. # 1700

\$6⁰⁰

3/18 Now comes the Defendant, John Crump, and under authority of Section 422 of the Code of Civil Procedure makes his Oral Denial to the allegations of the Complaint herein. RECEIPT #1719

\$2⁰⁰

3/19 It is hereby ordered that this matter be and the same is set down for trial April 15, 1964 at 3 P.M., before the Court, without a jury, neither party having requested a jury.

April 15, 1964 Plaintiff, Ralph A. Dale, and defendant, John Crump, appeared personally, were duly sworn and testified. Judgment was rendered for Plaintiffs and against defendant, John Crump for \$352⁵⁰ plus costs of \$8⁷⁰. Judge Alex W. Brown acting presided. Notice given in open court.

April 15, 1964 It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs, Ralph A. Dale and Rose Dale, his wife, have and recover from the defendant, John Crump, the sum of \$330⁰⁰ principal damages, \$22⁵⁰ for unpaid utilities, together with costs of \$8⁷⁰. Total judgment \$361²⁰.

Alex W. Brown Judge acting

Done in open Court this 15th day of April, 1964.

June 10, 1964. Abstract of Judgment filed and certified copy thereof sold to atty's for Plaintiff. Rec. 1812 \$1⁵⁰

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Albert B. Russo and
Violet K. Russo
Plaintiff

Action Complaint for Money

Demand, \$

STANLEY ANDERSON; DOROTHEA
ANDERSON, his wife; A. E. BLAKELEY;
and Z. D. BLAKELEY,
Defendants

Lester J. Gendron
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

EDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

7/9 Complaint filed and Summons issued

REC. 1852 6⁰⁰

10/24 Original Amended Complaint filed and
Alias Summons issued.

12 15 Defendants Stanley Anderson and Dorothea Anderson appeared,
and entered oral denial generally to Amended Complaint

1/13/65 Mr. A. E. Blakeley appeared and admits debt
herein. Rec. #0381 \$176.53. Check sent to Mr. Gendron

1/14/65 Filing fee paid on appearance of Stanley Anderson
and Dorothea Anderson

Rec. #0386 \$4.00

1/14/65 Original Alias Summons filed

4/27/65 Dismissal as to defendants A. E. Blakeley and Z. D. Blakeley
entered on behalf of plaintiffs by Attorney for Plaintiffs

4/27/65 Objection to Judge on Ground of Disqualification filed

4/27/65 Date of trial of Russo vs Anderson set for 6-16-65, 1:30 p.m.

May 8 Dismissal with Prejudice filed, copy returned to
Lester Gendron.

In the Justice's Court of San Gabriel Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Ralph A. Dale and
Rose Dale, his wife
Plaintiff

vs.

John Crump
Defendant

Action CivilDemand, \$ 330FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.DATE
19 64

PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff Defendant

3/5 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. # 1700

\$ 6.00

3/18 Now comes the Defendant, John Crump, and under authority of Section 422 of the Code of Civil Procedure makes his Oral Denial to the allegations of the Complaint herein. RECEIPT #1719

\$ 2.00

3/19 It is hereby ordered that this matter be and the same is set down for trial April 15, 1964 at 3 P.M., before the Court, without a jury, neither party having requested a jury.

April 15, 1964 Plaintiff, Ralph A. Dale, and defendant, John Crump, appeared personally, were duly sworn and testified. Judgment was rendered for Plaintiff and against defendant, John Crump for \$ 352.50 Plus Costs of \$ 8.50. Judge Alex W. Brown acting, presided. Notice given in open Court.

April 15, 1964 It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs, Ralph A. Dale and Rose Dale, his wife, have and recover from the defendant, John Crump, the sum of \$ 330.00 Principal damages, \$ 22.50 for unpaid utilities, together with Costs of \$ 8.50. Total judgment \$ 361.00.

Alex W. Brown, Judge
acting

Done in Open Court this 15th day of April, 1964

June 10, 1964. Abstract of Judgment filed and certified copy thereof held to atty's for Plaintiff. Rec. 1812 \$, 50

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Albert B. Russo and
Violet K. Russo

Plaintiff

vs.

James Robert Anderson, Jr.
Anderson, G. E. Blakeley
and G. D. Blakeley

Defendant

Action Complaint for Money

Demand, \$

Lester J. Gendron
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

7/9 Complaint filed and Summons issued

REC. 1852 6⁰⁰

10/24 Original Amended Complaint filed and
Alias Summons issued.

12 15 Defendants Stanley Anderson and Darrothea Anderson appeared,
and entered oral denial generally to Amended Complaint

1/13/65 Mr. A. E. Blakeley appeared and admits debt
herein. Rec. #0381 \$176.53. Check sent to Mr. Gendron

1/14/65 Filing fee paid on appearance of Stanley Anderson
and Darrothea Anderson

Rec. #0386 \$4.00

1/14/65 Original Alias Summons filed

4/27/65 Dismissal as to defendants A. E. Blakeley and G. D. Blakeley
entered on behalf of plaintiff by Attorney for Plaintiff

4/27/65 Objection to Judge on Ground of Disqualification filed

4/27/65 Date of trial of Russo vs Anderson set for 6-16-65, 1:30 p.m.

May 8 Dismissal with Prejudice filed, copy returned to
Lester Gendron.

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Carl Muller

Plaintiff

vs.

H. L. Williams

Defendant

Action

Money due on rental of
equipment

Demand, \$

300⁰⁰

In Properia Personam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

8/24 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1947

6⁰⁰

8/24 Bond for attachment posted

Rec. 1947

50⁰⁰

9/8 Writ of Attachment set'd. Account paid
in full.

In the Justice's Court of Butte Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Cal-Desert Equipment Co.,
Inc., a Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Pinkey Little & Son & Co.
Shrine Construction Co.

Defendant

Action Balance on material, services
& merchandise

Demand, \$ 320⁶⁶ + 31⁰⁰ costs

Willard C. Treadwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

8/5/ Complaint filed and Summons issued

#1947

6⁰⁰

11/25/64 Summons filed, showing Return of Service
Request to Enter Default, Memo of Costs &

11/25/64 Declaration of Non-Military Status filed.

11/25/64 Default Judgment entered, \$351⁶⁶

11/25/64 Abstract of Judgment issued, Rec. #2058

1⁰⁰

11/25/64 Writ of Execution issued

1⁰⁰

11/26/64 Order setting aside Judgment issued. Trial
date fixed for Dec. 29, 1964 at 10 a.m. Oral
Denial by Defendant having been made on
November 20, 1964.

11/25/65
12/27 Date for trial fixed for Jan. 22, 1965 at the
hour of 2:00 P.M.

Jan. 22, 1965 Attorney Willard Treadwell appeared
personally for Plaintiff, defendant, Pinkey Little,
appeared personally, defendant was sworn and
testified, and it was stipulated in open court
between the parties that judgment be entered for
Plaintiff for \$125⁰⁰ principal and with No costs.
Judge Allen Brown, acting, presided.

Jan. 22, 1965 Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and
adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
\$125⁰⁰ principal, \$ interest, \$
attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ None Total judgment
\$125⁰⁰.

Dated: Jan. 22, 1965 Allen Brown, acting
Judge

Jan. 22 Abstract of Judgment issued. see Rec #2058

100

Jan. 22 Writ of Execution issued.

Rec #2058

100

1966
Jan 7 Execution returned unserved.

July 25 Satisfaction of Judgment and Release of Abstract
of Judgment filed.

In the Justice's Court of Subiuleh Township,
County of Medina, State of California

Bert Palmberg

Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Owens

Defendant

Action

Complaint for Money Due

Demand, \$

433.28

Ja. Roperia Personam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

8/28 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1945

6.00

8/28 Date of Service;

fee \$2.00

In the Justice's Court of Paul J. Gled Township,
County of Madera, State of California

U. S. Leasing Corporation,
a Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Roy White Ind. & dba
Western Freyboard Lines

Defendant

Action Demand on lease agreement

Demand, \$

Thadwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

8/31 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 1947

6.00

9/4 Date of Service; fees \$3.05

9/17 Order of Time & Place of Trial filed.

Filed for 10/30/64 at 4:30 P.M.

10/30 Willard Thadwell, atty. for Plaintiff, and
Mr. Roy White appeared. After argument
matter taken under submission for
further investigation & consideration.3 2 Judgment entered for Plaintiff and against
Defendant for \$175. - plus Court costs of \$9.05,
making a total of \$184.05.3 9 Abstract of Judgment and Writ of Execution
issued. Rec #0489

2.00

5 10 Satisfaction of Judgment & Release of Abstract of Judgment filed.

In the Justice's Court of Sub. Gulch Township,
County of Madison, State of California

John M. West
Plaintiff
vs.
H. L. Williams
Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

155. ⁷²/₁₀₀ + 50 + 1.10

Thomas & Harrington
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

9/3 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Dec. 1953

6⁰⁰/₁₀₀

9/3 Declaration Undertaking for Attachment filed. Bond

50⁰⁰/₁₀₀

9/11/64 Return of Garnishment rec'd from
Constable. Check for \$316⁰⁰/₁₀₀ held.

3 1 Notice of proof of publication filed herein

5 27 Return of Garnishment by Constable filed

5 27 Summons filed.

5 27 Judgment entered. Sum of \$155.79 principal,
interest in sum of \$1.10, attorney's fees of \$50. - and plaintiff's
costs of \$31.05. Total \$237.94

5 27 Writ of Execution Rec. #0605

1⁰⁰/₁₀₀

5 28 Writ returned by Constable.

6 8 Warrant 13231, \$50.00, sent to John West as return of
Writ of Attachment Bond.

In the Justice's Court of Grub Gulch Township,
County of Madera, State of California

HUGH SCHOLLENBARGER, ALPHA SCHOLLENBARGER
WILLIAM SCHOLLENBARGER and MAUREEN SCHOLLENBARGER

Action Complaint for Money Due

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 375.00 + costs

JAMES C. JOHNSTON

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
10/2	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
10/3	Summons noted - Fees \$3.40		
11/8/64	Original Answer, aff. of service by mail filed.		
11/8/64	Original Cross-Complaint, aff. of service by mail filed.		
11/5/64	Original Affidavit of Lester J. Gendron & aff. of service by mail & copy of Cross-Complaint filed.		
11/5/64	Order Transferring Case to Superior Court issued.		
11/30/64	Case transferred to Superior Court, with \$13.00 transfer fee from Atty. Gendron.		

In the Justice's Court of Just Filed Township,
County of Madison, State of California

John W. West

Plaintiff

vs.

Don Corbin

Defendant

Action

Complaint for Goods, Wares & Merchandise Sold & Delivered

Demand, \$

264.21; 70.00 atty fees & 45¢ Int.

Alfred Thomas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 64

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

10/9 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec. 2017

6.00

10/9 Bond for attachment

" 2017

50.00

10/9 Declaration & Undertaking for Attachment filed

10/9 Writ of Attachment issued

10/9 Moratorium Affidavit filed.

10/13 Original Writ of Attachment & Summons set to Court with Return of Garnishment by Constable

10/21 Demurrer filed.

Rec. 2026

12/30 Writ of Attachment issued.

1965
6/22 Answer to Complaint filed

8/19 Writ of attachment returned "fully satisfied"

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

B. Giffin

Plaintiff

vs.

R. K. Bernard d/ba

North Fork Furniture & TV

Defendant

Action Money due

Demand, \$ 121.47

William K. Day

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>65</u>			
April 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt 0549</u>	700	
June 22	Summons returned		
22	Request to Enter Default, etc.		
22	Judgment by Default by Clerk entered		
July 19	Writ of Execution <u>Receipt 0770</u>	100	
26	Writ returned "fully satisfied"		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Bank of America Natl Trust
and Savings Association, a
National Banking Association
Plaintiff

vs.

Gerald Barrett, Delia Martin
First Ave and Second Ave
Defendant

Action

Complaint for Money
on Written Instrument

Demand, \$ 464.96

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 65

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept 17 Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec # 0983.
23 Declaration & Undertaking for Attachment filed

7.00.

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

E. J. Gibson

Plaintiff

vs.

Donald House

Defendant

Action

Complaint in Unlawful
Detainer

Demand, \$

Plaintiff in Propria Persona
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1965			
Sept 30	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec. #1018	7 00	
Oct 15	Default Judgment in Unlawful Detainer		
Nov 4	Writ of Restitution filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Merchants Association of
Fresno, Inc., Collection Division
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry G. Wackerman Individually
Henry Wackerman d/ba Wackerman
Construction Co.
John Doe and Jane Doe Defendant

Action Complaint (Open Book Accts
Accounts Stated)

Demand, \$ 283.74

Allan S. Mason
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 65			
Oct 22	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec #1068	\$ 7.00	
Dec 2	Summons returned & filed		
" "	Request to enter Default filed		
" "	Memorandum of Costs filed		
" "	Declaration of Non-Military Status filed		
" "	Wherefor, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered, and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$ <u>283.74</u> principal, \$ <u>16.51</u> interest, \$ <u>NONE</u> attorney's fees, together with costs taxed herein at \$ <u>10.40</u> Total judgment \$ <u>310.65</u> .		
Dec. 2 1965	Abstract of Judgment issued Rec #1127	\$ 1.00	
Dec 27 1966	Cost Bill After Judgment filed in amount of \$3.00		
July 26	Court Order for Appearance of Judgment Debtor issued.		
Aug 17	Court Order for Appearance of Judgment Debtor filed. Served on August 8, 1966. Defendant <u>Henry G. Wackerman</u> and <u>Allan S. Mason</u> Attorney at Law, representing Merchants Assoc. also appearing, Mr. Wackerman was duly sworn. Examined and discharged.		
Sept 26	Cost Bill after Judgment filed - amt. \$6.20		
Nov 20 1968	Cost Bill after Judgment filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sieria Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Fremont Fund American Insurance
Companies, a California Corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Ray Lloyd, Yosemite Butane Company,
Martin Oil Company, No. 1 through
X, inclusive
Defendant

Action Complaint for Damages

Demand, \$ 452.39

George A. Schroeder
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mc. Cormick, Barstow Sheppard,
Coyle & Best.
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 66			
May 25	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec # 1465	\$ 7 00	
June 24	Answer of Above Named Defendants to Complaint for Damages filed Receipt # 1597		\$ 6 00
Sept 28	Dismissal filed, copy returned Mc Cormick, Barstow Sheppard & Coyle & Best Fresno		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Charles A. Papenhausen &
Ella M. Papenhausen
Plaintiff

vs.

Grenville A. Smith &
Gloria Smith
Defendant

Action Complaint in Unlawful
Detainer

Demand, \$ 149.15 to July 21, 1966.
\$3.33 from July 22, 1966 to termination.

1. Restitution
2. Public Hotel, Palmdale
3. Plaintiff's Costs
4. Morris Tuttle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.

DATE 19 66	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 22	Complaint filed and Summons issued unlawful detainer issued Rec. 1678	7 00	
22	Affidavit for Attachment, Unlawful Detainer filed		
22	Undertaking for Writ of Attachment filed		
Aug 8	Defendant Gloria Smith personally appeared, denying charges contained in Complaint filed by plaintiff.		
8	Notice of Answer filed.		
12	Time for Court trial set for 9-6-66, 2 pm		
Sept 6	Above entitled cause came on regularly for trial before above entitled Court on this date. Plaintiffs moved to support themselves as attorneys for plaintiffs in Propria Persona, which motion was granted. Gloria Smith appeared for herself and defendant Grenville A. Smith. Court finds plaintiffs entitled to judgment in sum of \$135.43 and \$7.- costs, total \$142.43.		
Sept 23	Writ of Execution issued Receipt for \$11.00 #1966	1 00	
Oct 7	Writ returned. Partially satisfied		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

James G. Dupzyk

Plaintiff

vs.

Neil Gates

Defendant

Action Complaint

Demand, \$ 468.94

Plaintiff in Propria Persona
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 66			
Oct. 3	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec. # 1981	7.00	

In the Justice's Court of Sherida Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Ray E. Bennett
aka Ray's Electric

Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas W. Nelson

Defendant

Action

Complaint for
Money Judgment

Demand, \$ 470.70

J. D. Boyle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1967

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

March 20	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Receipt # 2271	700	
March 20	Bond for attachment	Receipt 2271	235.00	
	20 Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed			
	20 Writ of Attachment issued			
July 31	Warrant payable to Ray Bennett mailed	\$235.00		
April 10 68	Substitute of attorneys filed. Substitute Lemgo, Theusen & Thompson to substituted for Robert B Robbins. Two copies conformed & mailed to Robert Robbins			
Dec 19	Dismissal with Prejudice filed by attorney for Plain			
Dec 19	Copies of dismissal conformed & returned to Robbins			

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Margrie Southwick

Plaintiff

Williams Resort, Inc., a
California Corporation

Defendant

Action Complaint for Debt and
for an Account Stated

Demand, \$ 402.50

William B. Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 67			
March 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt #2280.	7 ⁰⁰	
April 28	Amended complaint filed		
January 5	Dismissal with prejudice filed, conformed copy returned		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Hugh Delaney

DBA Sumner Builders Supply

Plaintiff

vs.

Virginia S. Silva, First Doe
and Second Doe

Defendant

Action

Complaint in Debt

Demand, \$ 474.09

John F. Runner

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 67		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
July 14		Complaint filed and Summons issued - Receipt #2469	7 -	
Aug 30		Summons returned showing service Aug 16 th 1967		
Aug 30		Summons filed		
Sept 28		Memorandum of costs and declaration of non-military status filed		
Sept 28		Entry of Clerk's Judgment under CCP 585(1) \$506.92 Copy returned to Kimble Mac Michael Runner & Jackson with date default filed.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

ADDITION TO PAGE 215

WILLIAM PAYNE, HARRIET PAYNE

vs.

ED S. CURTIS

DATE
1967

Defendant

1
2
3
4
5 January 10, 1968 Notice of Trial filed 7
6 Jan. 15 File returned to Sierra Justice Court
by Superior Court Madera
7 Jan. 15 Answer to first amended complaint filed. 2
8 Mar. 11 Stipulation & Order filed.
9 Mar. 11 Two copies of Stipulation & Order conformed
10 & returned to Dunn & Gibson.
11 April 4 Filed Notice of Entry of Judgement
12 April 4 Memorandum of Costs & Disbursements filed
13 April 4 Issued Abstract of Judgement 1.00
14 April 9 Transferred file to Clerk of Superior
15 Court Madera.

16 Oct 25, 1968 Court order for appearance of
17 Judgment Debtor issued

18 Nov 25 Cost Bill after Judgement filed

19 Nov 25 Writ of Execution issued

20 Nov 25 Writ of exec returned partially
21 satisfied - filed Rec. 2347 \$2.00
22

23 complete file and list of
24 items mailed to Madera Superior Court.

25 in amount of \$14.00 included
26 file to Madera.

27 notice of Sustaining of Demurrer +
28 Amended Complaint for Breach of Written
29 Contract

30 on to list also Amended Complaint
31 for Breach of Written Contract and Sustaining
32 Demurrer mailed to Clerk of Superior

Nov 2) Transcript fee

Dec 7 Conformed copy of "stipulation allowing
time to answer" mailed original to
Superior Court, copy to Dunn & Gibson

Hugh Delaney

Action Complaint in Debt

DBA Scurro

ADDITION TO PAGE 215

Virginia

1

DATE
19 67

FILES

Plaintiff	Defendant
1. <i>State of New York</i>	1. <i>John Doe</i>
2. <i>John Doe</i>	2. <i>State of New York</i>
3. <i>John Doe</i>	3. <i>John Doe</i>
4. <i>John Doe</i>	4. <i>John Doe</i>
5. <i>John Doe</i>	5. <i>John Doe</i>
6. <i>John Doe</i>	6. <i>John Doe</i>
7. <i>John Doe</i>	7. <i>John Doe</i>
8. <i>John Doe</i>	8. <i>John Doe</i>
9. <i>John Doe</i>	9. <i>John Doe</i>
10. <i>John Doe</i>	10. <i>John Doe</i>
11. <i>John Doe</i>	11. <i>John Doe</i>
12. <i>John Doe</i>	12. <i>John Doe</i>
13. <i>John Doe</i>	13. <i>John Doe</i>
14. <i>John Doe</i>	14. <i>John Doe</i>
15. <i>John Doe</i>	15. <i>John Doe</i>
16. <i>John Doe</i>	16. <i>John Doe</i>
17. <i>John Doe</i>	17. <i>John Doe</i>
18. <i>John Doe</i>	18. <i>John Doe</i>
19. <i>John Doe</i>	19. <i>John Doe</i>
20. <i>John Doe</i>	20. <i>John Doe</i>
21. <i>John Doe</i>	21. <i>John Doe</i>
22. <i>John Doe</i>	22. <i>John Doe</i>
23. <i>John Doe</i>	23. <i>John Doe</i>
24. <i>John Doe</i>	24. <i>John Doe</i>
25. <i>John Doe</i>	25. <i>John Doe</i>
26. <i>John Doe</i>	26. <i>John Doe</i>
27. <i>John Doe</i>	27. <i>John Doe</i>
28. <i>John Doe</i>	28. <i>John Doe</i>
29. <i>John Doe</i>	29. <i>John Doe</i>
30. <i>John Doe</i>	30. <i>John Doe</i>
31. <i>John Doe</i>	31. <i>John Doe</i>
32. <i>John Doe</i>	32. <i>John Doe</i>
33. <i>John Doe</i>	33. <i>John Doe</i>
34. <i>John Doe</i>	34. <i>John Doe</i>
35. <i>John Doe</i>	35. <i>John Doe</i>
36. <i>John Doe</i>	36. <i>John Doe</i>
37. <i>John Doe</i>	37. <i>John Doe</i>
38. <i>John Doe</i>	38. <i>John Doe</i>
39. <i>John Doe</i>	39. <i>John Doe</i>
40. <i>John Doe</i>	40. <i>John Doe</i>
41. <i>John Doe</i>	41. <i>John Doe</i>
42. <i>John Doe</i>	42. <i>John Doe</i>
43. <i>John Doe</i>	43. <i>John Doe</i>
44. <i>John Doe</i>	44. <i>John Doe</i>
45. <i>John Doe</i>	45. <i>John Doe</i>
46. <i>John Doe</i>	46. <i>John Doe</i>
47. <i>John Doe</i>	47. <i>John Doe</i>
48. <i>John Doe</i>	48. <i>John Doe</i>
49. <i>John Doe</i>	49. <i>John Doe</i>
50. <i>John Doe</i>	50. <i>John Doe</i>
51. <i>John Doe</i>	51. <i>John Doe</i>
52. <i>John Doe</i>	52. <i>John Doe</i>
53. <i>John Doe</i>	53. <i>John Doe</i>
54. <i>John Doe</i>	54. <i>John Doe</i>
55. <i>John Doe</i>	55. <i>John Doe</i>
56. <i>John Doe</i>	56. <i>John Doe</i>
57. <i>John Doe</i>	57. <i>John Doe</i>
58. <i>John Doe</i>	58. <i>John Doe</i>
59. <i>John Doe</i>	59. <i>John Doe</i>
60. <i>John Doe</i>	60. <i>John Doe</i>
61. <i>John Doe</i>	61. <i>John Doe</i>
62. <i>John Doe</i>	62. <i>John Doe</i>
63. <i>John Doe</i>	63. <i>John Doe</i>
64. <i>John Doe</i>	64. <i>John Doe</i>
65. <i>John Doe</i>	65. <i>John Doe</i>
66. <i>John Doe</i>	66. <i>John Doe</i>
67. <i>John Doe</i>	67. <i>John Doe</i>
68. <i>John Doe</i>	68. <i>John Doe</i>
69. <i>John Doe</i>	69. <i>John Doe</i>
70. <i>John Doe</i>	70. <i>John Doe</i>
71. <i>John Doe</i>	71. <i>John Doe</i>
72. <i>John Doe</i>	72. <i>John Doe</i>
73. <i>John Doe</i>	73. <i>John Doe</i>
74. <i>John Doe</i>	74. <i>John Doe</i>
75. <i>John Doe</i>	75. <i>John Doe</i>
76. <i>John Doe</i>	76. <i>John Doe</i>
77. <i>John Doe</i>	77. <i>John Doe</i>
78. <i>John Doe</i>	78. <i>John Doe</i>
79. <i>John Doe</i>	79. <i>John Doe</i>
80. <i>John Doe</i>	80. <i>John Doe</i>
81. <i>John Doe</i>	81. <i>John Doe</i>
82. <i>John Doe</i>	82. <i>John Doe</i>
83. <i>John Doe</i>	83. <i>John Doe</i>
84. <i>John Doe</i>	84. <i>John Doe</i>
85. <i>John Doe</i>	85. <i>John Doe</i>
86. <i>John Doe</i>	86. <i>John Doe</i>
87. <i>John Doe</i>	87. <i>John Doe</i>
88. <i>John Doe</i>	88. <i>John Doe</i>
89. <i>John Doe</i>	89. <i>John Doe</i>
90. <i>John Doe</i>	90. <i>John Doe</i>
91. <i>John Doe</i>	91. <i>John Doe</i>
92. <i>John Doe</i>	92. <i>John Doe</i>
93. <i>John Doe</i>	93. <i>John Doe</i>
94. <i>John Doe</i>	94. <i>John Doe</i>
95. <i>John Doe</i>	95. <i>John Doe</i>
96. <i>John Doe</i>	96. <i>John Doe</i>
97. <i>John Doe</i>	97. <i>John Doe</i>
98. <i>John Doe</i>	98. <i>John Doe</i>
99. <i>John Doe</i>	99. <i>John Doe</i>
100. <i>John Doe</i>	100. <i>John Doe</i>

July

Aug

Aug

Sept

Sept

Dec 18	Whit of exec returned partially satisfied - filed Rec. 23rd \$2.00
Nov 22	Whit of execution issued
Nov 22	Cost Bill after judgment filed
	Judgment Debt issued
Oct 22, 1968	Grant order for appearance of

In the Justice's Court of Surra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

William Payne, Harrett Payne
Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. S. Curtis, Doe I, Doe II
Defendant

Action Complaint for Breach
of written Contract

Demand, \$

Robert B. Robbins
Attorney for Plaintiff

Otis Gibson
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1967	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 31	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 1215	7 -	
Oct 3	Filed Demurres to Complaint & notice of motion for change of venue	2 -	
Nov 8	Plaintiff William Payne and defendant Ed Curtis appeared personally with their attorney's Robert Robbins representing W. Payne Otis Gibson representing Ed. S. Curtis		
Nov 8	The motion for change of venue argued and submitted. Decision by Court to be made on or before December 16 th . Demurres to complaint sustained. Plaintiff to have 10 days after ruling on motion on change of venue in which to file amended complaint.		
Nov 8	Judge Lindley retained file to research it at Madera Law Library. Supplemental memorandum of Point & authority filed		
Nov 15	Ruling on Motion & Demurres filed, copies mailed		
Nov 21	Notice of Appeal and Undertaking on appeal filed		
Nov 21	Certified docket, complete file and list of contents mailed to Madera Superior Court.		
Nov 21	Check in amount of \$14.00 included with file to Madera.		
Nov 22	Filed notice of Sustaining of Demurres & First Amended Complaint for Breach of Written Contract.		
Nov 22	Addition to list also Amended Complaint for Breach of Written Contract and sustaining of Demurres mailed to Clerk of Superior Court.		
Nov 22	Transcript fee	1 -	
Dec 7	Conformed copy of "stipulation allowing time to answer" mailed original to Superior Court, copy to Dunn & Gibson		

In the Justice's Court of
County of _____

Township,
State of California

Thomas Walker Jr

Plaintiff

vs.

John Roe dba Wish-I-H-Lodge
CR Capps.

Defendant

Action Complaint for Damage
to Property & the Loss of use
thereof

Demand, \$ 481.28

Barbieri, Giomette M'Carthy
& Steiner Attorney for Plaintiff

Clifford Plumley
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1968	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Jan 24	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 1514	7 -	
Feb 23	Cross-complaint filed Receipt # 1576	8 -	6 -
Oct 8	Answers to cross complaint filed		
Nov 26	Interrogatories to CR Capps. Defendant filed		
Dec 9	Answer to Interrogatories filed		
Jan 6	Notice of sitting of Court trial for February 4 th 1969 at 1:30 PM mailed two copies to Barbieri, Giomette, M'Carthy & Steiner Attorneys		
Jan 14	Notice of hearing mailed to Steiner & Plumley		
Jan 17	Stipulation filed. Set for trial April 15, 1969 at 1:30 PM.		
April 10	Officers deposit for appearance Rec # 2784 \$25-		
April 10	Subpoena Bucky Walters issued, returned to attorney.		
April 15	This being time and hour set for Court trial Judge Howard Green presiding Paul J Steiner appearing for plaintiff, Clifford H Plumley for Defendant. Witnesses appeared in following order and duly sworn:- Emmerson R. Capps; Thomas J. Walker; Clifford Manly; Bertram J. Savell. Court found contributing negligence on both sides, each to bear own costs Steiner, directed to prepare Judgement after trial		
April 21	Auditor's warrant # 13416 refunding \$25.00 for officers deposit for appearance mailed		
May 14	Judgement after trial by Court. Filed, copy mailed Plumley		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Creditors Service Corp
Plaintiff
vs.
Jim D. Hill aka Jim Hill
Elhelyne Hill + Deed + Thow
Defendant

Action Complaint for Money

Demand, \$ 240.00
Weiss + Bregman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1968			
Mar 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 1629.	7 -	
April 24	Answer of Defendant Receipt # 1667.		4 -
May 1	Interrogatories to Defendant filed		
June 1	Notice of motion to strike answer for failure to answer etc.		
June 12	Defendant Elhelyne Hill appeared personally.		
June 12	Minute Order filed		
July 3	Answer to interrogatories filed.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Mader, State of California

<u>Wayne M^c Knight</u> Plaintiff	{	Action <u>Complaint for</u> <u>Property Damage</u>
<u>Thomas L. Rosen</u> Defendant		Demand, \$ <u>227.95</u> <u>R. W. Levy</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1968

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff	Defendant
-----------	-----------

June 11 Complaint filed and Summons issued

7.00

No. 219

219

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District
County of Madera, State of California

Nell Krikawa

Plaintiff

vs.

Lloyd Baum Doe I

Doe II Doe III Doe IV

Defendant

Action complaint for
Property Damage

Demand, \$ 343.43 + costs.

Sandell & Hill

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
1968

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

July
July

1

Complaint filed and Summons issued

25

Copy of dismissal with prejudice filed
copies returned to Harold D. Sandell

7.00

In the Justice's Court of Sima Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Ralph Gordon
d/ba Redu. Mx of Oakhurst
Plaintiff

vs.

Albeck Wolf & Jewel Wolf
Defendant

Action Complaint for money
due

Demand, \$ 495.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1968

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug 22 Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 2026
Sept 13 Dismissal with prejudice filed.

7 -

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Creditors Service Corp.
et al Creditors Service of L.H.
Plaintiff

vs.

Raymond Cox
Elaine Cox
Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 153.53

Weiss & Bergman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	REMARKS
Nov 7	Abstract + Declaration issued + returned	1.50	3103
Dec 19	Judgment papers filed		
Feb 26	Abstract + Declaration issued + returned	1.50	3103
Feb 26	10-8-71 Auditor's Warrant #P3687 in amount of \$10.16 received, under section 710 to be held for 10 days.		
Mar 27	10-20-71 Above warrant mailed to Creditor's Service Corporation after endorsement.		
April 9	12.5.71 Auditors warrant P.6276 in amount of \$64.95 received, will be held 10 days	0.2483	
May 19	12.15.71 Warrant mailed to Creditors Ser. Corp. after endorsement.	0.2526	
June 2	2.7.72 Satisfaction of Judgment dated 1.17.72 filed		
Feb 19	Abstract + Declaration issued + returned	1.50	3103
Feb 19	Abstract + Declaration issued + returned	1.50	3103
Mar 23	Abstract + Declaration issued + returned	1.50	3131
April 17	Check in amount of \$8.73 received to be held for 10 days for execution		
April 27	Check endorsed by Judge Roberts + mailed to Plaintiff		
May 7	Abstract + Declaration issued + returned	1.50	3217
June 27	Check endorsed by Judge Roberts + mailed to Plaintiff (\$21.70)		
Oct 2	1970 Abstract + Declaration issued \$94.60	1.50	3644
Nov 10	1970 Abstract + Declaration issued \$92.60	1.50	3713
Dec 30	Abstract issued	1.50	3779
Mar 2	Check in amount of \$44.89 received held 10 days endorsed + mailed to plaintiff 3.12.71		
Mar 29	Abstract + Declaration in amt 61.71 issued Rec # 3901	\$1.50	
Sept 13	710 Abstract	4310	1.50

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Ralph Gordon
dba Rede. Mx of Oakhurst
Plaintiff

vs.

Allick Wolf & Jewel Wolf
Defendant

Action

Complaint for money
due

Demand, \$

495.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
10-6-8

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

7-

Aug
Sept

22 Complaint filed and returned

13 Dismissal with prejudice

Auditor's Warrant #93687 in amount of \$10.16 received, under section 710 to be held for 10 days.

10-8-71

Above warrant mailed to Creditor's Service Corporation after endorsement.

10-20-71

Creditor's warrant P. 2210 in amount of \$10.16 received, will be held 10 days. Warrant received by Creditor's Ser. Corp. after endorsement.

10-21-71

2-5-75 Satisfaction of Judgment dated 1-17-75 filed

In the Justice's Court of
County ofTownship,
State of CaliforniaCreditors Service Corp.
also Creditors Service of L.H.
Plaintiff

vs.

Raymond Cox
Elaine Cox
Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 153.53

Weiss & Bregman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1968	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov 7	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt #2274	7 -	
Dec 19	Judgment papers filed		
Feb 1969 26	Partial satisfaction for \$57 notice filed		
Feb 26	Writ of Execution in amount of \$120.43 issued (see letter) Receipt #2444	2 -	
Mar 27	Writ returned totally unsatisfied with accrued costs of \$11.70; total \$132.13		
April 9	Abstract of Judgment issued for \$176.43 declaration in amount of \$132.53.	1 00	#2483
May 19	Abstract & Declaration	1 00	#2526
June 12	Check in amt of \$24.50 received, to be held for exemption filing.		
June 25	Check endorsed by Judge Roberts & mailed to Plaintiff		
Feb 1970 16	Abstract & Declaration issued & returned	1 50	3103
Feb 18	Enquiry received by phone, confirmed abstract mailed.		
Mar 23	Abstract & Declaration issued & returned	1 50	3131
April 17	Check in amount of \$8.73 received to be held for 10 days for exemption filing		
April 27	Check endorsed by Judge Roberts & mailed to Plaintiff		
May 7	Abstract & Declaration issued & returned	1 50	3217
June 24	Check endorsed by Judge Roberts & mailed to Plaintiff (\$26.70)		
Oct 2 1970	Abstract & declaration issued \$94.60	1 50	3644
Nov 10 1970	Abstract & declaration issued \$98.60	1 50	3713
Dec 30	Abstract issued	1 50	3779
Mar 1971 2	Check in amount of \$44.89 received held 10 days endorsed & mailed to plaintiff \$12.71		
Mar 29	Abstract & Declaration in amt 61.71 issued Re #	3901	\$1.50
Sept 13	710 Abstract	4310	1 50

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Just. Dist. Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Jorgensen, To Maday Engineers
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Williams individually
and DBA Solar Sierra

Defendant

Action

Complaint for Money

Demand, \$

649.85

Griswold & Barrett
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1969

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Jan	29	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Receipt # 2404.	7 -
Feb	12	Summons returned showing service	Feb 4, 1969	
Feb	12	Summons filed.		
Feb	12	Memorandum of costs & dec of non-military status filed		
Feb	12	Entry of Judgment filed		
Feb	12	Abstract of Judgment issued in amt of Principal \$649; \$12.25 interest; \$19.30 costs. Receipt #2449 for \$1.00		1 -
Feb	12	Original Judgment by default filed, copies returned to Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Marco Tunk Lines</u>	}	Action <u>complaint for damages</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		
<u>Nick Devanzo</u>	}	Demand, \$ <u>582.42</u>
Defendant		<u>Parichan & Krebs</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 69			
April 22	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 2500		
June 17	Dismissal with Prejudice filed, copies conformed & mailed to Parichan & Krebs.		7 00

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Vincent T Goodwin
Ann Goodwin

Plaintiff

vs.

Louis E Davis
Gertrude Lapp aka
Gertrude Lapp

Defendant

Action

complaint for unlawful
detainers

Demand, \$

Shirwood & Denlow Green
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.DATE
19 69

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May 7

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 2515

7 00

May 20

Summons filed - unlawful detainers

20 Writ of Possession

20 Judgment in unlawful detainers

20 Three days' notice to quit

20 Request to enter default, memorandum of costs

20 \$20.00

20 Receipt # 2533 in amount \$1.00

1 00

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<p><u>Ledric G. Larson</u> Plaintiff</p>		<p>Action <u>Complaint for</u> <u>unlawful Detainer</u></p>
<p>vs. <u>Anthony Merkle</u> Defendant</p>		<p>Demand, \$ _____ <u>Denzel Green</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p>
		<p>Attorney for Defendant</p>

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
1969				
June 11		Complaint filed and Summons issued		
June 15		Receipt # 2654 for \$1- Receipt # 2561	7 00	
June 15		Request to enter default filed with memo of costs. Certified Summons returned & filed	1 00	
June 15		Judgment in unlawful detainer filed		
June 15		Writ of possession filed issued		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Judicial District Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<p><u>Lavern D Ordway</u> Plaintiff</p> <p>vs.</p> <p><u>Aram Arakelian</u> Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>Complaint for money</u> <u>services rendered etc</u></p> <p>Demand, \$ <u>857.83</u></p> <p><u>Kimble MacMichael</u> Attorney for Plaintiff</p> <p><u>Runner + Jackson</u> Attorney for Defendant</p>
---	--

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>69</u>		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
June 11		Complaint filed and Summons issued		
June 12		Original summons & copy of summons & complaint delivered to J. Haney personally M.S.O. as instructed by attorney		
June 16		Defendant appeared personally and filed a general oral denial to each and every allegation of complaint		
June 17		Plaintiff's attorneys notified		
July 1		Request for setting trial date filed		
July 1		Trial set for July 22nd at 10AM		
July 18		Plaintiff Attorney & Defendant notified		
July 24		Trial date changed to July 24 th 1969		
July 24		This being time & hour set for Court trial, Plaintiff represented by Attorney Mac Michael, Defendant present. After listening to testimony & reviewing exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4 presented by Plaintiff's counsel Court gave judgment to the Plaintiff in amount of \$411.51 plus costs.		
Aug 11		Notice of Entry of judgment filed, copies mailed Plaintiff & Defendant.		
Aug 11		Judgment filed		
Sept 18		Satisfaction of Judgment & Proof of mailing to Defendant filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Judicial Dist. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Violet F Russo</u> Plaintiff		Action <u>Unlawful Detainer</u> Demand, \$ <u>150.00</u>
vs. <u>Stephen Corey</u> <u>Jane Corey</u> Defendant		
		<u>Gendron & Gendron</u> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>69</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt #2648 (2648) Papers delivered Mrs Russ as instructed receipt to attorney	7-	
July 23	Summons returned with e/service filed. Request to enter default.		
July 23	Judgment by default entered		
July 30	Notice of entry of judgment & affidavit filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Marton & Associates

Plaintiff

vs.

John D. Morehouse
also known as

Defendant

Action

complaint for collection
of account.

Demand, \$

925.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1969

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug	18	Complaint filed and Summons issued .	Receipt #2743 Return 8-25-69	7 00	
Aug	26	Defendant appeared entered general oral denial of charges, Receipt # 2769			2 00
Aug	27	Attorneys for Plaintiff notified			
Oct	7	Notice of continuing time of trial filed			
Oct	15	Allegation for Entry of Judgment + Order.			
Oct	22	Abstract of Judgment issued Receipt 2914		1 -	

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Nan De Vany Plaintiff
 vs.
Paul Lung Defendant

Action complaint for money
 Demand, \$ 342.84
Shepard George Delaney Attorney for Plaintiff
 _____ Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept	23	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt #2844		
Oct	27	Default entered Judgment given Pl. ^{Summons + service filed} 10-23-69	7 00	
Oct.	27	Abstract of judgment issued Receipt #2933	1 00	
		to Plaintiff for \$453.44		
Jan	20	Receipt #3801 for \$1.50 Writ of Ex. \$454.44	1 50	

In the Justice's Court of SJD Township,
County of Madera, State of California

James A. McNally

Plaintiff

vs.

Earl Roberts

Defendant

Action complaint for goods

Demand, \$ 659.33 + 12% interest

J. D. Boyle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 69

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Oct 16 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 2908

7 -

In the Justice's Court of 597 Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Bonanza of Oakhurst
Robert B. Robbins Plaintiff

vs.

Glen Moore dbn
Moore Paving
Doe I; Doe II + Doe II Defendant

Action complaint for money
due

Demand, \$ 448.47

Robert B. Robbins
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 70	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 29 1970	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt #3205 (sued herein as)	7.00	
May 25	General oral denial by DW Moore as Doe I entered denial to each and every allegation of the complaint. Plaintiff & his attorney notified		2 -
May 28	Default judgment given against Glen Moore only Abstract issued Glen Moore only Rec 3257- \$1.50	1.50	
Aug 27	Satisfaction of judgment - Glen Moore filed Dismissal returned to Attorney B. Robbins for signature before filing, Conformed copies of Satisfaction also returned		
Sep 4	Dismissal Dismissal with prejudice filed conformed copies returned to B. Robbins		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Judicial Dist Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Merchants Association of Fresno

Plaintiff

vs.

Raymond Arthur Cox

Defendant

Action

complaint on
open book account

Demand, \$

261.14

Marc A. Stefano

Attorney for Plaintiff

substitution: J. Kubota

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 70	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
July 21	Summons returned & filed.		
July 21	Request to enter default judgment in amount of \$349.99		
July 21	Abstract of judgment issued		
Aug 4	Cost Bill after judgment filed (\$3.50)		
Aug 28	Appearance of judgment Debtor ordered for 9.16.70 3 PM. All copies returned to Marc Stefano.		
Sept 14	Marc Stefano phoned will reset date for a J D. Defendant notified by mail not to appear. Since motion for new date made by plaintiff costs for service of first judgment not allowed.		
Sept 23	Order for appearance of Jud. Debtor set Oct. 13 th 11 AM issued & returned to Stefano.		
Oct 2	Order returned indicating service		
Nov 25	Ray Cox, defendant questioned by Marc Stefano for Merchants Assoc.		
Jan 27	Cost Bill filed in amt \$9.20		
May 5	1972 - Checks in amt \$5.29 received P13358		
May 15	Checks endorsed & mailed to Marc Stefano		
May 15	Cost Bill filed in amt of \$ 5.29 2.50 filed		
Nov 1	Checks in amount of \$68.06 received		
Nov 13	Checks endorsed and mailed to Marc A. Stefano		
Feb 14	Check received Feb 4 th endorsed and mailed to Merchants Ass of Stefano in amt of \$174.26		
April 10	Cost bill after judgment filed		
March 7	1975 Substitution of attorneys filed		
June 26 th	1975 Satisfaction of Judgment filed		

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Jud. Dist. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

L. E. Rosenberg

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Lovejoy

Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 585.43

Rosenberg Wiseman & Sweet
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 70	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
July 6		Complaint filed and Summons issued		
		Rec # 3332	7.00	
Sept 11		Declaration of lost summons filed.		
Sept 11		Summons & Proof of service filed		
Sept 11		Judgment by default entered		
		Memo of costs filed		
Sept 11		Abstract of judgment issued.	1.50	
Sept 11		Writ of execution issued	1.50	
Sept 11		All required orig & copies mailed to Rosenberg.		
Oct 14		See letter to Rosenberg.		
Jan 7 1971		Execution returned partially satisfied balance \$406.26		
Jan 13		Exec issued in amount of \$406.26	1.50	# 3792
Mar 3		Ex returned partially satisfied bal. \$378.06		
Oct 5, 1971		Satisfaction of judgment filed, conf. mailed		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner JC Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Margaret Mukley

Plaintiff

vs.

Arnold Alejandro

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

361.43

Gundron & Gundron
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 70	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 23	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec #3427	8 -	
Sept 1	Judgment by default & Summons returned		
Sept 1	Summons returned served, memorandum of costs filed		
Sept 1	Judgment by Default issued		
Sept 4	Notice of Entry of Judgment filed		
Nov 3	Writ of Execution Rec 3693	1 50	
Nov 24	Writ returned unsatisfied, additional costs \$8.50		
Jan ¹⁹⁷¹ 29	Writ issued Rec 3819	1 50	
Oct 22	Writ returned		
Oct 22	Writ issued Rec #4432	1 50	
Nov 30	Writ returned unsat with \$9.90 add costs		
3-14-80	Complaint on domestic money judgment #14868	15 -	

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Floyd Bradley + Assoc</u>	}	Action <u>complaint for money</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		
<u>James Foster</u>	}	Demand, \$ <u>192.15</u>
Defendant		
		<u>Willard Treadwell</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept 11	11	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec # 2575 \$7. Rec # 3605 \$1-	8 -	
Sept 11	11	See letter in docket envelope		
Oct 3	3	Summons and proof of service filed		
Nov 3	3	Answer by Defendant filed Rec # 3691.	2 -	
Nov 6	6	Memo that case at issue filed		
Nov 17	17	Phoned attorney trial date set for 12.16.70 2 PM. they will notify defendant.		
Nov 20	20	Set for Court Trial 12.22.70 10 AM.		
Feb 5	5	1971 Mr Treadwell's office phoned, trial to be taken off calendar and re-set at later date. Sandell had been informed & agreed to this		
May 3	3	Notice of time set for hearing with copy mailed to Willard B Treadwell.		
May 3	3	Set for Non-jury trial May 11 th 2:00 PM. Trial date set for May 17 at 10:30 A.M.		
May 17	17	This being time & hour set for trial - Plaintiff Floyd Bradley + Assoc. - represented by Ray D. Bruncker - Plaintiff represented by Atty. Willard Treadwell. Defendant present - represented by Atty. Sandell. Court gave judgement to the Defendant. Defendant to prepare judgement.		
June 29	29	Judgement entered notices mailed to both parties in action to attorneys		
July 9	9	Memorandum of costs filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Ross Scott</u> vs. <u>William L. Dutton</u>	Plaintiff Defendant	Action <u>Complaint for</u> <u>Property Damage</u> Demand, \$ <u>379.24 + \$150.00</u> <u>Peter C Morris</u> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	------------------------	---

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1970	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Sep 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued	8	-
Nov 23	Declaration of request; Accident report, Summons returned, declaration of non-military status filed.		
Nov 23	Default judgment granted.		
Mar 3	Cert. of Facts re unsatisfied judgment and cert copy of judgment mailed DMV copy of cover letter mailed attorney as requested.		
Jan 22 1974	Declaration in support of request to enter installment judgment filed. Order making the judgment payable in installments signed + filed		
Jan 22	Certified copy mailed to DMV, request for \$1.00 certification to Morris Rec #6601 for \$1.00		2.25.74

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Bank of America

Plaintiff

vs.

William Richards

Rene Richards

Defendant

Action complaint for money

Demand, \$ 800

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1970

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Dec 9 Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 3758

\$9 -

Aug 13 1973 Summons returned showing service
upon William Richards only - 1.12.71

Aug 13 Default judgment entered

Aug 13 Abstract of judgment issued #6097

\$1.50

No. 241

241

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

County of Madera

Action complaint for money

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 277.54

vs.

Betty Pappenhagen

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 70

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

12.3.70

Complaint filed and Summons issued

No fees. - exempt

In the Justice's Court of Guerra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

National Collection

Action

complaint for money

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

910.82

Richard Hardestock, et al

Joseph Angelos

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 71

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

2-1-71

Complaint filed and Summons issued

3820

9

-

2-1-71

Writ of attachment issued.

2-16-71

Writ of attachment returned

4-23-71

Request for dismissal with prejudice filed.

In the Justice's Court of Sierra J.D. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

North American Credit
Plaintiff
vs.
Braden
Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 248.60 + Att. fee etc.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 71			
Feb 19 1971	Complaint filed and Summons issued Rec 3850	9 -	
	Writ of Att issued Rec 3850	1 50	
April 8	Writ of Att. returned + filed		
May 6	Request for dismissal with prejudice filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial Dist. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

County of Madera

Action complaint for money

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 542.02

vs.

Jack Goporth & Laura Goporth

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 71

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Mar 12 Complaint filed and Summons issued No filing fee - exempt
April 14 Dismissal with prejudice filed

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Lvelyn O. Keplinger</u>		Action <u>unlawful detainer</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>1000 00</u>
<u>Chester Johnson</u>		<u>John Burk</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 71	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar 30	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt #3902</u>	9 -	
April 23	Summons returned <u>May 26th</u> Default entered		
May 26	Writ of Possession of Real Property & of Execution issued		
May 26	Abstract of Judgment <u>Rec # 3991</u>	1 50	
July 1	Writ of Possession filed <u>Rec # 3992</u>	1 50	
Aug 3	Cost Bill after Judgment filed (total \$5. costs)		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Capital Credit CorporationAction complaint for money

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 694.27Alvin M. Swain

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

1971

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Mar 30

Complaint filed and Summons issued #3903

9 -

Mar 15th1972 Answer filed; See letter dated 3.15.72
as per Judge's instructions

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Vergie Thomas</u>	} Action <u>Complaint in</u> <u>Unlawful Detainer</u>
Plaintiff	
vs.	Demand, \$
<u>Roma Mason</u>	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 71	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 15	Complaint filed and Summons issued	9 -	
April 21	General Oral denial filed		2 -
April 23	Attorney for Plaintiff notified.		
April 27	Formal request for trial received from Plaintiff		
April 27	Plaintiff + Def notified by mail trial date May 4 th 2:00 PM.		
May 4	Time for trial Plaintiff accompanied by Attorney Harold Williams Defendant present. Presiding Judge Samuel Roberts after hearing sworn testimony of both Plaintiff & Defendant gave judgment plus costs to Plaintiff Mr. Williams instructed to prepare copy of Judgment.		
May 10	Judgment & Memorandum of Costs filed, copies returned to Fullerton, Lang & Rickett.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Pargas of Oakhurst Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

Judy Artiaga

Defendant

Action

Complaint for money

Demand, \$

261.38

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 71	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued	9-	
Mar 15	Answer filed. Check for \$10 held see letter		
Mar 20	Receipt #4677 (\$10 check with receipt returned)		2-
June 5	Demand for Bill of Particulars filed		
Oct 20	Stipulation for Entry of Judgment filed		
Oct 20	Judgment on Stipulation filed		
Dec 17	Satisfaction of Judgment filed		

Rec # 3979

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of _____, State of California

Hugh Delaney

Plaintiff

vs.

Frances Heniker

Defendant

Action

complaint for money due

Demand, \$

632.81

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 71

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

June 28

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 4075

9 -

December 20 1972

Request to enter default judgment
filed

Dec 20 1972

~~clerk's judgment entered~~, copy conformed &
returned to Robert Robbins

Feb 8 1973

Judgment for Plaintiff against defendant
entered by Clerk at request of R. Robbins
attorney for Plaintiff and instruction
from judge Roberts. Court # 2 for \$521 -

Mar 22

Abstract issued #5669 \$1.50

Mar 28

Writ of Execution #5700 for \$1.50

July 24

Satisfaction of judgment filed, copy
conformed.

Aug 7

Writ returned fully satisfied

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of _____, State of California

Nathan b. Sweet

Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Wackerman +
Mrs Henry Wackerman

Defendant

Action

Complaint for
Unlawful Detainer

Demand, \$

Bartow & Christiansen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 71		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 4		Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 4215	9 -	
Aug 18		Request to enter default filed.		
Sept 2		The plaintiff appeared by his attorney Axel Christianson with Mrs Nathan Sweet Smt. appearing as witness on behalf of Plaintiff after witness having been questioned & Plaintiffs Exhibit #1, notice to quit introduced the Court found the Plaintiff is entitled to relief as prayed for in the complaint and for the Plaintiffs cost of suit. Notice to quit filed		
Sept 2		Unlawful detainer default judgment entered		
Sept 2		Writ of Possession issued Receipt #4299	1 50	
Sept 27		Writ of Possession returned marked served	32 20	

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Lloyd L. Tull

Plaintiff

vs.

Wesley G. Watkins

Defendant

Action Open book account

Demand, \$ 762.00

Vernest D. Todisco
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grossman, Weaver & Yeich
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 72	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 6	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
June 5	Answers filed	9 -	
June 7	Summons filed		2 -
June 12	Request for default judgment denied see letter to Todisco		
Sept 29	Request for trial from Plaintiff filed Set for November 7 th at 2PM, notice of trial setting mailed to attorneys for both Plaintiff & Defendant.		
Oct 26	Substitution of Attorney filed by Defendant. Attorney of record Doty, Quinlan & Kershaw Request for trial by Attorney Todisco set for February 21st at 3PM.		
Feb 5	Notice to each attorney notifying time for trial mailed.		
Mar 2	Attorney Todisco phoned requesting trial be taken off calendar.		
Mar 12	Request for dismissal filed		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Thomas Crass + Alta Crass

Plaintiff

vs.

Elmer L. Richardson

Patsy E. Richardson

Defendant

Action

Demand for money

Demand, \$ 631.52

Clarence Wilson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 72

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

April 28

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 4749

9 -

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Romer, O'Connor & Co Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

George Elmer Nicholson

Defendant

Action Money Due

Demand, \$ 761.97

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 72

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Receipt # 4778	9 -	
Oct	20	Summons returned			
Oct	20	Request to enter default filed			
Oct	20	Judge by default filed			
Oct	26	Abstract of Judgment filed	Receipt # 5379	1.50	
Jan	17	Satisfaction of Judgment			

In the Justice's Court of Luna Judicial Dist. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Jesse A. Loe
Plaintiff
vs.
Wesley G. Watkins
Defendant

Action complaint for
money due
Demand, \$ 545.69
Robert Robbins
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 72			
Oct 16	Complaint filed and Summons issued - Receipt # 5342 for \$9 -	9 -	
Jan 11	Dismissal with prejudice filed by attorney Robert Robbins for the Plaintiff		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner J. D. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Associated Modular Homes
Plaintiff

vs.

Linton E. Forrester

Defendant

Action Complaint in

Unlawful detainer

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Complaint filed and Summons issued

See letter, during ex for filing
it was found money claim
was above jurisdiction of this
court, check complaint &
summons returned to attorney for
filing in Madera Superior Court.

In the Justice's Court of Surrey Township,
County of _____, State of California

Romer, ~~Brown~~
O'Connor + Co
Plaintiff
vs.
Beverly Alonzo Cornwell
Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 218.62

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 73			
Jan 17	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 5546	9 -	
Aug 29	Dismissal without prejudice filed		

In the Justice's Court of Santa Clara Judicial Dist. Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Household Finance Corp.

Plaintiff

vs.

Johnnie Thompson

Defendant

Action

\$662.44

complaint for money

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 73

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Jan

23

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 5554.

9 -

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Madera, State of California

A. Duggan

Plaintiff

vs.

H. E. Sanders

Defendant

Action

open book account
unjust enrichment

Demand, \$

510.84

Carlos J. Badger

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 73	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Feb 14		Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Feb 14		Original of summons + copy of complaint delivered to constable as directed by M. Badger		
April 17		Request to enter default filed.		
April 17		Judgment by default by clerk.		
April 27		Receipt # 5774 for \$1.50 Abstract issued		
Aug 1		Affidavit + order of ex set for August 29 th 3 PM signed.		
Aug 29		Order for examination signed. Set for 10.17.73		
July 2		Order returned unserved, UTL.		
July 23		Affidavit + Order for Examination Judgment Debtor issued, set for 9 August 21st 1974 at 3.00 PM.		
Sept 6		Auditor's Warrant # 15064 for \$28.61		
Sept 16		Warrant endorsed + mailed to Badger, Mower + Watson		
Oct 10, 1974		Writ of Ex. issued \$1.50 Rec # 7339		
Nov 12, 1974		Writ returned wholly unsatisfied		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Donald Trainer

Plaintiff

vs.

Bill Fulton +

Mrs Bill Fulton

Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand, \$ 320.00

Thomas Caswell

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 73	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
April 16	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Nov 8	Summons returned indicating service 4-23-73 both parties.	9 -	
Nov 8	copy of 3 day notice filed		
Nov 8	Request to enter default filed with copy to attorney		
Nov 8	Rec 6348 for \$1.50 abstract of judgment.		

In the Justice's Court of Sima Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Walter Brannon Drilling

Plaintiff

vs.

Glenn Anderson

Defendant

Action Complaint on oral contract

Demand, \$ 940.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 73	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Receipt #5877 for \$9—	9—
Sept 13	Request to enter default filed. Summons indicating service 7.2.73 filed.		
Oct 12	Abstract issued Rec 6284 10.16.73 H 6284	1	50

In the Justice's Court of Sinaloa Township,
County of Madera, State of California

John S. Lockwood

Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Johnson

Defendant

Action

complaint for
unlawful detainer

Demand, \$

Willard Plumley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Stephen Leonard
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1973	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
June 25	Summons returned		
June 25	Answer filed		
July 16	Plaintiff + defendant in court accompanied by their attorneys who entered onto an oral stipulation for entry of judgment & accepted by the court Ordered that:- Plaintiff to receive judgment against the defendant for unlawful detainer Writ of possession to be issued July 27 th 1973 The defendant ordered not to inflict any damage or destruction upon mobile home. Each party to bear own costs of suit.		
July 27	Judgment entered & writ of possession issued, delivered to constable Ades.		

Receipt # 5894 for \$9 —

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Creditors Bureau of Calif
Plaintiff

vs.

Sierra Auto
Lloyd + Arlene Hamon
Defendant

Action Complaint

(open book account)

Demand, \$ 357.63

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 73	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Receipt # 5931 for \$11 —	11 -
Sept 13	Summons returned, service effected	7.25.73	
Sept 13	Clerk's default judgment filed.		
Sept 13	Request to enter default filed.		
Sept 13	Abstract of Judgment issued	#6190	1 50
Sept 21	Writ of Execution issued with cost Bill after judgment filed	#6216	1 50
Sept 30 th 1974	Writ returned		
Sept 30 th 1974	Cost Bill filed		
Sept 30 th 1974	Writ of ex. issued # 7287 for \$1.50		
Jan 8 1975	Satisfaction of Judgment filed		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Creditors Bureau of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Sierra Builders

Defendant

Action

Complaint
open book account

Demand, \$

129.09

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 73

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

June 28
July 23

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Summons filed,

Receipt #5932 for \$11—
served 7.16.73

11 -

10 90

Jul
Sep

In the Justice's Court of Surra Jud. Dist. Township,
County of _____, State of California

Herbert T. Morgan

Plaintiff

vs.

Boady Luna

Defendant

Action unlawful - detainer

Demand, \$ _____

Attorney for Plaintiff _____

Attorney for Defendant _____

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
19 73

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July 26	Complaint filed and Summons issued as instructed copies of complaint & summons to constable adus.	Receipt #6033	11	-
Aug 8	Answer filed	#6071		2 -

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Madera, State of California

National Collection Agency Plaintiff

vs.

Ronald D. Weyant

Defendant

Action Complaint for money

Demand, \$ 517.81

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

ES Defendant	DATE 19 73	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
	Aug 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt # 6096</u>	11	-
	Oct 16	Summons returned showing service on <u>August 31st 1973</u>		
2 -	Oct 16	Request to enter default filed		
	Oct 16	Judgment by default by clerk filed		
	Oct 31	Abstract of judgment issued #6337 \$1.50		

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Fresno Credit Bureau

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Norman Roberts

Defendant

Demand, \$ 460.04

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1913	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt #6127</u>	11	
Sept 26	General oral denial entered by Defendant Rec #6230 to all charges in the complaint.		2 -
Sept 26	Attorney for Plaintiff notified		
Oct 10	Request granted for setting of Notice of Motion for Order of Summary Judgment set for Oct 31st 11 AM.		
Oct 11	Defendant notified need for filing of counter affidavit		
Oct 31	Summary Judgment without appearance by Plaintiff granted see order.		
Nov 8	Receipt #6347 for \$1.50 Writ of Ex issued		
Nov 14	Abstract of Judgment issued #6366 \$1.50		

In the Justice's Court of Sumner Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Green & Santos

Plaintiff

vs.

Action complaint for property
damage

Demand, \$ 817.28

or Plaintiff

Defendant

DATE
10-31-73

FRESNO CREDIT BUREAU vs. NORMAN ROBERTS 268.

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

10-31-73

In this matter the motion for Summary Judgment coming up for hearing at 11:00AM on 10-31-73 and no counter affidavit having been filed by the defendant and no appearance having been made by or on behalf of the defendant it was ordered that the oral answer filed by the defendant be stricken and that judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff in the sum of \$460 Principal, interest to date, \$90.00 attorney fees, and costs incurred by the plaintiff.

Samuel M. Roberts
SAMUEL M. ROBERTS, Judge

3-21-74 Satisfaction of Judgment filed

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Fresno Credit Bureau

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Norman Roberts

Demand, \$ 460.04

Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE
1973

Aug
Sept

Sept
Oct

Oct

Oct

Nov
Nov

268

FRESNO CREDIT BUREAU vs. NORMAN ROBERTS

In this matter the motion for summary judgment coming up for hearing at 11:00AM on 10-31-73 and no counter affidavit having been filed by the defendant and no appearance having been made by or on behalf of the defendant it was ordered that the oral answer filed by the defendant be stricken and that judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff in the sum of \$460.04 principal, interest to date, \$50.00 attorney fees, and costs incurred by the plaintiff.

Defendant Norman Roberts appearing by Samuel M. Roberts, Judge

Summons, judgment, and appearance by Plaintiff granted.

Receipt # 1000, amount \$460.04, date 10/31/73.

Abstract of judgment, entry # 6266, \$150.

2 -

In the Justice's Court of Suma Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Green & Santos</u>	} Plaintiff	Action <u>complaint for property</u>
		<u>damage</u>
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>817.28</u>
<u>Richard Edwards</u>	} Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19 73				
Sept 17		Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt #6194</u>	11	-
Oct 16		Summons returned, served 10.3.73		
Jan 8		1974 Request to enter default signed & filed		
Jan 8		Set for hearing on January 9 th 1974 at 9:00 AM.		
Jan 9		Mr Santos appeared accompanied by his attorney Douglas Low before Judge S. Roberts hearing held with costs reviewed, judgment given plaintiff,		
Jan 14		Memorandum of costs & disbursements filed for total of \$21.00		

In the Justice's Court of Siema Township,
County of _____, State of California

James Best

Plaintiff

vs.

J. Marzan Company

Defendant

Action complaint to foreclose
mechanic's Lien

Demand, \$ _____

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
1-8-74	Demand in excess of this court's jurisdiction, all papers returned to attorney Cohen		

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Township,
County of _____, State of California

Pargas Company of Oakhurst
Plaintiff

vs.

Kenneth Kirby
Defendant

Action

Complaint, Promissory Note

Demand, \$ 1000.00

Ballard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 74

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Mar 28 Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 6676
May 23 Summons returned showing service April 9th 1974
May 23 Default judgment by clerk entered.

11 -

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Melvin Cohen

Plaintiff

vs.

Bill Tullon

Defendant

Action

Complaint for ~~Money~~
damages

Demand, \$ 206.43

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 74

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

May 8	Complaint filed and Summons issued	# 6751 for	11 -
July 16	Original summons + proof of service filed		
July 16	Set for Hearing July 22 nd 9:30 AM.		
July 22	Plaintiff appeared personally, Judge Roberts presiding, judgment given plaintiff, he is to prepare judgment for Judge's signature		
Aug 5	Default Judgment by Court filed		
Aug 8	Abstract issued # 7086 for \$1.50		
Dec 23, 1974	Execution issued # 7547 \$1.50		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

_____ H. Mazzei vs. Thomas Barnett _____ _____		Plaintiff Defendant	Action <u>complaint for Money</u> Demand, \$ <u>286.48</u> _____ Attorney for Plaintiff _____ Attorney for Defendant
---	--	------------------------	---

DATE	19	74	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
				Plaintiff	Defendant
May	10		Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 6764	11	-
June	28		Summons returned served May 21, 74		
June	28		Request to enter default - judgment by Clerk granted (checked Judge Green)		
July	11		Abstract of Judgment Rec # 27 6960	1	50
Oct	16		Order for examination of December 2 nd at 1.30 issued		
Oct	30		Service on examination filed		
Jan	27	1975	Sale/action of judgment filed		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Roy Williams</u> Plaintiff		Action <u>complaint for money due</u>
vs. <u>George Bushnell</u> Defendant		Demand, \$ <u>623.70</u> <u>Ken Ballard</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1974	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 14 1974	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Aug 26 1974	Summons returned, service	11 -	
Aug 26 1974	Default by clerk entered.		
Sept 25 1974	satisfaction of judgment filed by Ken Ballard.		

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Township,
County of _____, State of California

<u>Jerry Knight</u> vs. <u>Gerold Walker</u>		Plaintiff Defendant	Action <u>complaint for money</u> Demand, \$ <u>534.00</u> <u>Ken Ballard</u> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	--	----------------------------	---

DATE	19 74	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
July	29	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt # 7035</u>	//	-
Sept.	11	1974 Summons returned, service 7-31-74		
Sept.	11	Request to enter clerk's judgment filed		
Jan	15	abstract issued # 7621	1 50	

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Mary J. Johnson

Plaintiff

vs.

Grace Martin

Defendant

Action

Complaint - Unlawful

Detainer

Demand, \$

136.14

Ken Ballard

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 74

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Sept

19

Complaint filed and Summons issued

9.30.74 Summons Ret. #7272

11 -

Oct

2

Request to enter default. Default hearing
set for October 9th 9:15 AM. Upon
request M^r Ballard.

Oct

9th

Mrs Johnson appeared for hearing accompanied
by M^r Ballard who request restitution
judgment granted Judge Roberts presiding

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Mary Johnson
Plaintiff
vs.
Marc Pasco
Rose Pasco
Defendant

Action complaint
unlawful detainer
Demand, \$ 38.75
Ken Ballard
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 74			
Sept 19	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Sept 30	Summons returned, served 9:21:74		
Oct 2	Request to enter default filed, matter set for hearing on Oct 9 th 9:15 AM upon request Mr Ballard		
Oct 9	Mrs Johnson appeared for hearing accompanied by Mr Ballard Judge Roberts presiding judgment granted.		
Oct 28	Judgment filed		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Mary J. Johnson

Plaintiff

vs.

David Evans
Deborah Evans

Defendant

Action complaint for
unlawful detainer

Demand, \$ 270.50

Ken Ballard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 74	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept 19	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Sept 30	Summons returned		
Oct 2	Request to enter default filed, matter set for hearing 10.9.74 at 9:15 AM. upon request M. Ballard		
Oct 9	Mrs Johnson appeared accompanied by M. Ballard for hearing judgment granted.		
Oct 28	Judgment filed		

Receipt # 7272

11 -

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Mary J. Johnson

Plaintiff

vs.

Diane Dennis

Defendant

Action

Complaint

Unlawful detainer

Demand, \$

77.50

Ken Ballard

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1974	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept 19	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Receipt 7272	11 -
Sept 30	Summons returned		
Oct 2	Request to enter default filed. Matter set at request of Mr Ballard on 10-9-74 at 9:15 AM.		
Oct 9	Mrs Johnson appeared accompanied by Mr Ballard for hearing, judgment granted		

In the Justice's Court of Surra Township,
County of _____, State of California

Bank of America

Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Lealva

Defendant

Action

Complaint for money
on written instrument

Demand, \$ 306.00

Theodore Saschman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
1974

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Sept 20

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 7273

11 -

Sept 20

Supporting affidavit of proper commencement
filed

Dec 27

Request for dismissal filed.

In the Justice's Court of Suma Township,
County of _____, State of California

Dennis Anderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Anthony Dixon

Defendant

Action

Un Lawful Detainer

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

19 74

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

Oct 17

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 7346

11 -

Oct 23

Return on summons filed

Oct 25

General oral denial to all charges in complaint
plaintiff notified.

7377 2 -

Oct 28

Attorney Ken Ballard requested trial date placed
on calendar for 11.13.74

282

284

No. 989

No. 284

In the Justice's Court of Alameda Township,
County of Madera, State of California

R + W Enterprises

Plaintiff

vs.

C + B Hardware

Calvin Barnett

Defendant

Action complaint for moneyDemand, \$ 182.70Robert Cross

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
19 74

PROCEEDINGS

FEES
Plaintiff Defendant

Oct

17

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 7350

11 -

Nov

14

Defendant entered general oral denial to all charges in the complaint

Nov

14

Receipt # 7436

2 -

Nov

14

Plaintiff notified of answer.

~~Nov~~
Jan

20

Notice of trial mailed to both parties. Trial set for 1.13.75 at 9:30 AM.

Jan 13 1975

This matter coming for trial Jan. 13th 1974 10 AM. The plaintiff appeared in person accompanied by his attorney Robert Cross. and defendant Calvin Barnett appeared pro per and evidence being presented by both Plaintiff + Defendant. Witness sworn & presented testimony:-

Mr. J. Menin - Plaintiff

Troy Carver -

Defendant.

Judge Samuel Robert ruled in favor of defendant on both causes of action, that the Plaintiff take nothing by reason of its complaint on file herein.

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Milton Westfall
Virginia Westfall

Plaintiff

vs.

Lonnie Walsh
Marsha Walsh

Defendant

Action

Unlawful detainer

Demand, \$

750.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>74</u>			
Nov 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Receipt # 7438</u>	11	-
Dec 30	Summons returned		
Dec 31	Request to enter default filed		
Jan 22	Request to enter Clerk's judgment filed by Robbins for Plaintiff		

282

286

No. 989

No. 286

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

California State Auto Ass.

Action

complaint for
money

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

427.55

Demetrious J. Miller

Wells A. Hutchins

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.DATE
1974

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Nov 14

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt #7439

11 -

Mar 19

Request to enter default, matter set for hearing
April 15th 9:30 AM, setting confirmed.

April 15

1975 Mr Solomon for Plaintiff appeared

Judge Roberts gave judgment for Plaintiff
in amount of \$427.55 plus fees
attorney to prepare judgment.

April 23

Judgment by default filed

Nov 5

Satisfaction of judgment filed

In the Justice's Court of San Juan Township,
County of _____, State of California

Nan De Vaney
Plaintiff
vs.
Patricia Sawyer
Defendant

Action complaint for money
Demand, \$ 333.00
Shepard Olson Devaney
Attorney for Plaintiff
Turner
Attorney for Defendant

FEEES	DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Defendant	19 74			
	Dec 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt # 7529	11 -	
	Jan 27	Answer to complaint filed. # 7658		2 -
	Jan 27	Plaintiff notified		
	Feb 20	Request for trial setting filed		
	Feb 20	Notice of hearing for March 5 th 9:30AM mailed		
	March 5 th	Time for trial, Judge Roberts presiding Mrs De Vaney accompanied by her attorney H. De Vaney & Mrs Sawyer accompanied by her attorney. Checks & accounts entered into evidence. Mr Nunez motion to dismiss under section denied. Judgment for Plaintiff, Mr Devaney instructed to prepare papers. Check & accounts retained in file		
	Mar 17	Judgment signed, conformed copies to attorney		
	April 14	1975 Notice of Entry of judgment		
	June 2	Satisfaction of Judgment filed		

282

288

No. 989

No. 288

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Action

complaint for moneyG C Services Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

James Grant

Defendant

Demand, \$

316.72Jules Dix

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S.F.DATE
19 74

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Dec 17	Complaint filed and Summons issued	#7535 for \$11.00	11	-
Feb 5	Defendant appeared and entered a general oral denial to all charges in complaint	Rec # 7675		
Feb 5	Plaintiff mailed notice			
Oct 21	1975 - Request by Plaintiff for trial setting			
Oct 21	Notice to both parties trial date			
	January 13 th 1976			
Sept 13, 1976	Request for dismissal, entire action without prejudice			

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Rosena Wright

Plaintiff

vs.

James Duncan

Defendant

Action

Unlawful Detainer

Demand, \$

Crossland Caswell & Bell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 75

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Feb

24

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec 7731

11

(all copies to Johnados as per instructions)

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of _____, State of California

Roy + Georgiana Eastman

Plaintiff

vs.

Robert + Debbie Gynodo

Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand, \$

Thomas Caswell

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	19 75	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued #7831	11	-
Mar	17	Delivered to John Ales as written instructions		
Mar	26	General Oral denial #7842		2
Mar	27	Caswell phoned, matter set for hearing		
		4.15.75 9:30 AM. All parties notified		

282

292

No. 989

No. 292

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Household Finance

Action Complaint for money due

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 863.07

Richard Davies

Marc A. Stefano

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 75

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff

Defendant

April

14

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Rec #7897 for

11 -

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of _____, State of California

<u>Yosemite Lakes</u>	}	Action <u>complaint for unlawful</u>
		<u>detainer</u>
		Demand, \$ _____
vs.		
<u>Helen + Robert Buckbinder</u>	}	Attorney for Plaintiff _____
		Attorney for Defendant _____
	Plaintiff	
	Defendant	

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
	19 75		Plaintiff	Defendant
May	5	Complaint filed and Summons issued H7939 for \$11.00	11	-
May	15	Answer to complaint filed		4
May	9	Notice to all parties matter set for hearing of May 20 th 9:30 AM		
May	20	Trial held, attorneys for both parties present oral & documentary evidence submitted to presiding Judge Roberts, judgment against defendants in sum of \$225 writ costs and restoration of premises to Plaintiff Plaintiff to prepare judgment.		
June	10	Judgment filed		
Aug	4	Receipt 1492 Writ of Execution & Abstract	3	-

In the Justice's Court of Linal Township,
County of Madera, State of California

<u>Bank of America</u>	}	Action <u>complaint for money</u>
	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <u>887.00</u>
vs.		
<u>Theodore Martinez</u>	}	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>75</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 15	Complaint filed and Summons issued # 1269	11	-
Oct 7	Summons returned & filed		
Oct 7	Request to enter default with memo of costs filed		
Oct 7	Judgment by default by clerk filed		
Oct 7	Instalment note		
Oct 7	Abstract of Judgment issued #8221	1	50
7/31/78	Acknowledgment of full satisfaction of Judgment filed		

In the Justice's Court of Piera Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Jack Martin
vs.
Jemy Reed

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand, \$

Kenneth Ballard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
19 75			Plaintiff	Defendant
June	10	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Rec 1324 for	11 -
June	18	Summons returned		
June	18	Request to enter default.		
July	1	Request to enter default hearing set for July 14 th 9:30 AM. Declaration of mailing filed.		
July	14	Default hearing conducted, Judge Roberts presiding, Mrs Jack Martin presented testimony, Kenneth Ballard in court. Judgment granted plaintiff.		
July	15	Judgment (default) filed - \$237 plus costs \$14.70		

Samuel Roberts
(sig on judgment)

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Albert Branson

Plaintiff

vs.

Karl Worpio

Defendant

Action

Unlawful Detainer

Demand, \$

Kenneth Ballard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE
19 78

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

July 1, 1978 Complaint filed and Summons issued Receipt #1391
July 9 General oral denial to all charges in complaint
filed Rec #1416
July 9 Attorney for plaintiff notified of answer.

11 -

2 -

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

<u>Lawrence C. Folkes</u>	}	Action <u>Unlawful Detainer</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ _____
<u>Eric Erickson</u>	}	Attorney for Plaintiff _____
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant _____

DATE 19 <u>75</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 8, 1975	Complaint filed and Summons issued #1412	11 -	
July 28, 1975	General Oral denial entered by cl. #1425 Set for hearing 7:28-75 9:30AM all parties notified		4 -
July 28, 1975	Plaintiff's in court accompanied by Attorney Allan Emmert Defendant Eric Erickson in court without counsel, waived counsel		
July 28	Judgment for Plaintiff to be prepared by Mr Emmert.		
Sept 22	Judgment \$700 plus costs \$29.70 ^{28.20} filed		
Sept 22	Writ of Execution # 8098 Returned 11.24.75 Service cancelled	1 50	

282

298

No. 298

No. 298

In the Justice's Court of Suma Township,
County of _____, State of California

James Grant DBA
Grant Mercantile

Plaintiff

vs.

Emit Hatfield

Defendant

Action Open Book Acct.

Demand for money

Demand, \$ 357.06

Kenneth Ballard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 75

PROCEEDINGS

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

Aug 6

Complaint filed and Summons issued

Receipt # 1497

11 -

1174 Code of Civil Proc.

(covers action after writ of poss issued)

fendant

#300


#299

Lloyd Keefer
Plaintiff
vs
Ed Best +
Darlene Waitz
Defendant

James Lindstedt
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

[illegible]



#300

MADERA/SIERRA JUSTICE COURT
SIERRA DIVISION
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

KATHERINE FAWCETT
Plaintiff
vs
BOB EOFF & LINDA EOFF
Defendant

ACTION: UNLAWFUL DETAINER
DEMAND:
KENNETH BALLARD
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	F E E S	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 75			
8-28-75	Complaint filed and Summons issued	11.00	
9-2-75	General oral denial to all charges in complaint filed on behalf of both defendants # 8041		4.00
9-2-75	Notice of answer to K. Ballard. ; 9-4-75 Trial set for 9-16-75, all parties notified.		
9-16-75	Time for trial, Judge Roberts presiding, Attorney Ballard accompanied plaintiff, both defendants in court.		
	Defendants given opportunity to obtain counsel, court offered continuance for this purpose, defendant stated he would represent himself. Attorney stated action was for rent not possession of property.		
	After listening to testimony from all parties to the action judgment given plaintiff, Attorney Ballard to prepare and file with court.		
9-18-75	Memo of costs & Judgment filed		

Northwest Creditors
Service
Plaintiff
vs
David J. Gillstrap
Susan Amber Gillstrap
Defendant

[illegible]

INFORMATION RELATING TO CIVIL FILINGS.

#302 B

MADERA/SIERRA JUSTICE COURT
SIERRA DIVISION
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

G. W. HAANEN
Plaintiff
PATTY ROSSER
Defendant

ACTION: COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
TO PROPERTY & LOSS OF USE
DEMAND: \$950.00
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	F E E S	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 9-29-75	Complaint filed and Summons issued #8162		11.00

COUNTY OF MADRID, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SUPERIOR COURT

MADRID, MEXICO DISTRICT COURT



ACTION, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

TO PROPERTY & LOSS OF USE

DEMAND

\$250.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant



J. HAYES

Plaintiff

PATTY ROSSER

Defendant

DATE

19

4/2/12

PROCEEDINGS

After trial and a jury verdict in favor of the plaintiff.



SIERRA DIVISION

COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

~~WILLIAM VAN VALKENBURG~~

Plaintiff

VS

MR. & MRS. LONNIE WALSH

Defendant

ACTION: UNLAWFUL DETAINER

DEMAND :

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

[illegible]

28036

STERN DIVISION
COUNTY OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM AND WILHELMINE
WILHELMINE
VS
MR. & MRS. LOUIS WATER
Defendants

ACTION: UNLAWFUL DETAINER
DEMAND:
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

0-10-73	Complaint filed and summons issued 1973	24.00
10-6-73	Answer filed, default oral denial	24.00
10-9-73	It is requested that the Court, having set at naught the Defendant's default, set aside the default and allow the Plaintiff to proceed with the trial.	
10-12-73	For the Court to enter a judgment in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of \$10,000.00.	
11-13-73	Set aside the default and allow the Plaintiff to proceed with the trial.	
11-13-73	For the Court to enter a judgment in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of \$10,000.00.	

#305 E

MADERA/SIERRA JUSTICE COURT
SIERRA DIVISION
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

KAREN L. REMONE
Plaintiff
vs
LOUIE SHAEFFER
Defendant

ACTION:
DEMAND: COMPLAINT FOR
UNLAWFUL DETAINER
STUART L. SANDELMAN
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	F E E S	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 76			
4-12-76	Complaint filed and Summons issued #8781	11.00	
4-19-76	General Oral Denial filed #8793		2.00
	Set for trial on 5-4-76 9:30. 4-28-76 trial continued to 5-11-76 at 9:00AM. 5-3-76 trial continued to 6-7-76		
6-7-76	Judge Krum presiding, plaintiff Karen L. Remone in court accompanied by her attorney Stuart Sandelman, defendant in court without counsel. Louie Shaeffer called by Mr. Sandelman as adverse witness followed by Karen Ramone presenting testimony, defendant presented testimony on own behalf. Matter submitted to the court for decision. Judgment was given for defendant, each party to bear own costs.		

#308 E

MADERA/SIERRA JUSTICE COURT
SIERRA DIVISION
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ACTION: _____

DEMAND: _____

Attorney for Plaintiff _____

Attorney for Defendant _____

Plaintiff
vs
Defendant

DATE _____
PROCEEDINGS _____
Plaintiff Defendant

Complaint filed and summons issued

CLERK OF COURT
MADERA, CALIFORNIA
JAN 10 1968

JOSEPH C KIMBLE

SIERRA DIVISION

CALIFORNIA

ACTION:

Plaintiff

VS

DEMAND :

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

[illegible]

15
t
•
n

INFORMATION RELATING TO CIVIL FILINGS.

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON

JOSEPH C. KIMBLE
THOMAS A. MACMICHAEL
JOHN F. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
904 GUARANTEE SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721

TELEPHONE 268-4471
AREA CODE 209

July 7, 1967

FILED

JUL 12 1967

SIERRA
JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA

Clerk of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District
Oakhurst, California

Re: Delany v. Silva

Dear Madam:

Enclosed is original complaint for filing in the above-entitled action. A check in blank is also enclosed for your filing fee. Please issue the summons and endorse your filing stamp on the copy of the complaint and return the original and copy of the summons and copy of the complaint to us. A self-addressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience in returning these papers to this office.

7-17-67

Sincerely,

KIMBLE, MacMICHAEL, RUNNER &
JACKSON

John F. Runner
John F. Runner

JFR:rq

Enclosures

THIS
ent
eg.
ng
ion

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
304 GUARANTEE SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721
TELEPHONE 585-4471
FAX 585-4471

July 7, 1967

FILED

JUL 12 1967

JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA

Clerk of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District
Oshkosh, California

Re: Delany v. Silva

Dear Madam:

Enclosed is original complaint for filing in
the above-entitled action. A check in blank is also
enclosed for your filing fee. Please issue the summons -
and endorse your filing stamp on the copy of the complaint
and return the original and copy of the summons and copy
of the complaint to us. A self-addressed envelope is
enclosed for your convenience in returning these papers
to this office.

Sincerely,

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON

John F. Runner
John F. Runner

Enclosures
JFR:td

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

904 GUARANTEE SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721

TELEPHONE 268-4471
AREA CODE 209

JOSEPH C. KIMBLE
THOMAS A. MACMICHAEL
JOHN F. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

July 20, 1967

Clerk of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District
Oakhurst, California

Re: Delany v. Silva, No. 214 Civil

Dear Madam:

Enclosed is original complaint for filing
in the above-entitled action and a copy of the
complaint to be endorsed with your file stamp and
returned to us.

*original is
kept on
court file*

On July 7 this complaint was sent to you
for filing, but the original was returned to us by
mistake. Our check No. 2720 for \$7 was enclosed
with our cover letter of July 7, and summons was
issued on July 17.

A self-addressed envelope is enclosed for
your convenience in returning the copy of the complaint
to us.

Complaint Orig. stamped & filed

Sincerely,

KIMBLE, MacMICHAEL, RUNNER &
JACKSON

Ruby Quackenbush

Miss Ruby Quackenbush
Secretary to John F. Runner

Enclosures

THIS
-A-
ent
O
eg.
ng
ion

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BOX GUARANTEED SAVINGS BUILDING

FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93701

TELEPHONE 282-4471
AREA CODE 208

JOSEPH C. KIMBLE
THOMAS A. MACMICHAEL
JOHN F. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

July 20, 1967

Clerk of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District
Oakhurst, California

Re: Delany v. Silver, No. 214 Civil

Dear Madam:

*Enclosed is original complaint for filing
in the above-entitled action and a copy of the
complaint to be endorsed with your file stamp and
returned to us.*

On July 7 this complaint was sent to you
for filing, but the original was returned to us by
mistake. Our check No. 2720 for \$7 was enclosed
with our cover letter of July 7, and summons was
issued on July 17.

A self-addressed envelope is enclosed for
your convenience in returning the copy of the complaint
to us.

Sincerely,

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER &
JACKSON

Ruby Quackenbush
Miss Ruby Quackenbush
Secretary to John F. Runner

Enclosures

COURT ORDER FOR APPEARANCE OF JUDGEMENT DEBTOR - CIVIL

OX

1. No fee for filing
2. File declaration for order.
3. Issue "order to judgement debtor" these copies given attorney, one returned to Court with proof of service. (conformed before issueing)
4. Examination of judgement debtor made in judges chambers or any office provided for the attorney out of sight of the general court proceedings.

Civil Procedure

1. issue summons
2. file complaint (original)
3. return copies^{all} of summons with original to addressee with copies of complaint

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BOX GUARANTEE SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721

JOSEPH G. KIMBLE
JOHN E. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

July 20, 1967

Clerk of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District
Oakhurst, California

Re: Delany v. Silva, No. 214 Civil

Dear Madam:

Enclosed is original complaint for filing
in the above-entitled action and a copy of the
complaint to be endorsed with your file stamp and
returned to us.

On July 7 this complaint was sent to you
for filing, but the original was returned to me by
mistake. Our check No. 3730 for \$7 was enclosed
with our cover letter of July 7, and summons was
issued on July 17.

A self-addressed envelope is enclosed for
your convenience in returning the copy of the complaint
to us.

Sincerely,

J. F. Runner

Kimble, MacMichael, Runner & Jackson
Attorneys at Law
Box Guarantee Savings Building
Fresno, California 93721

GL
Col
MAD

COURT ORDER FOR APPEARANCE OF JUDGEMENT DEBTOR - CIVIL

OX

1. No fee for filing
2. File declaration for order.
3. Issue "order to judgement debtor" these copies given attorney, one returned to Court with proof of service. (conformed before issueing)
4. Examination of judgement debtor made in judges chambers or any office provided for the attorney out of sight of the general court proceedings.

8
STAGE

THIS
A-
ent
eg.
ion

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
804 GUARANTY SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93701

TELEPHONE 282-2421
MAIL CODE 109

JOSEPH C. KIMBLE
THOMAS A. MACMICHAEL
JOHN E. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

July 20, 1967

COURT ORDER FOR APPEARANCE OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR - CIVIL

OK

1. No fee for filing
2. File declaration for order.
3. Issue "order to judgment debtor" these copies given attorney, one returned to court with proof of service. (conformed before issuing)
4. Examination of judgment debtor made in judges chambers or any office provided for the attorney out of sight of the general court proceedings.

RA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ANSWER

that the defendant,

oral denial

earing at

Court

PHONED AUDITOR'S OFFICE 10-20-7
WAY THESE CHECKS WERE HANDLED
TO CREDIT SERVICE CORP" & SIGNE

AUDITOR'S WARRANT P3687 PAYABLE

PAST CASE TO REVIEW WHERE JUDGEMENT IS GIVEN
AND NOTICE SENT TO DMV TO HOLD LICENSE UNTIL
JUDGMENT SATISFIED REVIEW CASE NUMBER 238

KIMBLE, MACMICHAE, RUNNER & JACKSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
804 GUARANTEE SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721

July 20, 1967

JOSEPH C. KIMBLE
THOMAS A. MACMICHAE
JOHN E. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

COURT ORDER FOR APPEARANCE OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR - CIVIL

OX

1. No fee for filing
2. File declaration for order.
3. Issue "order to judgment debtor" these copies given attorney, one returned to court with proof of service. (conformed before issuing)
4. Examination of judgment debtor made in judges chambers or any office provided for the attorney out of sight of the general court proceedings.

PAST CASE TO REVIEW WHERE JUDGMENT IS GIVEN
AND NOTICE SENT TO DMV TO HOLD LICENSE UNTIL
JUDGMENT SATISFIED REVIEW CASE NUMBER 238

No. _____

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE MADERA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN SMITH

Plaintiff

vs

JACK JONES

Defendant

NOTICE OF ANSWER

To: John Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff

You will please take notice that the defendant,
JACK JONES,

AUDITOR'S WARRANT P3687 PAYABLE TO SIERRA JUSTICE COURT:

PHONED AUDITOR'S OFFICE 10-20-71 TALKED TO TOM QUESTIONING THE
WAY THESE CHECKS WERE HANDLED ie: ENDORSING THE BACK "MADE PAYABLE
TO CREDIT SERVICE CORP" & SIGNED SIERRA JUSTICE COURT

KIMBLE, MACMICHAEL, RUNNER & JACKSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
808 GUARANTEE SAVINGS BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93701
July 20, 1967

JOSEPH C. KIMBLE
THOMAS E. MACMICHAEL
JOHN E. RUNNER
DONALD A. JACKSON

COURT ORDER FOR APPEARANCE OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR - CIVIL

1. No fee for filing
2. File declaration for order.
3. Issue "order to judgment debtor" these copies given attorney, one returned to court with proof of service. (conformed before issuing)
4. Examination of judgment debtor made in judges chambers or any office provided for the attorney out of sight of the general court proceedings.

PAST CASE TO REVIEW WHERE JUDGMENT IS GIVEN
AND NOTICE SENT TO DMV TO HOLD LICENSE UNTIL
JUDGMENT SATISFIED REVIEW CASE NUMBER 338

No. _____

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE MADERA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN SMITH

Plaintiff

vs

JACK JONES

Defendant

NOTICE OF ANSWER

To: John Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff

You will please take notice that the defendant,

JACK JONES,

in the above entitled action has this day filed a general oral denial

GLENN R. JESSING
COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
GOVERNMENT CENTER
MADERA, CALIFORNIA 93637



FILED

OCT 6 1971

SIERRA
JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA

Sierra Justice Court
P.O. Box 247
Oakhurst, California 93644

OX

NT DEBTOR - CIVIL

"these copies given attorney, one
service. (conformed before issuing)
made in judges chambers or any office
sight of the general court proceedings.

IS GIVEN
UNTI
SER 338

AUDITOR'S WARRANT P3687 PAYABLE TO SIERRA JUSTICE COURT:

PHONED AUDITOR'S OFFICE 10-20-71 TALKED TO TOM QUESTIONING THE
WAY THESE CHECKS WERE HANDLED ie: ENDORSING THE BACK "MADE PAYABLE
TO CREDIT SERVICE CORP" & SIGNED SIERRA JUSTICE COURT

No. _____

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE MADERA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN SMITH

Plaintiff

vs

JACK JONES

Defendant

NOTICE OF ANSWER

To: John Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff

You will please take notice that the defendant,
JACK JONES,

in the above entitled action has this day filed a general oral denial
to each and every allegation of the above complaint,

THE DEFENDANT FILED A GENERAL ORAL DENIAL,

SPECIFICALLY DENYING EACH AND EVERY ALLEGA-

TION OF COMPLAINT. (NOTIFY PLAINTIFF OF THIS

(If defendant has three charges by different
plaintiff's on one summons and admits to
just one this is outlined in the filing eg.

a general oral denial, specifically denying
each and every allegation with the exception
of Dr. John Doe.

is Court.

for hearing at

ROWN
ustice Court

Clerk

AUDITOR'S WARRANT P3687 PAYABLE TO SIERRA JUSTICE COURT:

PHONED AUDITOR'S OFFICE 10-20-71 TALKED TO TOM QUESTIONING THE
 WAY THESE CHECKS WERE HANDLED ie: ENDORSING THE BACK "MADE PAYABLE
 TO CREDIT SERVICE CORP" & SIGNED

IS GIVEN
 UNIT
 338

made in judges chambers or any office
 sight of the general court proceedings.
 these copies given attorney, one
 service. (conformed before issuing)

NT DEBTOR - CIVIL

OX

No. _____

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE MADERA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN SMITH

Plaintiff

vs

JACK JONES

Defendant

NOTICE OF ANSWER

To: John Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff

You will please take notice that the defendant,
JACK JONES,

in the above entitled action has this day filed a general oral denial
to each and every allegation of the above complaint

and such has been duly entered in the records of this Court.

This matter will be placed on calendar for hearing at
the request of either party in this action.

Dated:

ALEC W. BROWN
Judge of the Justice Court

By _____
Deputy Clerk

(ing)
ice
edings.

No. _____
IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE MADERA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ANSWER

Plaintiff	JOHN SMITH
vs	
Defendant	JACK JONES

To: John Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff
You will please take notice that the defendant,
JACK JONES,
in the above entitled action has this day filed a General oral demand
to each and every allegation of the above complaint
and such has been duly entered in the records of this Court.
This matter will be placed on calendar for hearing at
the request of either party in this action.

Dated:
ALICE L. BROWN
Judge of the Justice Court
By _____
Deputy Clerk

Rules of Court

Civil appeal procedure -
when case on civil matter
is appealed & transferred
to superior court

MAKE LIST OF CONTENTS OF FILE & MAIL ORIGINAL
AND COPY TO CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT ALONG
WITH THE PAPERS IN CIVIL DOCKET ENVELOPE, ALSO
A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE DOCKET PAGE.

THIS WAS DONE IN CIVIL CASE #215. ALL COURT
RETAINS IS THE COPY OF THE FILE CONTENTS THE
SUP. COURT CLERK RETURNS.

THERE IS A TRANSCRIPT FEE OF \$1.00 PAID BY
DEFENDANT FOR COPY OF DOCKET PAGE, copied
& mailed with above

1. Copy of Docket Page
2. List of contents of file
certified to
3. Contents of file, signed
by judge

It appearing to the court from the return upon the summons,
that the true copy thereof together with copy of complaint was
served upon the defendant _____ personally at and in
the _____ county of _____ State of California
on the _____ day of _____ 19 ____ and that the said defendant
has not appeared herein to answer, demurrer nor in any manner
whatsoever and that the legal time thereof to wit: _____ days
has fully expired now upon the motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered
that the default of the said _____ defendant and the same
is hereby entered. Upon further motion of the plaintiff it is ordered
that judgement is entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by
this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff _____ do have and
recover of and from the defendant _____ the sum of \$ _____ principal
\$ _____ interest, \$ _____ Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed
herein at \$ _____ total judgement.

